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### LIST OF ACRONYMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AP</td>
<td>Anti-Phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASAP</td>
<td>Acceleration by Sharing Adjacent Polarization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BASHD</td>
<td>BAnd-Selective Homonuclear Decoupling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIRD</td>
<td>BiLinear Rotation Decoupling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLAP</td>
<td>CLean Anti-Phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLIP</td>
<td>CLean In-Phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COSY</td>
<td>COrrelation Spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPD</td>
<td>Composite Pulses Decoupling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPMG</td>
<td>Carr-Purcell-Maiboom-Gill pulse sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSAs</td>
<td>Chiral Solvating Agents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTP</td>
<td>Coherence Transfer Pathway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIPSI</td>
<td>Decoupling In Presence of Scalar Interactions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOSY</td>
<td>Diffusion-Ordered Spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DQ</td>
<td>Double Quantum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DQC</td>
<td>Double Quantum Coherence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E/A</td>
<td>Echo/Anti-echo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FID</td>
<td>Free Induction Decay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMBC</td>
<td>Heteronuclear Multiple Bond Correlation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMQC</td>
<td>Heteronuclear Multiple Quantum Correlation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOBB</td>
<td>Homodecoupled BroadBand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOBS</td>
<td>Homodecoupled Band-Selective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSQC</td>
<td>Heteronuclear Single Quantum Correlation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSQMBC</td>
<td>Heteronuclear Single Quantum Multiple-Bond Correlation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INEPT</td>
<td>Insensitive Nuclei Enhanced by Polarization Transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP</td>
<td>In-Phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPAP</td>
<td>In-Phase Anti-Phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR</td>
<td>Inversion Recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME</td>
<td>Multiplicity-Edited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Full Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MQ</td>
<td>Multiple Quantum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MQC</td>
<td>Multiple Quantum Coherence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>Magnetic Resonance Imaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMR</td>
<td>Nuclear Magnetic Resonance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOESY</td>
<td>Nuclear Overhauser Effect Spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUS</td>
<td>Non-Uniform Sampling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEP</td>
<td>Preservation of Equivalent Pathways</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFG</td>
<td>Pulse Field Gradient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO</td>
<td>Product Operators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROJECT</td>
<td>Periodic Refocusing Of JEvolution by Coherence Transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>Pure Shift</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSYCHE</td>
<td>Pure Shift Yielded by CHirp Excitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RE-BURP</td>
<td>REfocusing Band-selective Uniform-Response Pure-phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESET</td>
<td>Reducing nuclear Spin multiplicities to singleTs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDC</td>
<td>Residual Dipolar Coupling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROESY</td>
<td>Rotating-frame Overhauser Effect Spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>Spectral Aliasing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAPS</td>
<td>Spectral Aliased Pure Shift</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNR</td>
<td>Signal to Noise Ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPFGE</td>
<td>Single Pulsed Field Gradient Echo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SQ</td>
<td>Single Quantum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SQC</td>
<td>Single Quantum Coherence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS</td>
<td>Slice Selective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOCSY</td>
<td>TOtal Correlation Spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZQ</td>
<td>Zero Quantum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZQC</td>
<td>Zero Quantum Coherence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZQF</td>
<td>Zero Quantum Filter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZS</td>
<td>Zanger-Sterk</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The research work carried out during this doctorate (October 2012 – May 2015) is framed within the NMR field, more specifically in the design of new NMR methodologies. The starting point was the prior knowledge and experience of our research group in the development of modern NMR methodologies, with special emphasis in methods to measure homo- and heteronuclear coupling constant through HSQC and HSQMBC-type experiments. One of the two parts of the present thesis is framed in this line of research and the other part is centered on the design and application of new pure shift NMR methodologies, which is a new research topic started in our group during this Ph.D.
This thesis has been organized in five sections:

1. **Introduction.** This section contains a brief general explanation of the most important NMR concepts needed to understand the work carried out.

2. **Objectives.** Here the main specific goals that led to the development of this thesis are described.

3. **Results and Discussion.** This section is the main part of the thesis. Here, all the newly developed NMR methods and their applications are presented as Original Research Papers (Publications). Since every published paper has gone through a peer-review process by NMR experts, not much attention is devoted to the discussion of the results beyond discussed in each publication. Nevertheless, a little introduction is presented for each one of published papers.

4. **Summary and Conclusions.** Finally, a brief summary with the main conclusions extracted from the experimental results is exposed.

5. **Appendix.** Additionally, some results obtained during this doctoral thesis which have not could be used as Publication are included in this last section.
1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Pure shift NMR spectroscopy\(^1\)

*Nuclear Magnetic Resonance* (NMR) spectroscopy is one of the most powerful tools for determining structural, dynamics, chemical and physical properties of small and medium-size molecules under a great variety of sample conditions. The most significant aspects that determine the quality of NMR spectra are sensitivity and spectral resolution. Advances in sensitivity have been occurring over the years by a multitude of different techniques intended to improve NMR data acquisition and processing. The development and the improvements in NMR instrumentation have also played a key role to enhance sensitivity, with a particular emphasis in the technical design of cryogenically cooled probes or higher magnetic fields. On the other hand, spectral resolution is also improved inherently in higher magnetic fields, which disperse the chemical shifts over a wider frequency range, although the effects of signal overlap can still be a limiting factor when analyzing complex NMR spectra. The continuous development of new pulse sequences and the improvement of the existing ones have been another very important factor to understand the enormous potential of the NMR spectroscopy. Additionally, the incorporation of multiple-frequency dimensions achieves a tremendous qualitative and quantitative leap, particularly when it comes to improving signal dispersion.

The associated benefits of decoupling through-bond interactions for the apparent simplification of scalar coupling constant splittings are easily understood when analysing a typical \(^{13}\)C spectrum, which is routinely recorded under broadband heteronuclear \(^1\)H decoupling during data acquisition.\(^2\) In a standard 1D \(^{13}\)C\{\(^1\)H\} spectrum, all signals appear as singlet lines providing excellent signal dispersion, allowing the knowledge of the number of signals that are present and also measuring accurate chemical shift values in a very straightforward way. In contrast, despite using high magnetic fields, 1D \(^1\)H NMR spectra often suffer of low signal resolution and severe signal overlap due to the limited range of \(^1\)H chemical shifts (-10-15 ppm) and also to the additional proton-proton scalar coupling (\(J_{\text{HH}}\)) splittings observed in each proton resonance. The analysis of the fine multiplet structure contains valuable structural information such as the number and the

\(^{1}\) Introduction about pure shift NMR has been adapted from the recently published review: L. Castañar, T. Parella, *Mag. Reson. Chem.*, 2015, 53, 399.

nature of neighbouring spins or dihedral angle constraints. However, in many cases, signal overlap hampers a definitive multiplet analysis or the accurate extraction of chemical shifts, which are also fundamentals in the analysis and interpretation of NMR spectra. On the other hand, scalar coupling constant ($J$) information can become redundant when multidimensional NMR spectra are analyzed, because only the correlation between chemical shifts is usually of interest for assignment purposes.

Signal resolution in $^1$H NMR spectra could be significantly enhanced if all signals could be converted into singlets. This is the aim of broadband homodecoupled NMR techniques, also referred to as “pure shift NMR spectroscopy”. The advantages of obtaining pure shift $^1$H NMR spectra have been extensively recognized for years, although there is no easy and general solution to achieve this goal. Only as an example of the potential of this approach, Figure 1 shows how the simplified $J$ multiplet structures achieved for all resonances in a small molecule like progesterone is a clear proof of the excellent complementarity between the homodecoupled and the standard 1D $^1$H spectra. The absence of coupling splittings improves signal dispersion, facilitates and accelerates chemical shift recognition, and simplifies the analysis and assignment of complex regions, as observed for the overlap signals resonating around 1.6 and 2.0 ppm.

**Figure 1:** 600 MHz A) conventional and B) broadband homodecoupled 1D $^1$H NMR spectra of the steroid progesterone [1] in DMSO-$d_6$. Note how all simplified singlet resonances at their chemical shift frequencies can be distinguished in the pure shift spectrum.
In the last few years, there has been a revival in the development of pure shift NMR techniques. Several strategies have been suggested being the experiments based on the original Zangger-Sterk (ZS) methodology\(^{3}\) the most widely used. This introduction aims to describe the fundamental key points for understanding the principles of modern broadband homodecoupled \(^1\)H NMR experiments.

### 1.1.1. Homodecoupling NMR building blocks

The development and implementation of new homodecoupling building blocks into specific pulse schemes is nowadays an expanding area of research. Efforts are mainly concentrated in the design of methodologies that guarantee a routine use involving a simple and non-extended acquisition set-up, a standard and non-sophisticated data processing procedure, and a general applicability on a wide range of NMR experiments.

The most widely used pure shift experiments are based on the refocusing of the homonuclear coupling evolution. To achieve it, several \(J\)-refocused pulse sequence elements can be used in the middle of a given evolution time. These elements divide the available spins into two subsets: (i) active spins, which provide the final detected signal, and (ii) passive spins, which are decoupled but not observed. The effects of a pair of NMR elements are combined: a non-selective 180\(^{\circ}\) pulse and a selective inversion element that affects only the active spins (Figure 2). Some basic selective elements that perform such specific perturbation have been proposed: (i) a \(^{12}\)C/\(^{13}\)C isotopic Bilinear Rotational Decoupling (BIRD)\(^{4}\) module (Figure 2A), (ii) frequency- or region-selective 180\(^{\circ}\) pulses (Figure 2B-D), and (iii) spatially-resolved elements consisting of a selective or adiabatic 180\(^{\circ}\) pulse applied simultaneously to a weak Pulsed Field Gradient (PFG) (Figure 2E-H). In all these cases, the passive spins experience a 180\(^{\circ}\) pulse whereas the active spins are unperturbed because they undergo an overall rotation of 360\(^{\circ}\). In practical terms, this means that chemical shift of active nuclei will not be affected and therefore it will evolve, while all homonuclear \(J_{\text{passive-active}}\) couplings will be efficiently refocused.

---
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**Figure 2** Basic NMR building blocks to perform homonuclear decoupling, consisting of a non-selective 180° pulse and a selective inversion element: A) BIRD\* cluster to selectively invert \(^1\text{H}^{13}\text{C}\) vs \(^1\text{H}^{12}\text{C}\) protons; B-D) frequency-selective 180° pulses designed to invert/refocus a single or specific groups of signals; E-G) slice-selective element to achieve spatial frequency-encoding along the z-axis thanks to the simultaneously application of an encoding \(G_s\) gradient and a single-, multiple- or region-selective 180° pulse; H) spatially-selective element using a pair of small flip angle frequency-swept adiabatic pulses jointly with an encoding \(G_s\) gradient. The use of gradients \(G_1\) and \(G_2\) flanking each inversion element can be optionally applied to remove improper refocusing/inversion.

This double effect on active and passive spins can be analyzed using the *Product Operator* (PO) formalism\(^5\). Consider the simplest situation:

- A weakly coupled spin system comprising an active spin \((I_a)\) and a passive spin \((I_p)\) with \(J_{a,p}\).
- A NMR building block consisting of “\(\tau_1\) – hard 180° – selective 180° – \(\tau_1\)” element, where a selective 180° pulse (Figure 2B) is applied on \(I_a\).

Initially, the active spins arrive as In-Phase (IP) magnetization, \(-I_{ay}\), prior to \(\tau_1\). During the first delay (\(\tau_1\)), the magnetization evolves freely under the effects of the chemical shift (\(\Omega_a\)) and the homonuclear coupling with the passive spin (\(J_{a,p}\)):

\[
-I_{ay} \xrightarrow{\Omega_a \tau_1} -I_{ay} \cos(\Omega_a \tau_1) + I_{ax} \sin(\Omega_a \tau_1) \xrightarrow{\pi J_{a,p} \tau_1} -I_{ay} \cos(\Omega_a \tau_1) \cos(\pi J_{a,p} \tau_1) + 2I_{ax} I_{pz} \cos(\Omega_a \tau_1) \sin(\pi J_{a,p} \tau_1) \\
+ I_{ax} \sin(\Omega_a \tau_1) \cos(\pi J_{a,p} \tau_1) + 2I_{ay} I_{pz} \sin(\Omega_a \tau_1) \sin(\pi J_{a,p} \tau_1)
\]

Eq.1.1

---
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Next, a broadband 180° pulse is applied followed by a selective 180° pulse on active spins:

\[\begin{align*}
+I_{ay} \cos(\Omega_a \tau_1) \cos(\pi J_{ia lp} \tau_1) & \quad \rightarrow & \quad -I_{ay} \cos(\Omega_a \tau_1) \cos(\pi J_{ia lp} \tau_1) \\
-2I_{ax} I_{pz} \cos(\Omega_a \tau_1) \sin(\pi J_{ia lp} \tau_1) & \quad \rightarrow & \quad -2I_{ax} I_{pz} \cos(\Omega_a \tau_1) \sin(\pi J_{ia lp} \tau_1) \\
+I_{ax} \sin(\Omega_a \tau_1) \cos(\pi J_{ia lp} \tau_1) & \quad \rightarrow & \quad +I_{ax} \sin(\Omega_a \tau_1) \cos(\pi J_{ia lp} \tau_1) \\
+2I_{ay} I_{pz} \sin(\Omega_a \tau_1) \sin(\pi J_{ia lp} \tau_1) & \quad \rightarrow & \quad -2I_{ay} I_{pz} \sin(\Omega_a \tau_1) \sin(\pi J_{ia lp} \tau_1)
\end{align*}\]

Eq.1.2

Finally, the system evolves again for a time \(\tau_1\) under both \(\Omega_a\) and \(J_{ia lp}\) effects:

\[\begin{align*}
-I_{ay} \cos^2(\Omega_a \tau_1) \cos(\pi J_{ia lp} \tau_1) & \quad \rightarrow & \quad -I_{ay} \cos^2(\Omega_a \tau_1) \cos^2(\pi J_{ia lp} \tau_1) \\
+I_{ax} \cos(\Omega_a \tau_1) \sin(\Omega_a \tau_1) \cos(\pi J_{ia lp} \tau_1) & \quad \rightarrow & \quad +2I_{ax} I_{pz} \cos^2(\Omega_a \tau_1) \cos(\pi J_{ia lp} \tau_1) \sin(\pi J_{ia lp} \tau_1) \\
-2I_{ax} I_{pz} \cos^2(\Omega_a \tau_1) \sin(\pi J_{ia lp} \tau_1) & \quad \rightarrow & \quad +I_{ax} \cos(\Omega_a \tau_1) \sin(\Omega_a \tau_1) \cos(\pi J_{ia lp} \tau_1) \cos^2(\pi J_{ia lp} \tau_1) \\
+I_{ax} \sin^2(\Omega_a \tau_1) \cos(\pi J_{ia lp} \tau_1) & \quad \rightarrow & \quad +I_{ax} \sin(\Omega_a \tau_1) \cos(\Omega_a \tau_1) \cos^2(\pi J_{ia lp} \tau_1) \\
-2I_{ax} I_{pz} \sin^2(\Omega_a \tau_1) \sin(\pi J_{ia lp} \tau_1) & \quad \rightarrow & \quad +2I_{ax} I_{pz} \sin(\Omega_a \tau_1) \cos(\Omega_a \tau_1) \cos^2(\pi J_{ia lp} \tau_1) \sin(\pi J_{ia lp} \tau_1) \\
+2I_{ay} I_{pz} \sin^2(\Omega_a \tau_1) \sin(\pi J_{ia lp} \tau_1) & \quad \rightarrow & \quad +I_{ay} \sin^2(\Omega_a \tau_1) \cos(\pi J_{ia lp} \tau_1) \cos^2(\pi J_{ia lp} \tau_1)
\end{align*}\]

Eq.1.3
Regrouping the terms according some basic trigonometric identities, the magnetization components are reduced to:

\[-I_{ay} \cos^2(\Omega_a \tau_1) \left[ \cos^2(\pi J_{i_b} \tau_1) + \sin^2(\pi J_{i_b} \tau_1) \right] + I_{ay} \sin^2(\Omega_a \tau_1) \left[ \cos^2(\pi J_{i_b} \tau_1) + \sin^2(\pi J_{i_b} \tau_1) \right] + I_{ax} 2\cos(\Omega_a \tau_1) \sin(\Omega_a \tau_1) \left[ \cos^2(\pi J_{i_b} \tau_1) + \sin^2(\pi J_{i_b} \tau_1) \right] \]

\[\cos^2 \theta + \sin^2 \theta = 1\]

\[-I_{ay} \left[ \cos^2(\Omega_a \tau_1) - \sin^2(\Omega_a \tau_1) \right] + I_{ax} 2\cos(\Omega_a \tau_1) \sin(\Omega_a \tau_1) \]

\[\cos^2 \theta - \sin^2 \theta = \cos 2\theta\]

\[2\cos \theta \sin \theta = \sin 2\theta\]

\[-I_{ay} \cos(2\Omega_a \tau_1) + I_{ax} \sin(2\Omega_a \tau_1)\]

Eq.1.4

These results demonstrate that with this building block \(\Omega_a\) evolves during the period \(2\tau_1\) while \(J_{i_b}\) is fully refocused. The choice of the selective inversion element is dependent on the sample analyzed and on the information required. Importantly, the amount of active spins being inverted is typically much smaller than the passive spins, entailing some cost in sensitivity that must be carefully evaluated in each case.

### 1.1.1.1 BIRD-based elements

A simple way to perform homonuclear decoupling in heteronuclear spin systems is using the BIRD module,\(^{4b}\) which is based on a different isotopic \(^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}\) behavior. Mainly, two different BIRD blocks are available: BIRD\(^x\) and BIRD\(^y\) (Figure 3):

![Figure 3: Different implementations of the BIRD\(^x\) (right) and BIRD\(^y\) (left) NMR building blocks. \(\Delta'\) is adjusted according to 1/(2\(^2\)J\(_{\text{C-H}}\).)](image)
The BIRD$^y$ block inverts $^{12}$C-bound protons while keep the magnetization of protons bound to $^{13}$C unchanged (Figure 4). Starting with 90° $^1$H excitation, the magnetization of $^{13}$C-bound protons evolves under the effect of the one-bond coupling to the directly-attached carbon ($^1J_{CH}$) during the $\Delta'$ periods (where $\Delta'$ is adjusted to $1/(2^1J_{CH})$). $^1$H chemical shift evolutions for both $^1$H-$^{13}$C and $^1$H-$^{12}$C components are not considered because all they will be refocused by the central 180° $^1$H pulse (spin-echo). The final 90° pulse rotates the magnetization of $^{13}$C-bound protons onto the $+z$-axis and $^{12}$C-bound protons onto $-z$-axis producing the desired selective inversion of the $^1$H–$^{12}$C resonances.

The BIRD$^x$ block works in the reverse mode.

BIRD-based homodecoupling was introduced by Garbow and coworkers more than thirty years ago.$^{4a}$ The basic homodecoupling block consists of the combination of a hard 180° $^1$H pulse followed by a BIRD$^x$ element (Figure 2A), and the net effect is therefore a 360° rotation of protons directly $^{13}$C bounded and a 180° rotation of the protons attached to $^{12}$C. The main features of the success use of BIRD homodecoupling are as follows:

i. Problems associated to strong $J_{HH}$ coupling effects are minimized.

ii. The geminal $^2J_{HH}$ interaction between diastereotopic protons is retained because the BIRD element cannot distinguish between protons directly bound to the same $^{13}$C nucleus. As a practical consequence, BIRD-based pure shift spectra will show doublets for non-equivalent methylene protons. Recently, novel concepts based on
constant-time BIRD\textsuperscript{6} or perfect BIRD\textsuperscript{7} elements have been proposed to remove such \(^2J_{HH}\) effects.

iii. The ideal behavior expected for spins during the BIRD block can be compromised in real situations because of the single delay \(\Delta'\) (optimized to \(1/(2^1J_{CH})\)) that may not simultaneously satisfy the heteronuclear couplings arising for different spins of the molecules and because of imperfect inversions for \(^{13}\)C sites spanning up to 200 ppm in their chemical shift ranges. Either of these two deviations can affect the behaviour expected for the \(^{13}\)C-bonded protons, leading to artefacts. In practice, a suitable compromise value of \(\Delta'\) can be found to minimize the \(J_{CH}\)-derived artefacts whereas the use of adiabatic-shaped 180° \(^{13}\)C pulses eliminates off-resonance effects.\textsuperscript{8}

iv. The price to pay for applying BIRD-based homodecoupling is sensitivity. Natural abundance of \(^{13}\)C is approximately 1.1%, and therefore, an unavoidable sensitivity loss of about 99% is obtained after using a BIRD filter. This sensitivity penalty is avoided in experiments that preselect \(^1\)H-\(^{13}\)C magnetization, as carried out in pure shift HSQC experiments.\textsuperscript{9}

v. BIRD fails for fully \(^{13}\)C-labeled compounds because of \(J_{CC}\) evolution.

The BIRD-based homodecoupling method has been further refined and adapted for pure shift 1D\textsuperscript{6,10} and 2D HSQC experiments,\textsuperscript{9,11} and recently applied in a variety of structural problems.\textsuperscript{6,12}

### 1.1.1.2 Frequency-selective pulses

The use of a frequency-selective 180° pulse is a simple option to achieve selective inversion on a single or multiple \(^1\)H signals (Figure 2B-D). The performance is under the
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\[\text{References}\
control of the NMR user by an appropriate choice of the duration and shape of the selected 180° pulse that defines the effective bandwidth of the selective excitation. Several options are feasible, including single frequency (Figure 2B), multiple-frequency (Figure 2C) or band-selective (Figure 2D) excitation covering a specific region of the proton spectrum. The only requirement for a proper homodecoupling is that this selective pulse must not affect to mutually $J$ coupled protons to avoid the evolution of this mutual coupling.

These building blocks were initially used to significantly increase the spectral resolution in the indirect F1 dimension of 2D experiments, by collapsing $J_{HH}$ multiplets to singlets by BAnd-Selective Homonuclear Decoupling (BASHD) techniques. This strategy can be combined with other homodecoupling techniques along the detected F2 dimension in order to obtain ultra-high resolution in both dimensions of fully homodecoupled 2D spectra.

### 1.1.1.3 Spatial encoding

Conventional NMR experiments involve the nonspecific excitation and detection of the NMR signal in the entire detector coil (Figure 5A). The incorporation of the spatial encoding concept, traditionally used in Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) applications, into high-resolution NMR spectroscopic techniques is attracting an increasingly larger interest. Several strategies have been developed to perform spatial encoding into an NMR tube:

i. Data collection is focused on a specific z-slice along the NMR sample (Figure 5B). Spatially resolved NMR applications have been reported for the analysis and characterization of heterogeneous samples, for instance, to study biphasic systems, to detect and quantify sample inhomogeneities and spatial distribution in different alignment media such as gels or liquid crystals, to investigate solvation and diffusion of CO2 in ionic liquids, to perform fast titrations and in situ reaction monitoring for obtaining information about reaction mechanisms and detecting
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intermediates\textsuperscript{17} or to avoid $z$-gradient imperfections in diffusion NMR experiments.\textsuperscript{18}

ii. Achievement of a selective and simultaneous signal perturbation, where each proton frequency is excited at different $z$ positions (Figure 5C). This is the basis of the original ZS experiment,\textsuperscript{3} and it has also been applied in single-scan $T_1$ relaxation time measurements,\textsuperscript{19} to measure coupling constants,\textsuperscript{20} or for the efficient diagonal peak suppression in 2D experiments.\textsuperscript{21}

Most of the reported slice-selective applications have been implemented in conventional liquid-state NMR spectrometers equipped with a basic hardware configuration; this is a direct or indirect detection probe incorporating a gradient coil that can delivers maximum gradient strengths around 50-60 G/cm along the $z$-axis. Experimentally, spatial frequency encoding is achieved by simultaneous application of a frequency-selective 90° or 180° pulses and a weak spatial-encoding PFG ($G_s$) both with the same duration (Figure 2E-G).

When a PFG is applied along the $z$-axis, the $B_0$ field is made spatially inhomogeneous by varying linearly along the applied dimension. Thus, during the application of a PFG, different parts of the sample experience a different magnetic field strength depending of their $z$-position, leading to a spatial-dependent frequency shift across the sample volume. Figure 6 compares the effects to apply a hard 90° pulse, a frequency-selective 90° pulse
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and a simultaneous frequency-selective 90° pulse/gradient element. In the conventional ¹H spectrum, all signals from any part of the NMR tube into the active detector coil contribute to the observed signal (Figure 6A). In the selective experiment, only those signals experiencing the selective pulse contribute to the detected data; although the maximum sensitivity for these signals is retained (Figure 6B). In the slice-selective experiment, a complete ¹H spectrum can be obtained using optimized pulses and gradients, but each individual signal exclusively comes from a different part of the tube along the z-dimension (Figure 6C). As an obvious consequence, a decrease of overall sensitivity is always associated with any slice-selective experiment, which is proportional to the number of generated z-slices.

Experimentally, the range of sampled frequencies (SW₆) which include the entire chemical shift of interest is defined by the strength of G₆ according to:

\[ SW₆ = γLG₆ \]  

where γ is the gyromagnetic ratio of the spatially-encoded nucleus and L is the active volume coil length. On the other hand, the carrier frequency (Ω) and the selective pulse bandwidth (Δω) determine the z-position of each nuclear spin (z) and the slice thickness (Δz) according to these two expressions, respectively:
The Signal to Noise Ratio (SNR) in slice-selective experiments depends on the active slice thickness because the detected signal only comes from a selected z-slice. As shown, $\Delta z$ depends both on the strength of $G_s$ (which is proportional to $SW_G$) and on the selectivity of the pulse (which should not exceed the smallest chemical shift difference expected between any coupled proton pairs). For instance, a typical 20 ms Gaussian shaped 180° pulse (bandwidth of 60.7 Hz) applied simultaneous with a gradient $G_s$ of 0.74 G/cm splits the sample height ($L=1.8$ cm) into around 94 slices along the z axis, defining a $\Delta z$ of about 0.019 cm and covering an $SW_G$ of 5694 Hz (9.5 ppm in a 600 MHz spectrometer). Thus, under these general conditions, the single-slice selection procedure would afford only about 1% of the sensitivity of a conventional $^1$H spectrum.

Another fundamental aspect when optimizing and applying slice-selection in homodecoupling experiments it is the presence of strong couplings. Slice selection works well for weakly coupled spin systems, but it can fail for strongly coupled signals. If the chemical shift difference ($\Delta \delta$) of coupled spins is less than the selective pulse bandwidth

\[
  z = \Omega / (\gamma G_s) \quad \text{Eq. 1.6}
\]

\[
  \Delta z = \Delta \omega / (\gamma G_s) \quad \text{Eq. 1.7}
\]

Figure 7: A) Pulse scheme of the ss-SPFGE experiment. B) 600 MHz $^1$H NMR spectrum of strychnine [2] in CDCl$_3$. C,D) ss-SPFGE spectra acquired with an encoding strength of 1.1 G/cm and with a selective 180° $^1$H gaussian-shaped pulse of C) 20 and D) 30 ms, respectively. In C) phase distorted multiplets are observed due to the excitation of two $J$-coupled protons into the same slice. Also note the different SNR observed in C and D in function of the selective 180° $^1$H pulse applied.
(Δω) but they are not very strongly coupled (Δω > Δδ > J), couplings within Δω become active, but the effects of couplings to other spins remain suppressed, retaining much of the resolution advantage. Where spins are fairly strongly coupled (Δω > Δδ ≈ J), weak extra signals appear at intermediate frequencies, and if they are very strongly coupled (Δω > J > Δδ), it will typically yields distorted signals. The optimum selective 180° pulse and the encoding Gₘ gradient strength can be calibrated using a Slice-Selective Single Pulsed Field Gradient Echo (ss-SPFGE) experiment (Figure 7A). The excitation of two J-coupled protons into the same slice can be observed as phase distorted multiplets (Anti-Phase (AP) contributions) in the corresponding 1D ss-SPFGE spectrum (Figure 7C).

1.1.2. Homodecoupling acquisition modes

1.1.2.1. Historical review

Each signal in a ¹H NMR spectrum exhibits a particular multiplet Jₕₖ pattern as a result of its through-bond interactions with their neighboring protons. Thus, experimental issues such as signal dispersion, spectral resolution or signal overlap become very relevant to identify and assign each individual signal, in particular when a large number of resonances are present in a narrow range of frequencies. The use of NMR methods affording simplified multiplet structures are of interest because they can facilitate the analysis and the interpretation of the corresponding spectra. The traditional way to achieve such simplification is by frequency-selective continuous-wave irradiation on a single-target signal during the acquisition period. The method has been improved by multiple irradiation of different signals using multiple-frequency homodecoupling, polychromatic pulses or irradiating a group of signals resonating into the same region, among others, being one of the most reported applications the band-selective homodecoupling of the well-defined NH or Hₓ regions in peptides and proteins. All

these approaches do not provide broadband homodecoupling in the entire spectrum, so only multiplet patterns of some signals are partially simplified according to the irradiated signals, and therefore, success is limited to specific and well-isolated spin systems.

A simple and classical approach to achieve a broadband homodecoupled $^1$H spectrum is the 1D projection extracted from the detected dimension of a tilted homonuclear 2D $J$-resolved experiment. The standard experiment suffers of poor phase-twist lineshapes and alternatives to obtain absorptive homonuclear spectra, such as the incorporation of spatial-selective encoding at expense of important sensitivity losses or using a z-filter combined with a post-processing pattern recognition algorithm have been proposed. Another drawback that has been recognized and evaluated in detail is the presence of extra peak artifacts due to strong coupling effects. The use of appropriate data processing in $J$-resolved experiments has also been an interesting topic to enhance sensitivity. The $J$-resolved module has been appended as an NMR building block to standard 2D experiments, such as reported for homodecoupled versions of DOSY and HMBC experiments, although that the resulting experiments become more time-consuming than the original ones. The $J$-resolved experiment has also been successfully used in the determination of small chemical shifts differences in complex mixtures, such as metabolomics or enantiodifferentation studies, among others.

Separation of chemical shifts and $J$ couplings while retaining absorption-mode lineshapes can also be obtained from the diagonal projected spectrum of a modified anti-$z$-COSY experiment. Another group of NMR experiments performs broadband homonuclear decoupling in the indirectly detected dimension of multi-dimensional experiments using time reversal, constant-time evolution, or BIRD editing in the case of heteronuclear experiments.
Actually, the homonuclear decoupling in most of current pure shift experiments is carried out in the direct dimension (so-called “proton dimension” or “acquisition dimension”). There are two different schemes available to achieve broadband homodecoupling in the acquisition dimension: (i) a pseudo-2D acquisition mode where a 1D homodecoupled *Free Induction Decay* (FID) is reconstructed by concatenating data chunks extracted from individual time domain datasets of a 2D experiment\(^3\) and (ii) a real-time acquisition mode that provides directly the homodecoupled 1D FID.\(^{8,36}\)

### 1.1.2.2. Pseudo-2D Zangger-Sterk experiment

The original *Zangger-Sterk* (ZS) experiment, reported in 1997,\(^3\) uses a slice-selective 2D pulse timing where a variable delay is incremented stepwise as usual (Figure 8A). The homodecoupling block (see several options in Figure 2) is applied in the middle of this incremented delay to refocus any \(J_{HH}\) evolution. A special post-processing is needed, where the first data chunks of each FID are assembling to create a new reconstructed 1D FID that is processed and transformed by ordinary procedures to lead a homodecoupled \(^1\)H NMR spectrum. Later, a more robust ZS pulse scheme version was proposed where the timing of the decoupling element was carefully designed to provide homodecoupling in the middle of each data chunk, whereas PFGs were also applied to afford better spectral quality by suppressing strong signals from passive spins.\(^{37}\)

Experimentally, the evolution time \((t_1)\) in the ZS experiment is incremented according to \(1/\text{SW}_1\), where \(\text{SW}_1\) is the defined spectral width in the indirect dimension (typically \(\text{SW}_1=60-100\) Hz), and the first 10-20 ms of each individual FID are selected nad concatenated for a further FID reconstruction. In case of large scalar coupling constants, the increments must be set to smaller values (\(\text{SW}_1 < J_{HH}\)) in order to avoid scalar coupling evolution. The residual effect is a slight decrease in signal intensity either side of the time at which \(J\) is refocused so that in each chunk the signal intensity is slightly less at the edges than in the center. Fourier transformation converts this periodic decrease in
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intensity into small artifacts, typically in the form of weak sidebands at multiples of SW₁, around each decoupled signal. The intensity of the sidebands is proportional to the square of J/SW₁, and it decays rapidly either side of the decoupled signal. In typical SW₁ condition used in these experiments the sidebands are of comparable intensity to that of the ¹³C satellites. On the other hand, the resolution of the signals is directly related with the number of increments in the indirect dimension. Normally 16-32 increments are enough to obtain a high-quality 1D homodecoupled spectrum with optimum resolution and narrow line widths. Only as a reference, typical standard parameters to afford a nice 1D homodecoupled spectrum in ~5-10 minutes for a sample concentration about 10 mM would involve Gaussian or rSNOB shaped 180° ¹H pulses with a duration of 40-60 ms and an encoding Gₛ gradient around 0.5-1 G/cm. Under these general conditions, the pseudo-2D ZS method would afford only ~1-5% of the sensitivity of a conventional ¹H spectrum. SNR could be improved by using shorter and less selective pulses and/or less intense encoding gradients but always with an increased probability of accidental excitation of two coupled protons within the same z-slice. The original ZS experiment was based on slice-selection³ and a BIRD-based ZS experiment has also been reported,¹⁰ but in both cases the sensitivity is still far from that obtained in conventional ¹H NMR spectra.

In a recent improvement, referred to as Pure Shift Yielded by Chirp Excitation (PSYCHE) experiment,³⁸ a pair of low flip angle swept-frequency pulses applied during a weak PFG are used as a selective inversion element (Figure 2H). By adjusting the pulse flip angle of the adiabatic pulse, it is possible to balance optimum sensitivity and full broadband homodecoupling for all signals in a given sample. PSYCHE can offer sensitivity improvements of almost one order of magnitude over conventional ZS methods performed by slice-selection or BIRD pulses.

The pseudo-2D ZS experiment has been recently applied to measure homonuclear³⁹ and heteronuclear coupling constants,¹²c,⁴⁰ and successfully implemented into a number of 2D experiments, as reported for pure shift DOSY,³⁷,⁴¹ TOCSY,⁴² NOESY,³⁴i HSQC⁶,¹¹,¹²a
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and HSQMBE.\textsuperscript{40b} The main drawback of these resulting pseudo-3D experiments is that their overall acquisition times can become extremely long for routine use.

1.1.2.3. Real-time ZS experiments

Real-time broadband homodecoupling was initially proposed using the BIRD element as homodecoupling block during data acquisition,\textsuperscript{8} and shortly after, a slice selective version was also reported\textsuperscript{36} using the general scheme of Figure 8B. This new acquisition technique, referred to as real-time ZS or \textit{H}OModecoupled BroadBand (HOBB), directly generates a single 1D FID that after standard processing can lead to a broadband homodecoupled 1D $^1$H NMR spectrum. This method offers instant and speed-up data acquisition and an improved SNR per time unit compared to the original ZS experiment, although the attainable sensitivity is still far from a regular $^1$H spectrum because of the involved $^{13}$C editing or slice selection procedures.

In the real-time ZS method, instead of recording each fraction of the FID in a series of individual experiments, the FID is collected directly in a single scan. The acquisition is interrupted after every $\tau$ period to perform either slice-selective or BIRD-based
homodecoupling, as shown in Figure 9A. Note, that the first fraction of acquisition is only half as long as the subsequent ones. Thereby, full scalar decoupling is achieved in the middle of each fraction of the FID. These acquisition segments are assembled consecutively in a conventional FID which can be treated like a regular 1D NMR experiment. The $\tau$ period is defined as $AQ/2n$ where $AQ$ is the acquisition time and $n$ the number of loops. As long as $\tau << 1/J_{HH}$, homonuclear $J$ modulations occurring during these acquisition segments can be disregarded with no compromise in the final spectral resolution, leading to the potential collapse of all $J_{HH}$ splittings. As in the pseudo-2D acquisition mode, deviations from this condition lead to incomplete homodecoupling and the appearance of distinct decoupling sidebands flanking each purely shifted resonance at spacing multiples of $2n/AQ$. Moreover, while the acquisition is interrupted for decoupling, the magnetization is relaxing, and therefore, it is critical to keep the interruptions as short as possible, especially for larger molecules that have shorter $T_2$ relaxation times. As longer time interruptions, there are more differences in intensity between previous and next acquired FID. Fourier transform converts this periodic FID discontinuity in sidebands at multiples of $2n/AQ$, around each decoupled signal. The intensity of these sidebands is greater the larger is the FID discontinuity. On the other hand, it is also important to keep the interruptions as short as possible because the signals are slightly broadened due to the extra $T_2$ relaxation during this refocusing time. If a BIRD-based homodecoupling block is used, the FID is interrupted about 6-8 ms (to $J_{CH}$ between 120-160 Hz). In the case of use a selective 180° pulse, a compromise duration of 5-10 ms balances between an optimum slice selection and an effective homodecoupling of nearby signals, while minimizes the $T_2$ relaxation effects.

In practice, real-time ZS acquisition reduces the overall experimental time and improving SNR per time unit but at some cost in spectral quality and the achievement of wider line widths. As an example, the HOBB spectrum of cyclosporine, quickly acquired in a single scan, shows full homodecoupling for most of the signals (except in some aliphatic $CH_2$ resonances) thanks to the well dispersed spin systems (Figure 9C). Importantly, the SNR of the HOBB experiment also suffers of the unavoidable losses due to slice selection (~8% of the maximum theoretical signal).

The real-time ZS acquisition mode becomes an attractive NMR building block for the design of pure shift methods and, as a major advantage, it can be incorporated as a detection scheme in standard multidimensional experiments without increase their original dimensionalities and continuing to use the same data-processing protocols. This represents a boost in SNR per time unit when compared to the pseudo-2D ZS experiment,
as reported recently for HOBB-DOSY, HOBB-TOCSY, HOBB-ROESY, and HOBB-HSQC experiments. From a strategic point of view, it is advisable to optimize first a 1D HOBB experiment in order to determine the best homodecoupling conditions for the sample under study. The signal simplification observed in the resulting 2D HOBB spectra will be the same obtained in a 1D HOBB spectrum recorded under the same conditions.

Figure 9: A) General pulse scheme of the real-time 1D HOBB experiment; B) 600 MHz conventional $^1$H spectrum of cyclosporine [3]; C) 1D HOBB spectrum acquired with a RE-BURP pulse of 5 ms for both excitation and decoupling and $G_s=1.1$ G/cm. For an objective comparison of real sensitivities, the experimental averaged SNR is indicated for each 1D dataset. For a real comparison, both spectra have been recorded and processed in the same way: with the same receiver gain, using a single scan, processed with a Fourier transformation without any additional window function and plotted with the same absolute vertical scaling factor.

1.1.3. Homodecoupled experiments and applications

Recently, all of the aforementioned ZS methodologies, using BIRD or slice-selective homodecoupling and pseudo-2D or real-time acquisition modes, have been implemented in different 1D and 2D NMR experiments (Table 1). It is important to note that a requirement for a success implementation of any ZS module is to have IP proton-proton magnetization because experiments involving AP signals, like those found in conventional COSY or HMBC, cancel out under homodecoupling conditions.

Pure shift NMR spectra have a wide range of potential uses, as demonstrated for the analysis of complex mixtures,\textsuperscript{37} to carry out structural elucidation studies,\textsuperscript{9,11,12b,34i,36,42a,42b,44,45} to analyze diffusion data\textsuperscript{43} and to measure homonuclear\textsuperscript{39} and heteronuclear coupling constants.\textsuperscript{6,11,12c,40} A more exhaustive description of the different applications can be found in a recent review work publish by us.\textsuperscript{1} As a complement to this introduction, two excellent and very comprehensive revision works about broadband homodecoupling methods, including detailed description of all indirect methods, have been also reported recently.\textsuperscript{46}

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Summary of reported broadband homodecoupled 1D and 2D $^{1}$H NMR experiments.}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{NMR Experiment} & \textbf{Homodecoupling} & \textbf{Acquisition mode} & \textbf{References} \\
\hline
\hline
$^{1}$H NMR & \checkmark & \checkmark & \checkmark & \checkmark & [10][12c] \\
& \checkmark & \checkmark & [3][37][38][40a][47][48] \\
& \checkmark & \checkmark & [8][12d] \\
& \checkmark & \checkmark & [36] \\
& \checkmark & \checkmark & [49][50] \\
\hline
Quick-Serf & \checkmark & \checkmark & [42] \\
\hline
TOCSY & \checkmark & \checkmark & [36] \\
\hline
DOSY & \checkmark & \checkmark & [37][41] \\
\hline
NOESY & \checkmark & \checkmark & [43] \\
\hline
ROESY & \checkmark & \checkmark & [34a] \\
\hline
HSQC/HSQ Ced & \checkmark & \checkmark & [44] \\
\hline
HSQMBC & \checkmark & \checkmark & [45] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}


1.2. 2D HSQC and HSQMB NMR experiments\textsuperscript{51}

Proton-detected heteronuclear 2D NMR experiments, essentially based on two different pulse schemes referred to as Heteronuclear Single Quantum Correlation (HSQC)\textsuperscript{52} and Heteronuclear Multiple Quantum Correlation (HMQC),\textsuperscript{53} have been key NMR tools during many years for chemists and biochemists to provide valuable structural information on $^1$H-$^{13}$C (and $^1$H-$^{15}$N) chemical bonds. These experiments provide information about structure, conformation and dynamics of rigid and flexible molecules in solution, as well as they can serve for many other interests such as structural validation methods, determine intermolecular interactions or to measure Residual Dipolar Couplings (RDCs) in molecules dissolved in weakly aligned media. Nowadays, these experiments are usually performed in a complete automation mode in both data acquisition and processing steps, practically without any need for direct user intervention. The resulting 2D maps are very simple to analyze and to interpret, even for non-experienced NMR users, typically displaying well dispersed cross-peaks that correlate $^1$H (direct F2 dimension) and $^{13}$C (indirect F1 dimension) chemical shifts between directly attached $^1$H-$^{13}$C groups, through the $J_{\text{CH}}$ transfer mechanism.

The HMQC scheme is simpler in terms of the number of pulses, but its major complication relies on that proton magnetization is located in the transverse plane during the entire pulse sequence. Additionally, proton-proton coupling constants ($J_{\text{HH}}$) also evolve during the variable $t_1$ period and, as a result, cross peaks present strongly distorted twist-phased patterns along the detected F2 dimension and a characteristic skew shape along the indirect F1 dimension of the 2D map. On the other hand, the HSQC experiment uses Insensitive Nuclei Enhanced by Polarization Transfer (INEPT) blocks for heteronuclear magnetization transfer, and the evolution during the $t_1$ period is not affected by $J_{\text{HH}}$. However, the influence of $J_{\text{HH}}$ coupling evolution during the INEPT period on the phase and amplitude signal modulation must be considered when a detailed analysis is required.

To better understand the improved HSQC-related experiments described in the “Results and Discussion” section, this introduction aims to explain the fundamental key points of HSQC-type experiments. Special focus will made on the effects of the intensity and phase signal modulation dependence with respect to $J_{\text{CH}}$ and $J_{\text{HH}}$. A recommendable

\textsuperscript{[51]} Part of this introduction has been adapted from: L. Castañar, T. Parella, Annu. Rep. NMR Spectrosc., 2015, 84, 163.
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work describing the different features, options and practical details of both HMQC and HSQC experiments is available as a complementary reading to this introduction.54

1.2.1. The HSQC experiment

Since its introduction, the HSQC experiment has been modified in so many different ways in order to improve important experimental aspects (such as sensitivity, resolution, efficiency, robustness and performance) and to provide additional and complementary information from a single NMR experiment. All these modifications have been done changing and/or introducing some elements or building blocks in the pulse scheme, therefore a detailed study of the basic HSQC pulse sequence is advisable for a better understanding and further improvements.

1.2.1.1. Basic HSQC pulse scheme

Figure 10C shows the five basic independent steps that can be identified in a standard 2D gradient-selected HSQC pulse scheme:

1- The pre-scan period is usually defined by a long recycle delay (some seconds of duration, accordingly to the existing $T_1(1H)$ relaxation times) to allow the recovery of the $1H$ magnetization to a pre-equilibrium state just before to start the sequence.

2- After the initial $1H$ excitation, heteronuclear transfer takes place using an INEPT block optimized to single $1J_{CH}$ value, accordingly to $\Delta = 1/(21J_{CH})$.

3- Anti-Phase (AP) $13C$ Single Quantum Coherences (SQCs) evolve during a variable $t_1$ period under the effect of $13C$ chemical shift whereas the evolution of $1J_{CH}$ is refocused by the central $180^\circ$ $1H$ pulse.

4- During the refocused INEPT element, $13C$ magnetization is reconverted to AP $1H$ magnetization followed by the subsequent $1J_{CH}$ evolution to generate In-Phase (IP) magnetization prior to acquisition.

5- The sequence finishes with a $1H$ detection period under optional broadband heteronuclear decoupling.

Introduction: HSQC and HSQMB

Historically, a major development in HSQC pulse sequence was the incorporation of PFGs for Coherence Transfer Pathway (CTP) selection. PFGs allow a clear distinction between $^1$H-$^{12}$C vs $^1$H-$^{13}$C magnetization, which results in the collection of high-quality HSQC spectra under standard routine conditions. One of the most widely protocols used to achieve coherence selection using PGFs is the Echo/Anti-echo (E/A) method. For a successful implementation of such methodology, two different PFGs must be properly inserted into the HSQC pulse sequence. The encoding $G_1$ gradient will select CTPs in which only $^{13}$C SQCs are in the transverse plane during the evolution $t_1$ period, and the decoding $G_2$ gradient will select CTPs in which only $^1$H magnetization is in the transverse plane during the detection $t_2$ period.

An important aspect when applying PFGs during $t_1$ is that the signal obtained is not sine/cosine amplitude-modulated but phase modulated, which means that is modulated according to the rotation sense of the magnetization ($S^+, \text{echo};$ or $S^-, \text{anti-echo}$). This results in P-type data selection (anti/echo), in which the sense of the frequency modulation is the same in $t_1$ and $t_2$, and in N-type data selection (echo), in which the sense is the opposite:

---

**Figure 10**: Schematic representation of the A) molecular transfer mechanism; B) cross-peak pattern and C) pulse sequence of a standard 2D $^1$H-$^{13}$C HSQC experiment. Thin and thick vertical rectangles represent 90° and 180° hard pulses, respectively. The delay $\Delta$ should be set to $1/(2|J_{CH}|)$ and $\delta$ represents the duration of the PFG and its recovery delay. Coherence selection is performed by the gradient pair $G_1;G_2 = (\pm 80; 20.1)$ using the echo-antiecho protocol. A basic two-step phase cycling is executed with $\Phi_2 = x,-x$ and $\Phi_{rec} = x,-x$. Below, the corresponding coherence transfer pathway diagram is shown: blue line stands for N-type magnetization (echo dataset), while black line stands for P-type magnetization (anti-echo dataset).

---


\[ S(t_1, t_2)_{\text{anti-echo}} = γ_1 e^{iΩ_{t_1}} e^{iΩ_{t_2}} \quad \text{Eq. 1.8} \]
\[ S(t_1, t_2)_{\text{echo}} = γ_1 e^{-iΩ_{t_1}} e^{iΩ_{t_2}} \quad \text{Eq. 1.9} \]

That signal phase encoding makes the gradient only able to select one of the two desired CTPs in each scan. Therefore, the spectrum will present cross peaks with undesirable phase-twisted lineshapes. To solve that problem the acquisition of the E/A pathways has to be done in alternate acquisitions and then combined in a proper way during the processing step to provide amplitude-modulated signals, so that phase-sensitive spectra can be obtained.

For data coherence selection, the gradient strengths have to be adjusted to:

\[ \frac{G_1}{G_2} = \frac{γ_I}{γ_S} \quad \text{Eq. 1.10} \]

where \( γ_I \) and \( γ_S \) are the gyromagnetic ratio of sensitive (I) and insensitive (S) nuclei, respectively. In the case of \(^1\)H-\(^{13}\)C correlation experiments such ratio is \( γ_I/γ_C = 4 \). N-type coherence (blue line in Figure 10C) is selected using \( G_1:G_2=4 \) (typical experimental ratio of 80:20.1 in percentage), while P-type coherence (black line in Figure 10C) is selected by \( G_1:G_2=-4 \) (typical experimental ratio of -80:20.1 in percentage).

In terms of sensitivity, the use of PFGs during \( t_1 \) produces a SNR decrease by a factor of \( \sqrt{2} \) with respect to the original experiment because only one CTP can be selected. Nonetheless, the main advantages are that higher quality spectra are obtained, strong solvent signals (typically water) are efficiently suppressed, \( t_1 \) noise is better cleaned, and a considerable decrease in the overall acquisition time is achieved if the use of an extended phase cycle is avoided.

The signal intensity and phase dependences generated during the INEPT periods can be easily analyzed by the PO formalism.\(^5\) To carry out this analysis, a weakly coupled \( I_1I_2S \) spin system has been defined with a heteronuclear coupling constant (\(^1\)J\(_{IS}\)) and a homonuclear coupling constant (\(^n\)J\(_{I_1I_2}\)).

At the beginning of the sequence (step 1 in Figure 10C), the initial magnetization (+\( I_{1z} \)) is rotated to the \( y \)-axis (−\( I_{1y} \)). During the \( Δ \) delay (step 2 in Figure 10C), the magnetization evolves simultaneously under the effects of chemical shift (\( Ω \)) and coupling constants (\( J \)). In weakly coupled spin systems, these effects commute and they can be analyzed in
cascade\textsuperscript{57}. In the following analysis, each effect is separately analyzed for a better compression.

- **Effect of chemical shift ($\Omega$) evolution during the INEPT block**

  The magnetization evolves during the first $\Delta/2$ delay and then, the $180^\circ(l_x)$ inverts the $I_1$ magnetization along the $x$-axis:

  $$-I_{1y} \frac{\Omega_1 \Delta/2}{\pi} \rightarrow -I_{1y} \cos(\Omega_1 \Delta/2) + I_{1x} \sin(\Omega_1 \Delta/2) \overset{180^\circ(l_x)}{\rightarrow} +I_{1y} \cos(\Omega_1 \Delta/2) + I_{1x} \sin(\Omega_1 \Delta/2)$$

  Eq. 1.11

  During the second $\Delta/2$ delay, all components evolve again under the chemical shift effect:

  $$\overset{\Omega_1 \Delta/2}{\rightarrow} +I_{1y} \cos(\Omega_1 \Delta/2) \cos(\Omega_1 \Delta/2) - I_{1x} \cos(\Omega_1 \Delta/2) \sin(\Omega_1 \Delta/2)$$

  $$+I_{1x} \sin(\Omega_1 \Delta/2) \cos(\Omega_1 \Delta/2) + I_{1y} \sin(\Omega_1 \Delta/2) \sin(\Omega_1 \Delta/2)$$

  Eq. 1.12

  After applying trigonometric identities:

  $$+I_{1y} [\cos^2(\Omega_1 \Delta/2) + \sin^2(\Omega_1 \Delta/2)] \overset{\cos^2\theta + \sin^2\theta = 1}{\rightarrow} +I_{1y}$$

  Eq. 1.13

  It can be stated that, during the INEPT block, the magnetization does not evolve under the effect of the $I$ chemical shift and therefore it is refocused to its original position.

- **Effect of heteronuclear coupling constant ($^1J_{IS}$) evolution during the INEPT block**

  The magnetization evolves during the first $\Delta/2$ delay under the effect of $^1J_{IS}$, the $180^\circ(l_x)$ pulse inverts the $I_1$ magnetization along the $x$-axis and the $180^\circ(S_x)$ pulse inverts the $\alpha/\beta$-labels of the doublet $I$ component:

  $$-I_{1y} \frac{\pi \ J_{IS} \Delta/2}{\pi} \rightarrow -I_{1y} \cos(\pi J_{IS} \Delta/2) \overset{180^\circ(l_x)}{\rightarrow} +I_{1y} \cos(\pi J_{IS} \Delta/2)$$

  $$+2I_{1x}S_z \sin(\pi J_{IS} \Delta/2) \overset{180^\circ(S_x)}{\rightarrow} -2I_{1x}S_z \sin(\pi J_{IS} \Delta/2)$$

  Eq. 1.14

\textsuperscript{[57]} G. Bodenhausen, R. Freeman, J. Magn. Reson., 1979, 36, 221.
During the second $\Delta/2$ delay, both components evolve again under the $^1J_{1S}$ effect:

\begin{equation}
\frac{\pi^1J_{1S} \Delta/2}{2} + I_{1y} \cos(\pi J_{1S}^x \Delta/2) \cos(\pi J_{1S}^y \Delta/2) - 2I_{1x}^x S_x \cos(\pi J_{1S}^y \Delta/2) \sin(\pi J_{1S}^y \Delta/2) - 2I_{1x}^y S_x \sin(\pi J_{1S}^x \Delta/2) \cos(\pi J_{1S}^y \Delta/2) - I_{1y} \sin(\pi J_{1S}^x \Delta/2) \sin(\pi J_{1S}^y \Delta/2) \tag{1.15}
\end{equation}

Regrouping the terms and applying basic trigonometric identities:

\begin{equation}
+ I_{1y} \left[ \cos^2(\pi J_{1S}^x \Delta/2) - \sin^2(\pi J_{1S}^y \Delta/2) \right] \frac{\cos^2 \theta - \sin^2 \theta = \cos 2 \theta}{2 \cos \theta \sin \theta = \sin 2 \theta} I_{1y} \cos(\pi J_{1S}^y \Delta) - 2I_{1x}^x S_x \sin(\pi J_{1S}^y \Delta) \tag{1.16}
\end{equation}

Now, if the effect of homonuclear $J_{1S2}$ coupling is not considered, a $90^\circ(I_y)$ pulse generates $z^z$-magnetization in the form of $2I_{1S}^x S_x$ and a $90^\circ(S_x)$ pulse returns the magnetization to the $xy$-plane but now converted into AP magnetization of the nucleus $S$:

\begin{equation}
E_{1.16} \quad 90^\circ(I_y) \rightarrow + I_{1y} \cos(\pi J_{1S}^y \Delta) + 2I_{1x}^x S_x \sin(\pi J_{1S}^y \Delta) \quad 90^\circ(S_x) \rightarrow I_{1y} \cos(\pi J_{1S}^y \Delta) - 2I_{1x}^x S_x \sin(\pi J_{1S}^y \Delta) \tag{1.17}
\end{equation}

In summary, during the INEPT block the initial IP -$I_{1y}$ magnetization has been transferred to the $S$ nucleus in the form of AP $2I_{1x}^x S_x$ magnetization. This coherent heteronuclear magnetization transfer process is the key in most modern multidimensional NMR experiments.

- **Effect of homonuclear coupling constant ($J_{1S2}$) evolution during INEPT block**

In conventional HSQC experiments, the effects of the homonuclear $J_{1S2}$ evolution during the INEPT blocks are usually neglected because the contribution of the resulting components is considered low. However, a detailed analysis, as described from Eq. 1.11-1.17, leads to the following four terms at the end of the first INEPT period:

\begin{equation}
I_{1x}^{\text{INEPT}} \quad + I_{1y} \cos(\pi J_{1S}^y \Delta) \cos(\pi J_{1S}^x \Delta) - 2I_{1x}^x S_x \cos(\pi J_{1S}^y \Delta) \sin(\pi J_{1S}^y \Delta) + 2I_{1x}^z S_x \sin(\pi J_{1S}^x \Delta) \cos(\pi J_{1S}^y \Delta) + 4I_{1x}^z S_x \sin(\pi J_{1S}^x \Delta) \sin(\pi J_{1S}^y \Delta) \tag{1.18}
\end{equation}
Thus, the initial $I_{1z}$ magnetization has been converted to four different terms: i) an IP term ($I_{1y}$), ii) an AP heteronuclear SQC term ($2I_{1z}S_y$), iii) an AP homonuclear SQC term ($2I_{1z}I_{2x}$), and iv) an heteronuclear Multiple Quantum Coherence (MQC) term ($4I_{1z}I_{2x}S_y$). Only the second term will be selected by the encoding PGF ($G_1$ in Figure 10C), which evolves during the $t_1$ period (step 3 in Figure 10C) according to the heteronuclear chemical shift ($\Omega_3$). By applying an 180°(l) pulse at the middle of the $t_1$ period, the evolution of the heteronuclear coupling constant is refocused. Hence at the end of this increment $t_1$ delay, two different components remain:

$$\begin{align*}
    & \rightarrow \quad +2I_{1z}S_y \cos(\Omega_3 t_1) \cos(\pi J_{1z} \Delta) \sin(\pi J_{1z} \Delta) \\
    & \quad -2I_{1z}S_x \sin(\Omega_3 t_1) \cos(\pi J_{1z} \Delta) \sin(\pi J_{1z} \Delta)
\end{align*}$$

Eq. 1.19A

In terms of shift operators,[58] the Eq. 19A can be described as:

$$\begin{align*}
    & +\frac{1}{i}I_{1z}(S^+ + S^-) \cos(\Omega_3 t_1) \cos(\pi J_{1z} \Delta) \sin(\pi J_{1z} \Delta) \\
    & -I_{1z}(S^+ + S^-) \sin(\Omega_3 t_1) \cos(\pi J_{1z} \Delta) \sin(\pi J_{1z} \Delta)
\end{align*}$$

Eq. 1.19B

As it is described in Eq.1.10, $G_1$ and $G_2$ gradients select the E/A pathways in alternate acquisitions to obtain the N-type ($S^+$, echo) and P-type ($S^-$, antiecho).

Then, two simultaneous 90°($I_x$) and 90°($S_x$) pulses are applied:

$$\begin{align*}
    & Eq. 1.19A \quad \frac{90°(I_x)}{90°(S_x)} \rightarrow \\
    & \quad -2I_{1y}S_y \cos(\Omega_3 t_1) \cos(\pi J_{1z} \Delta) \sin(\pi J_{1z} \Delta) \\
    & \quad +2I_{1y}S_x \sin(\Omega_3 t_1) \cos(\pi J_{1z} \Delta) \sin(\pi J_{1z} \Delta)
\end{align*}$$

Eq. 1.20

Finally, these two components evolve again under chemical shift, homonuclear and heteronuclear coupling constant effects during the $\Delta$ delay of the refocused $^{13}$C-to-$^1$H

---

[58] To describe the effects of PFGs, it is convenient to convert the Cartesian operators $I_x$ and $I_y$ in terms of raising and lowering operators $I^+$ and $I^-$:

$$\begin{align*}
    I^+ &= I_x + iI_y \\
    I^- &= I_x - iI_y
\end{align*}$$

$$\begin{align*}
    I_+ &= \frac{1}{2}(I^+ + I^-) \\
    I_- &= \frac{1}{2i}(I^+ + I^-)
\end{align*}$$
INEPT block (step 4 in Figure 10C). A decoding PGF ($G_2$ in Figure 10C) is applied prior to acquisition, which only will select those CTPs involving SQCs of the $l$ spin. The observable magnetization for the heteronuclear three spin system can be described as a mixture of IP and AP components as follows:

\[
\text{Term I: } + I_{1x} \cos^2(\pi J_{1z2z} \Delta) \sin^2(\pi J_{1s} \Delta) \\
- 2I_{1y} S_z \cos^2(\pi J_{1z2z} \Delta) \sin(\pi J_{1s} \Delta) \cos(\pi J_{1s} \Delta) \\
+ 2I_{1z} J_{22} \cos(\pi J_{1z2z} \Delta) \sin(\pi J_{1z2z} \Delta) \sin^2(\pi J_{1s} \Delta) \\
+ 4I_{1y} J_{2z} S_z \cos(\pi J_{1z2z} \Delta) \sin(\pi J_{1z2z} \Delta) \cos(\pi J_{1s} \Delta) \sin(\pi J_{1s} \Delta)
\]

Eq. 1.21

The IP term I is the more relevant in HSQC spectra, showing an amplitude signal dependence to a $\cos^2(\pi J_{1z2z} \Delta) \sin^2(\pi J_{1s} \Delta)$ function.

2D HSQC cross-peaks can show strongly distorted twist-phased patterns along the detected F2 dimension due to these unwanted AP components (terms II, III and IV). These terms arise from two main factors:

- The mismatch between the experimental value of $\Delta$ delay (ideally optimized to single $J$ value, accordingly to $\Delta = 1/(2J_{CH})$) and the magnitude of the different $J_{CH}$, because $\Delta$ may not simultaneously satisfy the heteronuclear coupling arising for different spins of the molecule. This affects all terms having AP heteronuclear components (term II and IV).

- The evolution under the homonuclear coupling constants during the INEPT and refocused INEPT periods. This affects terms having AP homonuclear components (term III and IV).

In practice, the magnitudes of $J_{CH}$ (120–250 Hz) are generally more than one order of magnitude larger than $J_{HH}$ (0–15 Hz) therefore, the deleterious effects of $J_{HH}$ on the detected signal have usually been neglected in HSQC experiments. Table 2 shows the theoretical contribution of each term of Eq. 1.21 to the final detected signal in a conventional HSQC experiment assuming $J_{HH} = 10$ Hz and $30$ Hz.
Table 2: Effect of $J_{HH}$ on the different magnetization components to the detected signal in a conventional HSQC experiment ($^{1}J_{CH}$=145 Hz; $\Delta$=3.6 ms).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Contribution (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$J_{HH} = 10\text{Hz}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>85.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Phase distortions in 2D cross peaks are a huge source of error when a precise and accurate measurement of homo- and heteronuclear coupling constants or volume integrations are carried out. On the other hand, the complex signal intensity dependence also hinders any attempt for the quantitative analysis of HSQC datasets. Therefore, to solve or minimize these problems the design of more robust and improved $J_{HH}$ and $J_{CH}$-compensated HSQC sequences are strongly required.

Applying broadband heteronuclear decoupling during the acquisition in HSQC experiments presents several advantages: (i) substantial spectral simplification due to the heteronuclear $J$ splitting is removed, (ii) improved SNR due to the collapse of the multiplets to singlets, and (iii) the phase distortion problems due to AP contributions of $J_{CH}$ are removed. As it was mentioned before, a mixture of IP and AP components are available just prior to the acquisition (see Eq.1.21). Under heterodecoupling conditions, terms II and IV in Eq. 1.21 are converted to non-observable MQCs. Therefore, only two terms will contribute to the final signal detected:

\[
\begin{align*}
&\frac{1}{2} I_{1x} \cos^{2}(\pi f_{1f_{2}} A) \sin^{2}(\pi f_{1f_{2}} A) + 2 I_{1y} I_{2z} \cos(\pi f_{1f_{2}} A) \sin(\pi f_{1f_{2}} A) \sin^{2}(\pi f_{1f_{2}} A) \\
&\text{Term I} \\
&+ I_{1x} \cos^{2}(\pi f_{1f_{2}} A) \sin^{2}(\pi f_{1f_{2}} A) \sin^{2}(\pi f_{1f_{2}} A) \\
&\text{Term III}
\end{align*}
\]

Eq. 1.22

1.2.1.2. HSQC with PEP: improved sensitivity

The Preservation of Equivalent Pathways (PEP) methodology\[59\] is based on the implementation of a second refocused INEPT ($90^\circ$ shifted in relation to the first refocused

INEPT) into the regular HSQC pulse sequence (Figure 11), which allows to obtain a maximum sensitivity enhancement by a factor of $\sqrt{2}$ for IS spin systems.

For a simple IS spin system, the most important magnetization components at different points of the HSQC-PEP sequence are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Point a</th>
<th>Point b</th>
<th>Point c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$+I_z$</td>
<td>$+I_y \cos(\pi J_{IS} \Delta)$</td>
<td>$-2I_x S_y \cos(\Omega_3 t_1) \sin(\pi J_{IS} \Delta)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-2I_z S_y \sin(\pi J_{IS} \Delta)$</td>
<td>$+2I_x S_x \sin(\Omega_3 t_1) \sin(\pi J_{IS} \Delta)$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Eq. 1.23

In the original HSQC experiment, the conventional refocused INEPT converts the AP term $2I_x S_y$ into detectable IP proton magnetization ($I_y$) while the AP $2I_z S_x$ term is converted into non-observable $2I_y S_x$ MQCs (point d). At this point, a second refocusing INEPT is added in the HSQC-PEP version to recover this MQC. The strategy is based on keeping momentarily the observable magnetization $I_x$ along to the z-axis ($I_z$), whereas the $2I_y S_x$ term is converted into AP $2I_y S_z$ magnetization (point e):

$$
\text{r INEPT} \quad +I_x \cos(\Omega_3 t_1) \sin(\pi J_{IS} \Delta) \sin(\pi J_{IS} \Delta_1) \quad +I_z \cos(\Omega_3 t_1) \sin(\pi J_{IS} \Delta) \sin(\pi J_{IS} \Delta_1)
$$

Eq. 1.24
After the second refocused INEPT (point f), the \(2I_yS_x\) term evolves to IP magnetization in the form of \(I_x\), while the \(I_z\) term is still kept along the z-axis. Finally, a 90° \(I_x\) converts the \(I_z\) term to \(I_y\) magnetization whereas the other \(I_x\) term is not affected (point g):

\[
\Delta/2 - 180°(I_x)180°(S_x) - \Delta/2 \\
+ I_x \cos(\Omega_5t_1) \sin(\pi I_S \Delta) \sin(\pi I_1 \Delta_1) \\
+ I_x \sin(\Omega_5t_1) \sin^2(\pi I_1 \Delta) \\
\stackrel{90° (I_x)}{\longrightarrow} \\
- I_y \cos(\Omega_5 t_1) \sin(\pi I_S \Delta) \sin(\pi I_1 \Delta_1) \\
+ I_x \sin(\Omega_5 t_1) \sin^2(\pi I_1 \Delta)
\]

**Point f**  
**Point g**

Eq. 1.25

Because two orthogonal magnetization terms with sine and cosine modulation are retained in each acquisition, the detected signal is sine/cosine phase-modulated and therefore, a sensitivity enhancement of \(\sqrt{2}\) is obtained when compared with the conventional HSQC pulse sequence. Very importantly, such gain is retained even by the use the E/A method because the experiment is fully compatible with the phase-modulated nature of the signals. Nevertheless, it is not possible to completely refocus both magnetization terms for all multiplicities \(^{60}\) so that the \(\Delta_1\) delay must be adjusted accordingly to the maximum sensitivity enhancement that can be reached for a given spin system. When only \(I_S\) pairs are to be observed, the INEPT delays should be optimized to \(\Delta = \Delta_1 = 1/(2I_S)\), whereas for the detection of all multiplicities (\(I_S, I_2S\), and \(I_3S\)) the \(\Delta_1\) period should be reduced to an average \(1/(4I_S)\) value.

### 1.2.1.3. Measurement of heteronuclear \(^1J_{CH}/^1T_{CH}\) coupling constants

Heteronuclear one-bond \((^1J_{CH})\) and long-range coupling constants \((^nJ_{CH}; n>1)\) are important parameters in the structural, stereochemical, and conformational analysis of small- medium-sized organic compounds, natural products, and biomolecules. \(^1J_{CH}\) are related to the \(s\)-character of the \(CH\) bond and, for instance, can be key tools for the rapid characterization of anomeric centers in carbohydrates or to identify acetylenic functional groups, among others.\(^{61,62}\) Two-bond coupling constants \((^2J_{CH})\) can be experimentally

---


correlated with substitution patterns and bond orientations in $^1$H-C-$^{13}$C-X spin systems. On the other hand, three-bond coupling constants ($^3J_{	ext{CH}}$) can be correlated with dihedral angles in $^1$H-C-C-$^{13}$C spin systems following classical Karplus-type relationships.\(^{63}\)

In recent years, it has appeared an enormous interest for the measurement of Residual Dipolar Coupling (RDC) constants, especially one-bond proton–carbon RDC constants ($^1D_{	ext{CH}}$) in small molecules dissolved in weakly aligned anisotropic media.\(^{64}\) RDCs are anisotropic NMR parameters, which become observable if the compound in question is (marginally) oriented with respect to the magnetic field. If the degree of order is very small, the dipolar coupling interaction $D$ is scaled down by the same factor affording a residual dipolar coupling value. In these cases RDCs are obtained from the difference in multiplet splitting between anisotropic ($T = J + D$) and isotropic samples ($J$). As they are calculated from the difference of two coupling constants it is of prime importance to measure $J$ and $T$ with high accuracy and precision. Due to their global orientation information content, RDCs have shown significant impact on the structure determination of large label biomolecular\(^{65}\) and natural abundance organic compounds.\(^{64}\)

The HSQC experiment has been largely used for the sensitive measurement of $^1J_{	ext{CH}}$/$^1T_{	ext{CH}}$ coupling constants in solution and anisotropic media, respectively. $^1J_{	ext{CH}}$ values are large in magnitude (in the range of 120–250 Hz) and positive in sign, and they can be quickly measured for the large doublet observed in F1- or F2-heterocoupled HSQC spectra. In the case of $^nJ_{	ext{CH}}$, their values are in the same range as $J_{	ext{HH}}$, typically between 0 and 15 Hz, and they are more complicated to measure. Nowadays, both $^1J_{	ext{CH}}$ and $^nJ_{	ext{CH}}$ coupling constants can be efficiently measured by modern NMR methods based on HSQC- and HMBC/HSQMBC-related methods.

The nature of a cross-peak coupling pattern obtained from a particular NMR experiment is an important factor that must be taken into account when measuring quantitatively $^1J_{	ext{CH}}$ or $^nJ_{	ext{CH}}$. Different methodologies to extract coupling constants values according to their coupling pattern can be devised, as illustrated in Figure 12.


HSQC-based pulse schemes have been generally chosen for measuring $J_{CH}/T_{CH}$ but the accuracy and the simplicity on these experimental determinations are subjects of discussion. Some topics of recent interest have been:

i. The design of general and robust NMR methods that works efficiently for all multiplicities.

ii. The discussion about whether the $J_{CH}/T_{CH}$ splitting should be measured from the direct F2 ($^{1}H$) or the indirect F1 ($^{13}C$) dimension of a coupled 2D HSQC spectrum.

iii. The optimum measurement when large variations of $J_{CH}/T_{CH}$ values are present.

iv. The accurate measurement of $J_{CH}/T_{CH}$ for individual protons in diastereotopic CH$_2$ or NH$_2$ groups.

v. The simultaneous determination of additional coupling constants from the analysis of the same cross-peak, being the maximum interest the sign-sensitive determination of geminal $J_{HH}/T_{HH}$ values.

vi. The detection and recognition of the presence of undesired strong coupling effects and evaluation of their influence on the accuracy of the measurement.
**CLIP-HSQC: Measurement of $^1J_{CH}$ along the detected F2 dimension**

The easier method to measure $^1J_{CH}/T_{CH}$ is from the detected dimension of a conventional HSQC experiment recorded without heteronuclear decoupling during proton acquisition, referred to as F2-coupled HSQC experiment (Figure 13A). The main advantages of such an approach are (i) its easy and direct measurement due to the presence of large doublets (Figure 13C), (ii) the high levels of digital resolution readily available in the proton dimension, and (iii) different peaks belonging to diastereotopic $\text{CH}_2$ groups can be individually analyzed. The main drawback is that signals exhibit the typical $J_{HH}/T_{HH}$ multiplet pattern structure along F2 dimension, which can hamper the accurate $^1J_{CH}/T_{CH}$ measurement. In addition, broad signals and/or the large contributions of RDCs can generate poorly defined multiplets that make even more difficult accurate measurements.

![Figure 13: A) F2-coupled HSQC and B) CLIP-HSQC pulse schemes for the measurement of $^1J_{CH}$ along the direct F2 dimension. The interpulse delay $\Delta$ is set to $1/(2^1J_{CH})$ and a basic two-step phase cycling is executed with $\Phi_1 = x,-x$ and $\Phi_{rec} = x,-x$. Gradients for coherence selection using the E/A protocol are represented by $G_1$ and $G_2$ ($G_1 : G_2 = \pm 80:20.1$) and $\delta$ stands for the duration and the gradient and its recovery delay. The final 90° ($^1\text{C}$) stands for the so-called CLIP pulse to remove heteronuclear AP contributions. C) F2-coupled CLIP-HSQC spectrum of strychnine [2] recorded in a 500 MHz spectrometer. The magnitude of $^1J_{CH}$ can be easily measured from the large clean IP doublet observed along the detected dimension, as shown in the inset.](image)

The effects on the phase and the intensity observed in different HSQC cross-peaks as a function of the magnitudes of $J_{HH}$, $^1J_{CH}$, and the delay $\Delta$ optimization for several
F2-heterocoupled HSQC schemes can be monitored from 1D spectral simulations (Figure 14A). Thus, the phase anomalies observed in conventional F2-heterocoupled HSQC cross-peaks (Figure 14A) result from the mismatch between the optimized Δ delay and the active $^1J_{CH}$ value (terms II and IV derived in Eq. 1.21), and from the evolution of $J_{HH}$ during the INEPT periods (term III and IV in Eq. 1.21). Such distortions limit any attempt to realize an accurate analysis in terms of signal quantification via peak integration or direct measurement of $^1J_{CH}$ and $J_{HH}$ magnitudes.

**Figure 14:** Simulated 1D spectra showing the phase peak distortion effects in 140-Hz optimized A) F2-heterocoupled HSQC and B) CLIP-HSQC spectra. Six protons belonging to three different diastereotopic CH$_2$ groups have been simulated with a wide range of $J_{HH}$ and $^1J_{CH}$ values, as shown in the upper part.

A simple solution to partially solve these phase distortions was proposed with the CLear In-Phase HSQC (CLIP-HSQC) experiment$^{66}$ which applies a 90° $^{13}$C pulse (from the x-axis) just prior the acquisition (Figure 13B). In this way, the AP contributions due to $^1J_{CH}$ are converted to MQCs (terms IIa and IVa) and, apparently, clean IP patterns should be obtained in the absence of any $J_{HH}$ coupling (term IIIa).

$$
\text{Eq. 1.21}
$$

Eq. 1.26

However, in the presence of $J_{HH}$, a mixture of observable IP (term I\textsubscript{a}) and AP components (term III\textsubscript{a}) are still active, as shown in the simulated spectrum of Figure 14B. In practice, due to the large difference of magnitudes between $^1J_{CH}$ and $J_{HH}$, these unwanted contributions are small and they have been traditionally omitted in cross-peak analysis in CLIP-HSQC or F2-heterodecoupled HSQC experiments. A simple calculation shows that these effects may become important. For instance, the relative percentage of the term III\textsubscript{a} with respect to Term I\textsubscript{a} in a 140-Hz optimized CLIP-HSQC experiment is of 5.6% and 17% for $J_{HH}$ values of 5 and 15 Hz, respectively. Such percentages can be more pronounced when measuring RDCs in anisotropic media, where higher $J_{HH}$ values are usually involved.

The CLIP-HSQC experiment (Figure 13B) proves to be an efficient tool to determine the $^1J_{CH}/^1T_{CH}$ value from the resulting clean in-phase doublets.\textsuperscript{66} However, strong $J_{HH}$ coupling effects can generate a high degree of asymmetry between the high- and low-field multiplet lines in F2-coupled HSQC spectra, which can preclude reliable determination of $^3J_{CH}/^1T_{CH}$ coupling constants values. This drawback has already been described, particularly for CH spin systems in carbohydrates or on the typical strong geminal interaction found in diastereotopic CH\textsubscript{2} spin systems, and some practical solutions have been proposed.\textsuperscript{67}

- **BIRD-HSQC: Measurement of $^1J_{CH}$ along the indirect F1 dimension**

The measurement of $^1J_{CH}/^1T_{CH}$ exclusively along the indirect F1 dimension of a HSQC spectrum is recommended to avoid the interferences of $J_{HH}/T_{HH}$ splittings, but a major inconvenient arises for the need of a large number of $t_1$ increments, and therefore longer acquisition times. The successful use of Non-Uniform Sample (NUS) techniques,\textsuperscript{68} $J$ scaling factors or spectral folding/aliasing can speed up data acquisition and/or increase the digital resolution in the F1 dimension. Several F1-coupled HSQC pulse schemes have been compared and evaluated by Thiele and Bermel.\textsuperscript{69} The most simple approach results from the removing of the central 180° $^1$H pulse placed in the middle of the $t_1$ evolution period in the conventional HSQC experiment, referred to as F1-coupled HSQC experiment
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(Figure 15A). A more convenient method incorporates a G-BIRD\textsuperscript{x} module (Figure 15B) to allow the simultaneous evolution of both $^{1}J_{\text{CH}}/^{1}T_{\text{CH}}$ (with optional $k$ scaling factor) and $^{13}C$ chemical shift evolution while contributions from $^{3}J_{\text{CH}}/^{3}T_{\text{CH}}$ are efficiently refocused.\textsuperscript{69e}

The better line shapes along the indirect dimension allow the determination of $^{1}J_{\text{CH}}/^{1}T_{\text{CH}}$ by simply measuring the frequency difference between the peak maxima of singlets instead of the centers of complex multiplets.

![Figure 15: Several pulse schemes to achieve F1-heterocoupled HSQC spectra: A) F1-coupled HSQC, and B) F1-coupled BIRD-HSQC.](image)

The accurate measurement of the two $^{1}J_{\text{CH}}/^{1}T_{\text{CH}}$ values and particularly the geminal $^{2}J_{\text{HH}}/^{2}T_{\text{HH}}$ coupling in diastereotopic $\text{C}_{\text{AHAB}}$ groups has always been a challenging task, particularly for F1-coupled HSQC experiments. Several methods have been proposed for measuring them either from the F1 or from the F2 dimension, but they all present some drawbacks that have prevented their general use. For instance, the passive $^{1}J_{\text{CHB}}/^{1}T_{\text{CHB}}$ value can be separately measured into the active $\text{H}_{\text{A}}$ cross-peak, and vice versa, along the F1 dimension of a $J$-resolved HMBC experiment.\textsuperscript{70} In addition, the large doublet is further split by the $^{2}J_{\text{AHAB}}/^{2}T_{\text{AHAB}}$ coupling yielding a doublet of doublets. The disadvantage is that additional experiments can be required to measure $^{1}J_{\text{CH}}/^{1}T_{\text{CH}}$ for CH or CH\textsubscript{3} spin systems, and significant distortions on the cross-peaks (banana shape peaks) are frequently observed in the spectra of complex small molecules. Another important group of NMR experiments are those based on spin-state selection specifically designed for methylene spin systems.
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groups that yield simplified coupling patterns, and where the sign and the magnitude of the geminal $J_{HH}/T_{HH}$ can sometimes be additionally extracted.\(^{71}\)

1.2.2. The HSQMBC experiment

The Heteronuclear Multiple Bond Correlation (HMBC)\(^{72}\) and the Heteronuclear Single Quantum Multiple-Bond Correlation (HSQMBC) experiment\(^{73}\) are the long-range optimized versions of the HMQC and HSQC experiment, respectively. They provide heteronuclear correlations between protons and both protonated and non-protonated carbon atoms separated by more than one bond, (usually two- and three-bond correlations). Long-range proton-carbon correlations routinely extracted from HMBC and HSQMBC spectra are key elements in the structural characterization of small and medium-sized molecules in solution.\(^{74}\) The HMBC experiment usually gives better sensitivity ratios but, in many cases, the equivalent HSQMBC is the preferred technique because it generally affords a better performance in terms of simplicity, peak phase behavior and pulse sequence analysis.

Additionally, the measurement of $nJ_{CH}$ ($n>1$) has been another hot topic of interest in small molecule NMR.\(^{75}\) Typically, the $nJ_{CH}$ values cover a range from 0 to 15 Hz and this makes that HMBC and HSQMBC experiments present some additional problems compared to their analogs HMQC and HSQC experiments, respectively:

i. HMBC/HSQMBC experiments are usually optimized to 5-8 Hz, which means that the inter-pulse delay lasts about 50-70 ms, whereas in HSQC/HMQC pulse sequences such delay is about 3.5 ms (usually optimized to $^1J_{CH} =140$ Hz). For that reason, the pulse sequences can become too long and relaxation losses are more severe, especially for molecules with short $T_2$ relaxation times. To reduce the duration of the

---


sequence as much as possible, these experiments are usually recorded under non-refocusing conditions and the resulting cross-peaks present AP multiplet pattern with respect to the active $n_J_{CH}$.

ii. Since $J_{HH}$ and $n_J_{CH}$ values have similar sizes, simultaneous evolutions of $J_{HH}$ and $n_J_{CH}$ during the inter-pulse delays generate mixtures of IP and AP magnetization components. This results in highly phase-distorted and complex cross-peaks and can produce important reduction of signal intensities due to signal cancellation, which can lead to difficult data analysis.

1.2.2.1. Basic HSQMBC pulse scheme

Similarly to HSQC, the HSQMBC experiment is based on the heteronuclear polarization INEPT transfer through $n_J_{CH}$, via the selection of SQCs during the $t_1$ period. The transfer mechanism allows to obtain long-range heteronuclear correlations between protons and both protonated and non-protonated carbon atoms (Figure 16). Experimentally, the only requirement is the re-optimization of the inter-pulse $\Delta$ delay to a small coupling value, about typically 5–8 Hz (Figure 16C). Under these conditions, the undesired effects of $J_{HH}$ evolution during the long INEPT periods become very important because the magnitudes of $J_{HH}$ and $n_J_{CH}$ coupling values are similar in size. The HSQMBC experiment has been traditionally used in a non-refocused mode$^{73b}$ to minimize losses by $T_2$ relaxation.

![Figure 16: Schematic representation of the A) transfer mechanism; B) cross-peak pattern and C) pulse sequence of the standard non-refocused HSQMBC experiment. Thin and thick vertical rectangles represent 90° and 180° hard pulses, respectively. The delay $\Delta$ should be set to $1/(2n_J_{CH})$ and $\delta$ represents the duration of the PFG and its recovery delay. Coherence selection is performed by the gradient pair $G_1/G_2$ using the E/A protocol ($G_1/G_2=\pm 80:20.1$). A basic two-step phase cycling is executed with $\Phi_1=x,-x$ and $\Phi_{rec}=x,-x$.](image-url)
HSQMBC has a great advantage with respect to the HMBC experiment because $J_{HH}$ evolution is not present during the $t_1$ period. In addition, data can be presented in a phase sensitive mode with pure absorption lineshapes which means that is perfectly suitable for easy measurement of $^nJ_{CH}$.

The PO analysis of the HSQMBC pulse sequence is exactly like HSQC (see section 1.2.1.1.), the only change is that the interpulse $\Delta$ delay of the INEPT block is adjusted to $^nJ_{CH}$ instead of $^1J_{CH}$ and the refocused INEPT period is not applied. So that, if a weakly coupled $H_1H_2C$ spin system is considered, the observable magnetization just before acquisition can be described as:

$$- 2H_1yC_z \cos\left(\pi J_{H_1H_2} \Delta\right) \sin\left(\pi ^nJ_{H_1C} \Delta\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Eq. 1.27}

In the case of a refocused HSQMBC, the detected signal is described as shown in Eq. 1.21. As a result, the cross peaks of the 2D $^1H$-$^{13}C$ HSQMBC spectrum appear phase distorted because of the AP character with respect to $^nJ_{CH}$ (term II and IV) and $J_{HH}$ (term III and IV) modulations during the long INEPT period. Table 3 shows the contribution of each term to the final detected signal in a refocused HSQMBC experiment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Contribution (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition, cross-peak intensities in HSQMBC strongly depend on the mismatch between $\Delta$ optimization and the corresponding $^nJ_{CH}$ values and also on the potential losses by $T_2$ relaxation. The hard analysis of these complex multiplets has prevented its general use as a routine task.
1.2.2.2. Improvements in HSQMBC experiments

Due to the above mentioned problems associated to the simultaneous evolution of $J_{HH}$ and $\nu J_{CH}$ coupling constants during the INEPT blocks, several improvements have been proposed, specially focused on the easy measurement of $\nu J_{CH}$, without need of sophisticated and time-consuming post-processing tasks. Some of these new HSQMBC experiments are described below.

- Implementing BIRD block: BIRD-HSQMBC

The BIRD$^{4b}$ element is generally used for two main purposes: (i) to selectively observe protons bound to $^{13}C$ and suppress those bound to $^{12}C$ (see section 1.1.1.1.), and (ii) to differentiate direct from long-range heteronuclear correlations thanks to the large difference in their values. As an application of the BIRD$^Y$ module, Figure 17B shows the pulse scheme for the HSQMBC-BIRD experiment.$^{75a}$ It is a non-refocused HSQMBC experiment where the BIRD block is introduce in the middle of the INEPT element to minimize direct $^1J_{CH}$ responses. In addition, $J_{HH}$ are partially refocused (except for $^2J_{HH}$) reducing phase distortions and additional $J_{HH}$ signal modulation in the resulting cross-peaks.

![Figure 17: Several 2D non-refocused HSQMBC pulse schemes to obtain heteronuclear long-range correlation spectra ($\Delta=1/[2^1J_{CH}]$). The initial transfer step is: A) a basic INEPT, B) an INEPT-BIRD block ($\Delta=1/[2^1J_{CH}]$); C) a CPMG XY-16 super cycle consisting of simultaneous $^1H$ and $^{13}C$ pulses applied at intervals $2\eta$; D) a CPMG-BIRD element combining the features described in B and C.](image-url)
**Implementing CPMG pulse train: CPMG-HSQMBC**

It has been also reported that by applying a Carr-Purcell-Maiboom-Gill (CPMG) pulse train during the INEPT period\textsuperscript{76} (Figure 17C), the $J_{HH}$ evolution can be minimized if the interpulse delay between the $\pi$ pulses is shortening than $1/2\sqrt{J^2 + \Delta\nu^2}$. In case of weak coupling the condition can be approximated as $1/2\Delta\nu_{max}$, where $\Delta\nu_{max}$ is the larger chemical shift difference between the weakly coupled proton partners.

Thus, with the use of the CPMG element the effect of undesired homonuclear $J_{HH}$ modulation from HSQMBC-like sequences could be minimized. However, it has been accepted that $J_{HH}$ coupling constants cannot be completely removed for all spin systems using CPMG due to the need to use a very short delays between pulses ($2\pi < 100\ \mu$s). Importantly, the use of very short inter-pulse delays can put in serious troubles the limits of the probehead due to sample heating effects. In addition, it is important to remark that homonuclear TOCSY transfer can be also effective during the CPMG period.

In general, the proposed CPMG-HSQMBC experiment performs better than the original HSQMBC sequence and it has been demonstrated that an efficient measurement of $^{n}J_{CH}$ can be carried out. Williamson and co-workers published the BIRD-CPMG-HSQMBC experiment\textsuperscript{77} where the concepts of BIRD and CPMG were implemented into the same pulse sequence (Figure 17D) obtaining spectra with minimum distortions.

**Implementing frequency-selective 180°$^1$H pulses: selHSQMBC**

Despite the fact that BIRD-HSQMBC and CPMG-HSQMBC experiments have proved efficient for the measurement of $^{n}J_{CH}$ in both protonated and non-protonated carbon atoms, the modulation of the intensity by the homonuclear $J_{HH}$ couplings still remain as the most important drawback to overcome. A very simple solution to avoid such $J_{HH}$ interferences in HSQMBC pulse schemes was proposed by our research group.\textsuperscript{78} The central 180° $^1$H pulse into the INEPT periods can be replaced by a frequency-selective 180° $^1$H pulse (Figure 18A) to prevent the undesired $J_{HH}$ coupling evolution, whereas selective heteronuclear polarization transfer for the selected proton is still achieved. The proposed selective experiment has been implementing in the refocused HSQMBC version
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to obtain cross peaks displaying IP coupling pattern with respect to $^nJ_{CH}$. Although that idea can be implemented in the original non-refocused HSQMBC experiment where the resulting cross peaks would present AP coupling pattern with respect to the active $^nJ_{CH}$, accidental line cancelation and/or complex analysis of AP multiplets could still remain, meaning that tedious and time-consuming fitting procedures would be required.

As it has been shown before, in conventional HSQMBC experiment the observable magnetization just before acquisition can be described as a mixture of IP and AP components (Eq. 1.21). In selHSQMBC experiments the evolution under the $J_{HH}$ is prevented, such that the final magnetization can be described as:

$$+ H_{1X} \sin^2(\pi n J_{CH} \Delta) \quad \text{IP Term}$$

$$- 2H_{1Y}C_r \sin(\pi n J_{CH} \Delta) \cos(\pi n J_{CH} \Delta) \quad \text{AP Term}$$

Eq. 1.28
As a result, selHSQMBC cross-peaks show a small AP contribution which distorts the signal phases along the detected F2 dimension. The application of the CLIP technique has been proposed to solve that problem. The CLIP-HSQMBC experiment yields undistorted IP $^1$H multiplets with pure absorptive line shapes along the detected dimension from which the easy, direct, and accurate measurement of $^nJ_{CH}$ can be performed. As discussed before for other CLIP experiments, the key point of this sequence is the $90^\circ$ $^{13}$C pulse applied just prior to acquisition (Figure 18B), which efficiently converts the existing dispersive AP contribution to non-observable MQCs. The resulting cross-peaks show an additional splitting compared to the conventional $^1$H multiplet arising from the active proton–carbon coupling because proton acquisition is performed without heteronuclear decoupling (Figure 19A). The magnitude of $^nJ_{CH}$ can be extracted directly by analyzing peak frequency separation as usually made for conventional $^1$H multiplets. The phase properties of the multiplet and therefore the accurate extraction of $^nJ_{CH}$ are independent of experiment optimization, with a small uncertainty of 0.1–0.2 Hz, but important errors of 20–30% should be easily introduced when omitting the CLIP pulse (Figure 19B). In practice, a perfect match between $^nJ_{CH}$ and the experiment optimization is not critical, cross-peaks show a clear dependence with the $\sin^2(\pi nJ_{CH} \Delta)$ function, and $^nJ_{CH}$ values in the range 3–10 Hz can be measured in a 6–8 Hz optimized selHSQMBC experiment (Figure 19B).

For more complex multiplets, the separation of the outer peaks of the multiplet can be compared to that in the $^1$H spectrum to extract indirectly the additional splitting. Alternatively, a simple fitting procedure taking the internal satellite $^1J_{CH}$ component as decoupled reference multiplet can be applied. On the other hand, a double-selective 1D version of a refocused HSQMBC experiment has been also proposed for the fast and accurate measurement of specific $^nJ_{CH}$ values from pure IP 1D multiplets.

A powerful alternative for the simple and direct determination of $^nJ_{\text{CH}}$ in broad, unresolved, or highly complex selHSQMBC multiplets is based on the incorporation of the IPAP principle that relies on the separate acquisition of complementary IP and AP datasets\cite{81} (left part of Figure 20). The IP data are generated applying the initial hard 90° $^1$H pulse of the refocused INEPT (mark in blue) with from $\gamma$-axis ($\Psi=\gamma$) and the hard 180° $^{13}$C pulse ($\zeta=\text{on}$), whereas the AP data (with a $\sin(\pi n J_{\text{H,C}} \Delta)$ signal intensity dependence) are obtained using the same scheme with $\Psi=x$ and omitting the last 180° and 90° $^{13}$C pulses to avoid $^nJ_{\text{CH}}$ refocusing ($\zeta=\text{off}$). Time-domain data combination (IP±AP) affords two


Figure 19: A) 2D CLIP-selHSQMBC spectrum of strychnine [2] after pulsing on H20b with a 20 ms Gaussian-shaped 180° $^1$H pulse. The inter-pulse delay $\Delta$ was optimized to 62.5 ms (corresponding to $^nJ_{\text{CH}}=8$ Hz). B) Direct extraction of $^nJ_{\text{CH}}$ can be made from pure in-phase cross-peaks, independent of experiment optimization (from 4 to 10 Hz).
separate pure-phase $\alpha$- and $\beta$-selHSQMBC subspectra where the $^{n}J_{CH}$ value can be extracted by direct analysis of the relative frequency displacement between these $\alpha/\beta$ cross-peaks along the highly resolved F2 dimension (right part of Figure 20). In this manner, accurate $^{n}J_{CH}$ values can be easily extracted, irrespective of the multiplet complexity and avoiding the typical overestimation associated to the direct analysis of AP signals or the lack of multiplet definition in IP signals. The success of the IPAP technique relies on the complementarity between the IP and AP data, and the percentage of undesired cross-talk generated during IP±AP data combination will be proportional to a
$$
\sin^{2}(\pi^{n}J_{H,c}\Delta) - \sin^{2}(\pi^{n}J_{H,c}\Delta)
$$
factor. The use of individualized scaling factor (AP±$k$*IP) factors can compensate unbalanced IPAP cross-peaks. As a bonus, the IPAP methodology offers additional controls to confirm the accuracy of the measurement or the presence of cross-talking. Three different multiplets (IP, AP, and $\alpha/\beta$) are available for independent measurements and proper data comparison and validation.

The main limitation of these experiments relies on the selective concept because not all the protons can be simultaneously excited/observed or decoupled/unmodulated at the same time and several experiments may be needed to measure all the targeted couplings. However, multiple protons can be simultaneously studied in a single experiment using region-selective or multiple frequency-selective pulses, provided that all excited protons are not mutually $J$-coupled.
As it was mention before, in the case of $^{n}J_{CH}/^{0}T_{CH}$ the values are in the same range as $J_{HH}/T_{HH}$ (ca. 0-15 Hz) and they are more complicated to measure. Most of these available long-range methods rely on the basic HMQC and HSQMBC pulse schemes, or on related hybrid HSQC-TOCSY experiments with a limited application to protonated carbons. Figure 21 shows different topologies defining the transfer mechanism followed in HSQC/HSQMBC-based experiments designed to measure $J_{CH}$. In the last few years, several modified selHSQMBC methods (c.a. selHSQMBC-TOCSY and selHSQMBC-COSY) have been developed for the measurement of the sing and the magnitude of $^{n}J_{CH}$.

![Figure 21: Schematic representation of several spin systems that can be studied by HSQC and HSQMBC type experiments](image)

2. OBJECTIVES

The general and specific aims of this doctoral thesis are briefly described below:

• Learn the main theoretical, technical and practical aspects of the NMR spectroscopy and acquire experience working on different spectrometers, in the implementation and set-up of NMR experiments and in pulse programming skills. All these knowledge are essential to be able to carry out the rest of the proposed objectives.

• Know, evaluate and compare the existing NMR methods related with the field we wanted to study, in order to better understand the advantages/drawbacks of each methodology.

• Design of new NMR methods to overcome the different drawbacks/limitations observed in the existing ones and its application to solve real chemical problems. As a starting point, a main objective was especially focused on the development of improved HSQC and HSQMBC experiments to measure coupling constants, with special interest in the determination of small coupling values, their positive/negative sign, and the implementation of fast and accurate methods to extract $J$ values from the direct analysis of multiplets.

• Explore the possibilities of modern NMR methodologies, with special interest in broadband homodecoupled techniques. Analysis of pros and cons, and evaluation of their potential to solve real chemical problems, such as the eternal problem of NMR signal overlap.

• Special interest in optimizing NMR experiments that offer the following basic features:
  - Easy implementation with emphasis to achieve the maximum level of automation.
  - Simple in terms of data acquisition and without extensive and sophisticated set-up and post-processing tasks.
  - General applicability covering a wide range of sample and NMR experimental conditions.
  - Optimal spectral quality in terms of resolution and sensitivity.
3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This section is centered on the experimental results obtained during this doctoral thesis in relation to the development and application of modern pure shift NMR methodologies and improved HSQC and HSQMBC experiments. The results have been published in different scientific journals as ten original research papers. Below, a brief description of each publication is presented:

- In **Publication 1** an improved sensitivity-improved slice-selective NMR method based on a multiple-slice excitation concept is proposed. The success of the method is demonstrated by enhancing SNR by more than one order of magnitude in ZS experiments.

- In **Publication 2** a new, fast and full-sensitive pure shift NMR technique, referred to as *Homodecoupled Band-Selective* (HOBS), is presented. HOBS experiments yields broadband homodecoupled spectra in particular areas of the $^1$H spectrum where do not appear mutually $J$-coupled protons. Implementation on 2D experiments is also illustrated with practical examples.

- Practical applications of the HOBS methodology have been published to differentiate signals with small chemical shift differences, such as shown in the analysis of individual signal intensity decays for measuring $T_1$ and $T_2$ relaxation times in overlapped regions (**Publication 3**), in enantiodifferentiation studies by using chiral solvating agents (**Publication 4**) and in the measurement of long-range heteronuclear coupling constants or the design of pure shift selHSQMBC experiments (**Publication 6**).

- Introduction to the concept of ultra-high-resolution NMR spectroscopy. It is shown that pure shift HSQC experiments incorporating broadband homonuclear decoupling along the acquisition F2 dimension combined with other resolution-enhanced NMR techniques, such as spectral aliasing or NUS along the indirect F1 dimension improve key NMR features, such as spectral resolution and digital resolution, using standard spectrometer configurations. These new highly-resolved experiments have been applied to determine very small chemical shift differences simultaneously for $^{13}$C and $^1$H in enantiodifferentiation studies (**Publication 5**) and to analyze highly complex mixtures of very similar stereoisomers exhibiting near-identical $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR spectra. (**Publication 7**).
• In **Publication 8**, a new *Pure In-Phase* (PIP) heteronuclear correlation NMR experiment (referred to as PIP-HSQC and PIP-HSQMBC) is proposed as a method to avoid complex data analysis. In these new experiments, all the undesired AP contributions present in conventional HSQC and HSQMBC experiments are efficiently suppressed, obtaining spectra where all the cross-peaks display perfect IP multiple patterns which are suitable for an accurate extraction of scalar $J$ couplings and RDCs.

• In **publication 9**, an improved HSQC experiment (referred to as perfect-HSQC) is proposed for the efficient suppression of phase and amplitude $J_{HH}$ modulations. The features of the obtained spectrum allow carrying out an accurate measurement of homo- and heteronuclear scalar and dipolar coupling constants. In addition, guidelines are provided for the future use of HSQC datasets as a quantitative NMR tools by peak volume integration.

• In **Publication 10**, a compilation and discussion of the different improvements reported for HSQC and HSQMBC experiments in the last years is presented. Some of the new experiments exposed along this doctoral thesis are also included and exemplified with practical examples.

Since every published paper has gone through a review process by chemists and NMR experts, not much attention is devoted to the discussion of the results beyond what is discussed into each original publication. Nevertheless, a little introduction is presented for each one of the published papers.
PUBLICATION 1

*Simultaneous multi-slice excitation in spatially encoded NMR experiments*

Laura Castañar, Pau Nolis, Albert Virgili and Teodor Parella.
Introduction

The most serious drawback of spatially encoded NMR experiments is their reduced SNR because the observed signal only arises from a discrete slice of the sample. Therefore, novel approaches are required to improve the inherent low SNR of slice-selective NMR experiments and make them of practical and general use with moderately concentrated samples.

In the last few years, several approaches have been reported to enhance SNR per time unit in slice-selective experiments:

i. Sequential slice excitation with the aim of reducing the long recycle delay and shortening the overall acquisition time in 1D and 2D experiments\(^{47,85}\) or performing continuous data acquisition, as described in fast monitoring reaction studies\(^{86}\). This strategy uses a fast pulsing approach with around 100 ms of recycle delay, and after each scan, the offset of the selective shaped pulse is changed to access fresh equilibrium magnetization from adjacent frequency/spatial regions. Sakhaii \textit{et al.}\(^{47}\) reported how an optimized division of the NMR tube in eight slices by changing the offset accordingly affords an experiment increment by a \(\sqrt{8}\) factor in the original ZS experiment.\(^{47}\) Similarly, spatially selective HMQC spectra have been rapidly recorded within 45-90 s dividing the NMR tube of protein samples in four z-slices.\(^{85b}\)

ii. It has been reported that the use of the so-called through-polarization sharing can afford an average enhancement by a factor of two.\(^{48}\) This approach is based on the original \textit{Acceleration by Sharing Adjacent Polarization} (ASAP) technique that uses a short recycle delay consisting of a 40 ms isotropic DIPSI-2 pulse train flanked by two gradients.\(^{87}\) The method presents some limitations because sensitivity enhancement is not uniform for all signals and strongly dependent of the different relaxation properties of the excited protons while other spins remain unperturbed, preventing any attempt of quantification.

In this article a novel strategy to enhance the experimental sensitivity in spatially encoded NMR experiments applying a multiple-frequency modulated pulse to simultaneously excite different slices in a single NMR experiment is proposed. The

\[\text{[86]}\ \text{G. E. Wagner, P. Sakhaii, W. Bermel, K. Zangger, \textit{Chem. Commun.}, 2013, 49, 3155.}\]
\[\text{[87]}\ \text{R. Freeman, E. Kupče, \textit{Magn. Reson. Chem.}, 2007, 45, 2.}\]
increased sensitivity observed in the $^1$H spectrum is proportional to number of offsets applied. The proposal is based on the careful setting of multiple offsets to avoid the excitation of mutually $J$-coupled protons within the same slice which would result in distorted multiplets due to $J_{HH}$ evolution. As a proof of the method, we have applied it on a sample of the anti-inflammatory drug ibuprofen, that contains a relative simple $^1$H spectrum, and on a sample of cyclosporine, which presents a more complex $^1$H spectrum. In both cases, the sensitivity of slice-selective experiments has been substantially improved compared with the conventional experiments.

One of the advantages of this proposal is the easy implementation of multi-frequency pulses without the need to modify existing pulse sequences, having a considerable impact on the success of a wide variety of NMR applications. As predicted theoretically, the SNR in pure shift $^1$H NMR spectra of the cyclopeptide cyclosporine recorded with the pseudo-2D ZS and real-time techniques is enhanced by an average experimental factor of $\sim 7$ when an 8-site multiple-frequency 180° pulse is applied instead of a conventional single-frequency pulse.
Simultaneous Multi-Slice Excitation in Spatially Encoded NMR Experiments

Laura Castañar, Pau Nolis, Albert Virgili, and Teodor Parella[a]

Recently, there has been a growing interest in spatially localized NMR spectroscopic techniques based on the incorporation of the traditional slice selection concept implemented in magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) applications.

Several high-resolution NMR methods applying spatial frequency encoded excitation along an NMR tube have been suggested as means of obtaining specific information from a particular slice. For instance, selective spin-lattice \( T_1 \) relaxation times[10] and all proton-proton coupling constants for a selected proton resonance from a slice-selective J-resolved (G-SELE) experiment have been measured.[11] Broadband homodecoupled \( ^1H \) spectra with the Zangerl-Sterk (ZS) method have been obtained.[12] Slice-selective diffusion experiments have been carried out[13] and diagonal-suppressed 2D experiments are also possible.[14] Sequential multi-slice selection has been exploited for cases in which nuclear spins in different parts of the NMR tube are exclusively excited during subsequent transients by changing the offset frequency while the previously used spins have time to relax towards equilibrium before being excited again, resulting in significantly shorter overall acquisition times. Examples have been utilized to accelerate data acquisition in multidimensional NMR experiments,[15] to improve the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) per time unit in the ZS method[16] or to study the kinetics of a reaction on the ms time scale.[17] The use of multiple-frequency pulses[18] has been recently suggested as a method of effective broadband \(^{13}C \) homodecoupling in slice-selected HMQC experiments for highly-enriched \(^{13}C \) samples.[19]

Experimentally, spatial frequency encoding is achieved easily by simultaneous application of a frequency-selective 90° or 180° \(^1H \) pulse and a spatial-encoding gradient, \( G_z \). The range of sampled frequencies (SWC) is defined by the strength of \( G_z \) according to \( SW_z = \gamma G_z L \), where \( \gamma \) is the gyromagnetic ratio of the spatially encoded nucleus and \( L \) is the active volume coil length. On the other hand, the carrier frequency (2\( \delta \)) and the selective pulse bandwidth (\( \Delta \gamma \)) determine the \( z \)-position of each nuclear spin \( z = \beta/(\gamma G_z) \) and the slice thickness \( (\Delta z = \Delta \gamma/(\gamma G_z)) \), respectively. Thus, the overall SNR of a slice-selective experiment will depend both on the strength of the encoding gradient and on the selectivity of the pulse. For instance, a typical 20 ms Gaussian-shaped 180° pulse (bandwidth of 60.7 Hz) applied simultaneously with a gradient of 0.743 G cm\(^{-1}\) (this is 1.39% of the maximum gradient strength of 53.5 G cm\(^{-1}\) delivered by our gradient unit) splits the sample height (\( L = 1.8 \) cm) into around 94 slices along the \( z \) axis, defining a slice thickness of about 0.019 cm and covering a \( SW_z \) of 5694 Hz (9.47 ppm in a 600 MHz spectrometer). Thus, under these general conditions, the single-slice selection procedure would afford only about 1% of the sensitivity of a conventional \(^1H \) spectrum. This low SNR could be improved by using shorter and less selective pulses and/or less intense encoding gradients but always with an increase in the probability of accidental excitation of two coupled spins within the same slice.

As mentioned, the most serious drawback of spatially encoded NMR experiments is their reduced sensitivity because the observed signal only arises from a discrete slice of the sample. Therefore, novel approaches are required to improve the low SNR and to make these types of experiments practical for use with moderately concentrated samples. Herein, we exploit the sensitivity benefits of applying a multiple-frequency modulated pulse to simultaneously excite different slices in a single NMR experiment. Our proposal is based on the careful setting of multiple offsets to avoid the excitation of mutually J-coupled protons within the same slice (Figure 1) which would result in distorted multiplets due to \( J_{\nu-H} \) evolution.

We have used two basic experiments to evaluate the effectiveness of multiple-frequency pulses in slice-selective experiments. The 1D \( z \)-profile image of the sample can be obtained with the conventional echo gradient pulse sequence including an initial selective 180° pulse and a simultaneous encoding gradient to visualize the frequency excitation achieved in the \( z \) direction (Figure 2A). The experimental effects on the NMR spectrum can be quickly monitored by recording spatially encoded single pulse-field gradient echo (se-SPGE) experiments (Figure 2B). This sequence consists of a selective gradient echo in which a slice-selection gradient \( G_z \) is switched on in conjunction with the central refocusing 180° \(^1H \) pulse. When \( G_z \) is not applied, this is the conventional SPGE pulse sequence used for frequency-selective excitation, in which the \( G_z \) gradient acts as defocusing/defocusing coherence elements.

Figures 2C–H show 1D \( z \)-profile images of a D,O sample as a function of the number of offsets defined by the shape
of the selective pulse, in which the exact $z$-position of each selected slice along the NMR tube is evident. The relative SNR of the corresponding se-SFPE spectra follow a clear dependence on the number of offsets applied (Figure 21-N). As expected, whereas a 1.6% of the maximum attainable sensitivity is reached in the single-slice experiment, a substantial signal increase by a factor of 13 is achieved with a 16-site multiple-frequency pulse generated with a linear increment of 300 Hz. The small deviation with respect to the theoretical gain can be related to the imperfect top hat profile of the Gaussian-shaped selective pulse and the decreased sensitivity obtained at both edges of the coil due to the non-uniformity of the gradients. The use of a reduced and centered volume for which uniformity is better and/or the use of other pulse shapes offering a better inversion profile at the expense of longer durations is advisable in some cases.

The situation becomes more complex in real samples because multiple signals with different frequencies are present and, in addition, each of them is individually localized at different $z$ positions as a function of the applied offset. The probability that two $J$-coupled protons are excited within the same slice is increased and, therefore, a procedure for the calculation of a set of offsets to avoid this must be designed. Let us assume we have a conventional 1H NMR spectrum containing $n$ different signals with frequencies $v_1$, $v_2$, ..., $v_n$ being $v_1$ and $v_2$ the lowest (upfield) and the highest (downfield) frequencies, respectively. The complete spectral width to be excited will be defined by $SW = v_n - v_1$ and the center position by $Q = (v_1 + v_n)/2$. As an initial recommendation, we propose the use of a spectral width amplification factor $k$ ($kSW; $ in which $k > 1$) to increase the offset range that will not cause the signals from the edges of the spectrum to appear beyond the coil position. In this case, the required encoding gradient will be defined by $G_p = kSW/(2Q)$. In a second step, a complete set of offset values is calculated to excite different parts of the sample with the restriction that two or more $J$-coupled protons are not pertubed within the same slice, especially those which are strongly coupled. The interval of possible offsets to be used will be a range between two values, $Q_1$ and $Q_n$, representing offsets for which the $v_1$ and $v_n$ frequencies appear at the top and bottom of the active volume coil, respectively [Eq. (1) and (2)].

$$Q_1 = (L \gamma G_p)/2 + v_1$$

$$Q_2 = -(L \gamma G_p)/2 + v_n$$

For each selected offset ($Q_p; 1 < p < k$), the $z$ position of each individual resonance ($z(Q_p)$) may be theoretically known from the relationship given in Equation (3):

$$z(Q_p) = (Q_p - v_1)/(\gamma G_p)$$

Therefore, taking the frequencies of each resonance extracted from the 1H spectrum as input values, a complete
position-dependent calculation can be created for a set of offset values which must be incremented in a successive fashion as a function of the resulting slice thickness ($\Delta z > \Delta z$).

Successful application of the multi-slice selection concept to an unknown sample containing many different resonances will depend on the complexity of its $^1$H spectrum. The spfPGE pulse sequence is an effective and rapid tool to check the viability of the multi-slice selection process as a function of the spectral width to be excited, the number of resonances contained into it and also the probability of two coupled protons in close proximity. Experimentally, the unwanted excitation of different $J$-coupled protons within the same slice would be quickly observed by the anti-phase contributions in the corresponding $J$ multiplet structure. As a proof of the method, we have applied it to a sample of ibuprofen which has a relatively simple $^1$H spectrum (Figure 3A). The single-slice recorded with a normalization factor of $k=1$ (Figure 3C) suffers from the poor gradient homogeneity at the ends of the coil. This is evidenced by the decreased SNR observed for the outer signals with respect to the central ones. More uniform response can be obtained with a factor of $k=2$ because data is collected in the central part of the coil but with some sensitivity penalty due to the thinner slice thickness (Figure 3D). Very interestingly, an average SNR improvement factor of 13.5 is obtained when applying a 15-site multiple-frequency pulse versus its conventional single-slice counterpart (Figure 3E).

In other words, increases of up to 22% of the maximum attainable signal are fully recovered taking individual SPFGE signals as a reference (see Figure 3B) and without observing phase distortions due to $T_1$-relaxation evolution.

An additional signal enhancement at the risk of non-uniform excitation can be achieved by moving the spectrum partially out of the limits of the active coil. This increases the potential number of offsets to be used although some signals do not contribute equally to the data collected. For a more complex spectrum, such as those of the alkaloid strychnine, the use of a 11-site excitation affords an averaged SNR enhancement of 92% with respect to the $^1$H spectrum if the selection is restricted to the $\pm L/2$ area, whereas a further improvement up to 12.8% is achieved with a 16-site multiple-frequency pulse when unrestricted offsets are allowed in the calculation (see Supporting information). The latter approach could be useful in situations for which quantitative analysis is not necessary.

The advantage of the easy implementation of multi-frequency pulses without the need to modify existing pulse sequences can have a considerable impact on the success of a wide variety of NMR applications. As an example, Figure 4 shows how the SNR in a broadband homodecoupled $^1$H NMR spectrum of the cyclodepsipeptide cyclospermine recorded with the pure-shift pseudo-2D ZS technique[10] is enhanced by an average experimental factor of 6.7 when an 8-site multiple-frequency 180° pulse is applied instead of a conventional single-frequency pulse (Figure 4B vs 4C).

Similar sensitivity gains can also be achieved with the recently proposed instant pure-shift ZS technique (see the Supporting Information)[10] and probably such enhancements could offer important SNR benefits in more time-consuming multidimensional slice-selective NMR experiments[10,15].

In conclusion, an improved data collection technique with simultaneous multi-slice data acquisition has been presented. The sensitivity of slice-selective NMR experiments can be substantially improved by simultaneously applying a multiple-frequency pulse and spatial encoding gradient. The experimental procedure to fulfill the sampled frequency requirement is simple and the results can be immediately adapted to a wide range of applications. Further investigations are in progress to develop novel applications and other new and/or complementary strategies to further improve the sensitivity and the performance of spatially encoded NMR experiments.
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Experimental Section

All NMR Experiments were performed in a 600 MHz BRUKER Avance-III spectrometer equipped with a T/X probe and a gradient unit delivering 53.5 Gms−1. The samples used were 99.96% D,O, 100 ms of ibuprofen in CDCl, and 25 mM cyclosporine in D[1]benzene. Additional details about experimental NMR conditions and offsets calculation can be found in the Supporting Information.
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Experimental Section

All the NMR experiments were carried out on a 14.1T (600MHz) Bruker AVANCE III spectrometer equipped with a 5mm TXI probe and with a z field gradient unit of maximum strength of 53.5 G cm⁻¹. The probe temperature was set to 298 K. The four samples used in this work were 99.96% D₂O, 0.1M ibuprofen (in CDCl₃), 0.1M strychnine (in CDCl₃) and 25mM cyclosporine (in Benzene-d₆).

1D image experiments (Figures 2C-2H)

Imaging profiles of the 99.96% D₂O sample in Fig. 2C-H were obtained with the pulse sequence of Fig. 2A. All the experiments were recorded using a single scan (without dummy scans), and the inter-pulse Δ delay and the acquisition time (3K data points) were both set to 10 ms. Square-shape gradients of 5.35 G cm⁻¹ were used and applied during 10 ms (G2) and 15 ms (G3). In Fig. 2C, the selective 180° pulse and the encoding gradient (Gₛ) were not applied. In Fig. 2D-2H, a 20 ms Gaussian-shaped 180° pulse (bandwidth of 60.74 Hz) was applied simultaneous with a square-shaped encoding gradient (Gₛ) of 0.865 G/cm. The number to offsets used in each experiment and its values are: D) 1 offset (5 ppm); E) 2 offsets (7 and 3 ppm); F) 4 offsets (8, 6, 4 and 2 ppm); G) 8 offsets (1.5, 2.5, 3.5, 4.5, 5.5, 6.5, 7.5 and 8.5 ppm) and H) 16 offsets (1.25, 1.75, 2.25, 2.75, 3.25, 3.75, 4.25, 4.75, 5.25, 5.75, 6.25, 6.75, 7.25, 7.75, 8.25 and 8.75 ppm). Data were processed using a Gaussian window function (LB=-20 and GB=0.5) and zero filling to 32K prior to Fourier Transformation.

Conventional and se-SPFGE ¹H NMR experiments recorded on 99.96% D₂O sample (Figures 2I-2N)

Conventional ¹H NMR spectrum (Fig. 2I) was recorded using 1 scan (without dummy scans) and an acquisition time of about 3 s (32K data points). Fig. 2J-2N show several se-SPFGE spectra obtained with the pulse sequence of Fig. 2B using 1 scan (without dummy scans), an acquisition time of 2.73 s (32K data points), a gradient (G₁) with a duration of 1 ms and a strength of 8.03 G cm⁻¹ with a smoothed squared shape (SMSQ10.100 in BRUKER nomenclature), a 20 ms Gaussian-shaped 180° pulse (bandwidth of 60.74 Hz) and an square-shaped encoding gradient (Gₛ) of 0.865 G cm⁻¹. The number of offsets used in each experiment (and values in ppm) were set to: J) 1 offset (5 ppm); K) 2 offsets (7 and 3 ppm); L) 4 offsets (8, 6, 4 and 2 ppm); M) 8 offsets (1.5, 2.5, 3.5, 4.5, 5.5, 6.5, 7.5 and 8.5 ppm) and N) 16 offsets (1.25, 1.75, 2.25, 2.75, 3.25, 3.75, 4.25, 4.75, 5.25, 5.75, 6.25, 6.75, 7.25, 7.75, 8.25 and 8.75 ppm). Data were processed using a conventional Fourier Transformation.
se-SPFGE $^1$H NMR experiments recorded on ibuprofen (Figure 3)

Conventional $^1$H NMR spectrum of ibuprofen (Fig. 3A) was recorded using 1 scan (without dummy scans) and an acquisition time of 3 s (32K data point). The experimental signal-to-noise ratio in the $^1$H NMR spectra was normalized to 100 for each individual signal. In order to take into account T2 relaxation signal lost, several $^1$H-frequency-selective SPFGE spectra of ibuprofen (Fig. 3B) were acquired with the pulse sequence of Fig. 2B but without applying the encoding gradient in order to know signal losses associated to the T2 relaxation. All experiments were recorded using 1 scan (without dummy scans), an acquisition time of 3s, a 1ms shaped SMSQ10.100 gradient (G1) of 8.03 G cm$^{-1}$ and a 20 ms Gaussian-shaped 180° pulse (bandwidth of 60.74 Hz). se-SPFGE spectra (Fig. 3C-E) were recorded using 1 scan (without dummy scans), an acquisition time of 3s, a 1ms shaped SMSQ10.100 gradient (G1) of 8.03 G cm$^{-1}$ and a 20 ms Gaussian-shaped 180° pulse (bandwidth of 60.74 Hz). Experiment 3C was recorded using an amplification k factor of 1 (SWG=$3793$ Hz), a square-share encoding gradient (GS) of 0.495 G cm$^{-1}$ and a single-frequency selective pulse (2454 Hz). Experiment 3D and 3E were recorded using a amplification k factor of 2 (SWG=$7586$ Hz), a square-shaped encoding gradient of 0.99 G/cm and a selective pulse with 1 (2454 Hz) and 15 offsets, respectively, automatically calculated using the java script calcoff (4259, 4035, 3534, 3236, 3042, 2784, 2557, 2291, 2063, 1832, 1561, 1378, 1086, 901 and 638 Hz) respectively. Data were processed using a conventional Fourier Transformation.

Pure-shift 1D experiment (Figure 4)

Pure shift spectra of Fig. 4B and 4C were acquired with the original pseudo-2D ZS pulse sequence described in ref 15b.
The pulse program named push1dzs obtained from Manchester NMR methodology group website (http://nmr.chemistry.manchester.ac.uk) was used for data acquisition. The experiments were recorded using an amplification k factor of 2 (SW\(_G\)=7586 Hz), a square-shaped encoding gradient of 0.99 G cm\(^{-1}\) and a 20 ms Gaussian-shaped 180° pulse (bandwidth of 60.74 Hz); 8 transients were collected for each one of the 32 \(t_1\) increments of 0.68 s each were acquired with \(1/SW_1=10\) ms and a relaxation delay of 1 s, in total time of 8 min. Coherence transfer selection gradient pulses were smoothed squared shaped (SMSQ10.100) with a duration of 1 ms and amplitude G1= 13.4 G cm\(^{-1}\) (25%); the delays \(\tau_a\), \(\tau_b\) and \(\tau_c\) are automatically calculated so that \(\tau_a + \tau_c = \tau_b\); \(\tau_a = \tau_c\); \(\tau_a = 1/4*SW_1\). The pulse offset (o1) and the spectral width (SW\(_2\)) were set to 2454.5 Hz and 10.0 ppm, respectively. Spectrum of Fig. 4C used exactly the same set up as described in Fig 4B but using a 8 multi-frequency shaped pulse irradiating simultaneously at frequencies: 3534, 3236, 3042, 2784, 2557, 2291, 2063 and 1832 Hz (automatically calculated using the home made calcoff java script). The power level of the shaped pulse was decreased 18 dB compared to those of Fig. 4B. Data was processed automatically with the AU program named pshift provided at Manchester NMR methodology group website http://nmr.chemistry.manchester.ac.uk. This AU program converts the raw data to a new experiment that contains the pure shift FID, which is Fourier transformed with a 0.3 line broadening.

**Automatic calculation of offsets: calcoff**

A calculation script written in java language is available from the authors on request. Basically, the script searches a set of offsets that avoid accidental overlap of mutually coupled protons into the same z-slice. The program needs some input parameters: coil length, probe gradient strength, bandwidth and shape of the selective pulse, a frequency list from a .txt file, the amplification k factor and how many offsets wants to calculate. After some calculation iterations, the script returns a list of calculated offsets with a z-position matrix for all available frequencies. Some examples are provided at the end of this supplementary information.
**Figure S1:** Schematic illustration of the single-offset slice selection. A,B) Pulse schemes used to obtain 1D z-profile images. C) Conventional $^1$H NMR spectra of ibuprofen. D) 1D z-profile image experiment obtained with the pulse sequence A. E) 1D z-profile image experiment obtained with the pulse sequence B. A selective 20 ms Gaussian-shaped 180° pulse was applied simultaneous with a square-shaped encoding gradient (G_s) of 0.742 G cm$^{-1}$ to obtain an image profile using a single offset (2454 Hz). All other experimental parameters as described in Fig. 2.
Results and Discussion

Figure S2: Schematic illustration of the multiple-offset slice selection. A-C) 1D z-profile image experiments of ibuprofen obtained with the pulse sequence of Fig. S1B. D-F) Slice selective SPFGE spectra obtained with the pulse sequence of Fig. 2B. In all experiments a 20 ms Gaussian-shaped 180° selective pulse was applied simultaneous with a square-shape encoding gradient (G_S) of 0.742 G cm⁻¹. A-C) The experiments were recorded using 1 scan (without dummy scans), Δ=10ms, an acquisition time of 10 ms, and a selective pulse with 1, 2 and 4 offsets respectively. Square-shaped gradients of 5.35G/cm were used and applied during 10ms (G2) and 15 ms (G3). D-F) All spectra were recorded using 1 scan (without dummy scans), an acquisition time of 3s, a 1ms shaped SMSQ10.100 gradient (G1) of 8.03 G cm⁻¹ and a selective pulse with 1, 2 and 4 offsets respectively. In each spectrum, the averaged signal-to-noise ratio (S/N_{AV}) is reported for comparison.
**Figure S3:** Multiple-frequency se-SPFGE spectra of ibuprofen. All experiments were recorded with the pulse sequence of Fig. 2B using 1 scan, an acquisition time of 3 s, a 1 ms SMSQ10.100 shape refocused gradient ($G_1$) of 8.03 G cm$^{-1}$, an amplification $k$ factor of 2 ($SW_G$=7586 Hz), a square-shaped encoding gradient of 0.99 G cm$^{-1}$ and a 20 ms Gaussian-shaped 180° pulse (bandwidth of 60.74 Hz). The number to offsets used in each experiment (and its values in Hz) are: A) 1 offset (2454 Hz); B) 2 offsets (2557 and 2291 Hz); C) 4 offsets (2784, 2557, 2291 and 2063 Hz); D) 8 offsets (3534, 3236, 3042, 2784, 2557, 2291, 2063, 1832 Hz) and E) 15 offsets (4259, 4035, 3534, 3236, 3042, 2784, 2557, 2291, 2063, 1832, 1561, 1378, 1086, 901 and 638 Hz ppm). In each spectrum, the averaged signal-to-noise ratio ($S/N_{AV}$) is reported for comparison.
### Results and Discussion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constant Parameters</th>
<th>Gyromagnetic Ratio of $^1$H ($\gamma$)</th>
<th>4257.8 Hz/G</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Probe parameters</td>
<td>Length of the active volume coil (L)</td>
<td>1.8 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum gradient strength ($G_z$)</td>
<td>53.5 G/cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180° selective pulse parameter</td>
<td>Shape</td>
<td>Gaussian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Duration ($p_{12}$)</td>
<td>20 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bandwidth half height ($\Delta\omega_{1/2}$)</td>
<td>60.74 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bandwidth in the base ($\Delta\omega$)</td>
<td>183 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameters calculated</td>
<td>Spectral width ($SW_G$)</td>
<td>3793 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amplification factor ($k$)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spectral width and amplification factor ($SW_G \times k$)</td>
<td>7586 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Center of the spectrum ($o_1$)</td>
<td>2454 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spatial-encoding gradient ($G_s$)</td>
<td>0.99 G/cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Offset limits</td>
<td>558-4351 Hz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure S4:** Calculated z-positions of all signals in ibuprofen as a function of spatial encoded NMR parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>O ffsets[Hz]</th>
<th>$z$ ($H_{12}$)</th>
<th>$z$ ($H_3$)</th>
<th>$z$ ($H_{11}$)</th>
<th>$z$ ($H_{10}$)</th>
<th>$z$ ($H_2$)</th>
<th>$z$ ($H_6$)</th>
<th>$z$ ($H_5$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>638</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>-0.066</td>
<td>-0.116</td>
<td>-0.201</td>
<td>-0.380</td>
<td>-0.864</td>
<td>-0.881</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>901</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>-0.004</td>
<td>-0.053</td>
<td>-0.139</td>
<td>-0.318</td>
<td>-0.801</td>
<td>-0.819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1086</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>-0.009</td>
<td>-0.095</td>
<td>-0.274</td>
<td>-0.757</td>
<td>-0.775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1378</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>-0.026</td>
<td>-0.205</td>
<td>-0.688</td>
<td>-0.705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1561</td>
<td>0.238</td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>-0.161</td>
<td>-0.645</td>
<td>-0.662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1832</td>
<td>0.302</td>
<td>0.217</td>
<td>0.168</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>-0.097</td>
<td>-0.580</td>
<td>-0.598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2063</td>
<td>0.357</td>
<td>0.272</td>
<td>0.222</td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td>-0.042</td>
<td>-0.526</td>
<td>-0.543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2291</td>
<td>0.411</td>
<td>0.326</td>
<td>0.276</td>
<td>0.191</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>-0.471</td>
<td>-0.489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2557</td>
<td>0.474</td>
<td>0.389</td>
<td>0.340</td>
<td>0.254</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>-0.408</td>
<td>-0.426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2784</td>
<td>0.528</td>
<td>0.443</td>
<td>0.393</td>
<td>0.308</td>
<td>0.129</td>
<td>-0.354</td>
<td>-0.372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3042</td>
<td>0.589</td>
<td>0.504</td>
<td>0.455</td>
<td>0.369</td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td>-0.293</td>
<td>-0.311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3236</td>
<td>0.635</td>
<td>0.550</td>
<td>0.501</td>
<td>0.415</td>
<td>0.236</td>
<td>-0.247</td>
<td>-0.265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3534</td>
<td>0.706</td>
<td>0.621</td>
<td>0.571</td>
<td>0.486</td>
<td>0.307</td>
<td>-0.177</td>
<td>-0.194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4035</td>
<td>0.825</td>
<td>0.740</td>
<td>0.690</td>
<td>0.605</td>
<td>0.426</td>
<td>-0.058</td>
<td>-0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4259</td>
<td>0.878</td>
<td>0.793</td>
<td>0.743</td>
<td>0.658</td>
<td>0.479</td>
<td>-0.005</td>
<td>-0.022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Figure S5:** A) $^1$H NMR spectrum of strychnine in CDCl$_3$; B) Single-slice se-SPFGE spectrum after using a selective gaussian-shaped pulse of 30ms and an encoding gradient strength of G$_s$=1.068 G/cm; C) Multiple-slice se-SPFGE experiment acquired as B) but using a 11-site multiple-frequency pulse and using the restricted condition that only offsets that contain all signals into the volume coil are used; D) The same as C) but using a 16-site multiple-frequency pulse that include offsets that include some protons out of the limits of the coil. The averaged signal-to-noise ratio percentage (S/N$_{av}$) is shown in each spectrum. As a reference, the S/N$_{av}$ values of individual SPFGE experiments (Gs=0) is about 81% of the levels achieved in the conventional $^1$H spectrum (data not shown). Spectra B-D were collected with the pulse sequence of Fig. 2B using 1 scan (without dummy scans), an acquisition time of 3 s, a 1 ms SMSQ10.100 gradient (G1) of 8.03 G cm$^{-1}$, an amplification k factor of 2 (SWG=8188 Hz), a square-shaped encoding gradient (G$_s$) of 1.068 G cm$^{-1}$ and a 30 ms Gaussian-shaped 180º pulse (bandwidth of 40.49 Hz). B and C was recorded using a selective pulse with 1 (2801 Hz) and 11 offsets (4760, 4360, 3960, 3560, 3160, 2760, 2360, 1960, 1560, 1160 and 760 Hz) respectively. In the later experiment, the offsets used were restricted so that all the signals were excited inside the active coil region. In the spectrum D, an additional signal enhancement was obtained using a selective pulse with 16 offsets (5960, 5560, 5160, 4760, 4360, 3960, 3560, 3160, 2760, 2360, 1960, 1560, 1160, 760, 360 and -160 Hz) where a non-uniform excitation was obtained because for higher and lower offsets a small portion of the signals from the edges of the spectrum appear beyond of the limits of the active coil (as can be seen in the z-position matrix). Data were processed using a conventional Fourier Transformation.
**Figure S6:** Multiple-frequency se-SPFGE spectra of cyclosporine. It was recorded using 1 scan (without dummy scans) and an acquisition time of 3s. The experimental signal-to-noise ratio in the $^1$H NMR spectrum (A) was normalized to 100 for each individual signal. B) Signal arising of conventional SPFGE (G_s=0) to take into account for T2 relaxation signal lost during the selective echo. C,D) single-slice se-SPFGE spectra using normalized scaling k factor of 1 and 2 respectively; E) Multi-frequency se-SPFGE were collected with the pulse sequence of Fig. 2B using 1 scan (without dummy scans), an acquisition time of 3s, a 1 ms SMSQ10.100 shape refocused gradient (G1) of 8.03 G cm$^{-1}$, an amplification k factor of 2 (SW_G=9168 Hz), a square-shaped encoding gradient (G_S) of 1.196 G cm$^{-1}$ and a 20 ms Gaussian-shaped 180° pulse with 22 offsets (4861, 4661, 4441, 4241, 4041, 3841, 3621, 3421, 3221, 3021, 2801, 2601, 2401, 2201, 1981, 1781, 1581, 1381, 1161, 961, 761 and 551Hz). In each spectrum, the averaged signal-to-noise ratio (S/N_AV) is reported for comparison.
Figure S7: A) $^1$H NMR spectrum of 25 mM cyclosporine in d-benzene (600 MHz). B) Single-slice (offset set to 2507 Hz) and C-E) multi-slice broadband homonuclear ZS spectra recorded with 2, 4 and 8 offsets, respectively, using the pseudo-2D pulse sequence described previously in the experimental section.$^{15b}$ The 8 offsets used in spectrum E were set to 3200, 3000, 2800, 2600, 2400, 2200, 2000 and 1800 Hz, and the average sensitivity gain with respect to spectrum B is 6.7. All other experimental details as described in Fig. 4 which shows an expanded area covering signals resonating between 4.5 and 6ppm.
Figure S8: Effect of the use of multiple-frequency pulses into the Instant broadband-homodecoupled $^1$H NMR spectra of a sample 25mM cyclosporine in benzene-d$_6$ acquired with the sequence reported in ref. 5. 8 scans were collected for each 1D dataset using a 10 ms Gaussian shaped selective 180 $^1$H pulse and an encoding gradient of 1.06 G cm$^{-1}$. 30 loops were used, with a recycle delay of 1 second. This selective pulse was frequency modulated with B) single (2700Hz), C) two (2800 and 2400Hz) and D) four (3200, 2800, 2400 and 2000Hz) different offsets. The experimental sensitivity gains are proportional to the number of applied offsets.
**Short description of Java script for multi offset calculation**

The script asks some parameters about user and also about frequencies and couplings of a given molecule or spin system. The user may introduce a shaped-pulse band width, a security amplification k factor (read the article for more information) and how many offsets want to search for. Then the script searches for a solution. If any solution is found after 50000 iterations then it decrease the number of offsets by one, and start the calculation again.

**Experimental considerations:**

The script asks you which protons are coupled. If you don’t know you can perform the calculation just by inserting the restriction that frequencies don’t match each other (like if everything was coupled), but this is a very restrictive way of working that usually give you few offsets compared to what you can really use. Our experience says us that it is better to work the other way around. So, start like if the system is not coupled, and if some distortions in a pair of signal are seen in the spectrum, just introduce that coupled pair in the calculation as a restriction.

To execute the java script download the given multi_offset.jar file and save it in C:\ directory (or whatever), then open the Windows command Prompt and once being situated in the C:\

Type: C:\java –jar multi_offset.jar

And the java script will launch.

**Examples**

In order to show how the java script works we present 4 examples concerning ibuprofen sample in different situations.

In the first example, we don’t consider any coupling and we observe 16 offsets are found in just a single iteration.

In example the restriction of the strong aromatic coupling2 is introduced. The script takes longer time to find the solution of 16 offsets. In that case 642 iterations were needed.

In a third example, we introduce the whole coupling network. It is seen that there is no possible solution that avoids completely any random overlapping using 16 offsets, and the solution given is 10 offsets after 45185 iterations.

Then a fourth example analogous to third but reducing pulse band width half shows that 14 offset can be used. Notice, that one have to take into account that the slice thickness now is reduced half and is important to realize that third example setup will give higher SNR although less offsets are found.
Java script multi offset calculation example 1:

Sample: ibuprofen
Coupling spin system taken into account: NO
Band Width = 60 Hz
Security facotr K = 2
16 offsets as insert (16 obtained)

SCREEN CAPTURES OF THE INPUT FILE

```
//USER INSERT PARAMETERS//
--------------------------------------------------
Insert coil length in cm?: 1.6
Insert gradient strength in G/cm?: 53.5
Insert pulse band width in Hz?: 60
How many peaks to introduce?: 7
Insert peaks in ascending order:
Insert peak in Hz: 542
Insert peak in Hz: 903
Insert peak in Hz: 1110
Insert peak in Hz: 1471
Insert peak in Hz: 2228
Insert peak in Hz: 4264
Insert peak in Hz: 4336
Does frequency 542 couple to 903 (y/n): n
Does frequency 542 couple to 1110 (y/n): n
Does frequency 542 couple to 1471 (y/n): n
Does frequency 542 couple to 2228 (y/n): n
Does frequency 542 couple to 4264 (y/n): n
Does frequency 542 couple to 4336 (y/n): n
Does frequency 903 couple to 1110 (y/n): n
Does frequency 903 couple to 1471 (y/n): n
Does frequency 903 couple to 2228 (y/n): n
Does frequency 903 couple to 4264 (y/n): n
Does frequency 903 couple to 4336 (y/n): n
Does frequency 1110 couple to 1471 (y/n): n
Does frequency 1110 couple to 2228 (y/n): n
Does frequency 1110 couple to 4264 (y/n): n
Does frequency 1110 couple to 4336 (y/n): n
Does frequency 1471 couple to 2228 (y/n): n
Does frequency 1471 couple to 4264 (y/n): n
Does frequency 1471 couple to 4336 (y/n): n
Does frequency 2228 couple to 4264 (y/n): n
Does frequency 2228 couple to 4336 (y/n): n
Does frequency 4264 couple to 4336 (y/n): n
Insert k factor for not being out of tube pulsing: 2
How many offset do you want to generate? 16
```
SCREEN CAPTURES OF THE OUTPUT RESULT

// CHECK IF YOUR INSERT VALUES ARE CORRECT //

Coupling matrix is:
-------------------

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>542 Hz</th>
<th>903 Hz</th>
<th>1110 Hz</th>
<th>1471 Hz</th>
<th>2228 Hz</th>
<th>4264 Hz</th>
<th>4336 Hz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>542 Hz</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>903 Hz</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1110 Hz</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1471 Hz</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2228 Hz</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4264 Hz</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4336 Hz</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

0 = not coupled protons
1 = coupled protons and diagonal

Spectral width is (higher freq - lower freq) = 3794.0 Hz
Spectral width with security factor (2) is: 7588.0 Hz
Pulse Bandwith was set to 60
Coil length was set to 1.8 cm
Probe gradient strength was set to 53.5 G/cm

// CALCULATION RETURN //
-----------------------
Solution found after 1 iterations

Offset set to be used for multifrequency shaped pulse is: 16
855 Hz
1003 Hz
1418 Hz
1802 Hz
1889 Hz
2052 Hz
2192 Hz
2573 Hz
2699 Hz
3113 Hz
3428 Hz
3664 Hz
3824 Hz
4028 Hz
4117 Hz
4266 Hz

Set GO to 1.85%
### Z-position matrix (cm)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>542 Hz</th>
<th>903 Hz</th>
<th>1110 Hz</th>
<th>1471 Hz</th>
<th>2226 Hz</th>
<th>4264 Hz</th>
<th>4336 Hz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0,074</td>
<td>-0,011</td>
<td>-0,060</td>
<td>-0,146</td>
<td>-0,326</td>
<td>-0,809</td>
<td>-0,826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,109</td>
<td>0,024</td>
<td>-0,025</td>
<td>-0,111</td>
<td>-0,291</td>
<td>-0,774</td>
<td>-0,791</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,208</td>
<td>0,122</td>
<td>0,073</td>
<td>-0,013</td>
<td>-0,192</td>
<td>-0,675</td>
<td>-0,692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,299</td>
<td>0,213</td>
<td>0,164</td>
<td>0,079</td>
<td>-0,101</td>
<td>-0,554</td>
<td>-0,601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,320</td>
<td>0,234</td>
<td>0,185</td>
<td>0,099</td>
<td>-0,080</td>
<td>-0,563</td>
<td>-0,580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,358</td>
<td>0,273</td>
<td>0,223</td>
<td>0,138</td>
<td>-0,042</td>
<td>-0,525</td>
<td>-0,542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,391</td>
<td>0,306</td>
<td>0,257</td>
<td>0,171</td>
<td>-0,009</td>
<td>-0,492</td>
<td>-0,509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,462</td>
<td>0,356</td>
<td>0,347</td>
<td>0,261</td>
<td>0,062</td>
<td>-0,401</td>
<td>-0,416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,512</td>
<td>0,426</td>
<td>0,377</td>
<td>0,291</td>
<td>0,112</td>
<td>-0,371</td>
<td>-0,388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,610</td>
<td>0,524</td>
<td>0,475</td>
<td>0,350</td>
<td>0,210</td>
<td>-0,273</td>
<td>-0,290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,685</td>
<td>0,599</td>
<td>0,550</td>
<td>0,464</td>
<td>0,285</td>
<td>-0,198</td>
<td>-0,215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,741</td>
<td>0,655</td>
<td>0,606</td>
<td>0,520</td>
<td>0,341</td>
<td>-0,142</td>
<td>-0,159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,779</td>
<td>0,693</td>
<td>0,644</td>
<td>0,555</td>
<td>0,379</td>
<td>-0,104</td>
<td>-0,121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,827</td>
<td>0,741</td>
<td>0,692</td>
<td>0,607</td>
<td>0,427</td>
<td>-0,056</td>
<td>-0,073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,868</td>
<td>0,762</td>
<td>0,713</td>
<td>0,628</td>
<td>0,448</td>
<td>-0,035</td>
<td>-0,052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,883</td>
<td>0,798</td>
<td>0,749</td>
<td>0,663</td>
<td>0,483</td>
<td>0,000</td>
<td>-0,017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**rows = generated offset frequencies for shaped pulse**
**columns = input frequencies from user**

NMR tube is divided into 128 slices
Slice thickness is: 0,014 cm
Experimental sensitivity respect to 1H spectrum should be around 12,7 which is given by the ratio: number_of_offsets/number_of_slices
Java script multi offset calculation example 2:

Sample: ibuprofen
Coupling spin system taken into account: Only aromatic strong coupling
Band Width = 60 Hz
Security factor K = 2
16 offsets as insert (16 obtained)

SCREEN CAPTURES OF THE INPUT FILE

```java
//USER INSERT PARAMETERS/
--------------------------
Insert coil length in cm?: 1.8
Insert gradient strength in G/cm?: 53.5
Insert pulse band width in Hz?: 60
How many peaks to introduce?: 7
Insert peaks in ascending order:
Insert peak in Hz: 542
Insert peak in Hz: 903
Insert peak in Hz: 1110
Insert peak in Hz: 1471
Insert peak in Hz: 2228
Insert peak in Hz: 4264
Insert peak in Hz: 4336
Does frequency 542 couple to 903 (y/n): n
Does frequency 542 couple to 1110 (y/n): n
Does frequencs 542 couple to 1471 (y/n): n
Does frequency 542 couple to 2228 (y/n): n
Does frequency 542 couple to 4264 (y/n): n
Does frequency 542 couple to 4336 (y/n): n
Does frequency 903 couple to 1110 (y/n): n
Does frequency 903 couple to 1471 (y/n): n
Does frequency 903 couple to 2228 (y/n): n
Does frequency 903 couple to 4264 (y/n): n
Does frequency 903 couple to 4336 (y/n): n
Does frequency 1110 couple to 1471 (y/n): n
Does frequency 1110 couple to 2228 (y/n): n
Does frequency 1110 couple to 4264 (y/n): n
Does frequency 1110 couple to 4336 (y/n): n
Does frequency 1471 couple to 2228 (y/n): n
Does frequency 1471 couple to 4264 (y/n): n
Does frequency 1471 couple to 4336 (y/n): n
Does frequency 2228 couple to 4264 (y/n): n
Does frequency 2228 couple to 4336 (y/n): n
Does frequency 4264 couple to 4336 (y/n): y
Insert k factor for not being out of tube pulsing: 2
How many offset do you want to generate? 16
```
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

SCREEN CAPTURES OF THE OUTPUT RESULT

//CHECK IF YOUR INSERT VALUES ARE CORRECT//

Coupling matrix is:
---------------------

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>542 Hz</th>
<th>903 Hz</th>
<th>1110 Hz</th>
<th>1471 Hz</th>
<th>2228 Hz</th>
<th>4264 Hz</th>
<th>4336 Hz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>542 Hz</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>903 Hz</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1110 Hz</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1471 Hz</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2228 Hz</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4264 Hz</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4336 Hz</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

0 = not coupled protons
1 = coupled protons and diagonal

Spectral width is (higher freq - lower freq) = 3794.0 Hz
Spectral width with security factor (2) is: 7588.0 Hz
Pulse Bandwidth was set to 60
Coil length was set to 1.8 cm
Probe gradient strength was set to 53.5 G/cm

//CALCULATION RETURN//
---------------------

Solution found after 643 iterations

Offset set to be used for multifrequency shaped pulse is: 16
602 Hz
929 Hz
1123 Hz
1329 Hz
1465 Hz
1639 Hz
1917 Hz
2141 Hz
2488 Hz
3012 Hz
3371 Hz
3520 Hz
3677 Hz
3895 Hz
4114 Hz
4259 Hz

Set G0 to 1.85
**2-position matrix (cm)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>542 Hz</th>
<th>903 Hz</th>
<th>1110 Hz</th>
<th>1471 Hz</th>
<th>2228 Hz</th>
<th>4264 Hz</th>
<th>1336 Hz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>602 Hz</td>
<td>-0.014</td>
<td>-0.071</td>
<td>-0.121</td>
<td>-0.206</td>
<td>-0.386</td>
<td>-0.669</td>
<td>-0.866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>929 Hz</td>
<td>-0.092</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>-0.043</td>
<td>-0.129</td>
<td>-0.308</td>
<td>-0.791</td>
<td>-0.808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1123 Hz</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>-0.083</td>
<td>-0.262</td>
<td>-0.745</td>
<td>-0.762</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1329 Hz</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>-0.034</td>
<td>-0.213</td>
<td>-0.696</td>
<td>-0.713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1465 Hz</td>
<td>0.219</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>-0.101</td>
<td>-0.664</td>
<td>-0.661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1639 Hz</td>
<td>0.260</td>
<td>0.175</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>-0.140</td>
<td>-0.623</td>
<td>-0.640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1917 Hz</td>
<td>0.326</td>
<td>0.241</td>
<td>0.191</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td>-0.074</td>
<td>-0.557</td>
<td>-0.574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2141 Hz</td>
<td>0.379</td>
<td>0.294</td>
<td>0.245</td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td>-0.021</td>
<td>-0.504</td>
<td>-0.521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2348 Hz</td>
<td>0.547</td>
<td>0.461</td>
<td>0.412</td>
<td>0.327</td>
<td>0.147</td>
<td>-0.336</td>
<td>-0.353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3012 Hz</td>
<td>0.586</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0.451</td>
<td>0.366</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>-0.297</td>
<td>-0.314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3371 Hz</td>
<td>0.671</td>
<td>0.585</td>
<td>0.556</td>
<td>0.451</td>
<td>0.271</td>
<td>-0.212</td>
<td>-0.229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3528 Hz</td>
<td>0.700</td>
<td>0.623</td>
<td>0.574</td>
<td>0.488</td>
<td>0.308</td>
<td>-0.175</td>
<td>-0.192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3677 Hz</td>
<td>0.744</td>
<td>0.656</td>
<td>0.609</td>
<td>0.523</td>
<td>0.344</td>
<td>-0.139</td>
<td>-0.156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3895 Hz</td>
<td>0.785</td>
<td>0.710</td>
<td>0.661</td>
<td>0.575</td>
<td>0.385</td>
<td>-0.088</td>
<td>-0.105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4114 Hz</td>
<td>0.847</td>
<td>0.762</td>
<td>0.713</td>
<td>0.627</td>
<td>0.447</td>
<td>-0.036</td>
<td>-0.053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4299 Hz</td>
<td>0.891</td>
<td>0.806</td>
<td>0.756</td>
<td>0.671</td>
<td>0.491</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>-0.009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **rows** = generated offset frequencies for shaped pulse
- **column** = input frequencies from ucr

---

**NMR tube is divided into 126 slices**

**Slice thickness is:** 0.014 cm

**Experimental sensitivity respect to 1H spectrum should be around 12.7**

**which is given by the ratio:** number of offsets/number of slices
Results and Discussion

Java script multi offset calculation example 3:

Sample: ibuprofen
Coupling spin system taken into account: all
Band Width = 60 Hz
Security factor K = 2
16 offsets as insert (10 obtained)

SCREEN CAPTURES OF THE INPUT FILE

//USER INSERT PARAMETERS//

Insert coil length in cm?: 1.8
Insert gradient strength in G/cm?: 53.5
Insert pulse band width in Hz?: 60
How many peaks to introduce?: 7
Insert peaks in ascending order:
Insert peak in Hz: 542
Insert peak in Hz: 903
Insert peak in Hz: 1110
Insert peak in Hz: 1471
Insert peak in Hz: 2228
Insert peak in Hz: 4264
Insert peak in Hz: 4336
Does frequency 542 couple to 903 (y/n): n
Does frequency 542 couple to 1110 (y/n): y
Does frequency 542 couple to 1471 (y/n): n
Does frequency 542 couple to 2228 (y/n): n
Does frequency 542 couple to 4264 (y/n): n
Does frequency 542 couple to 4336 (y/n): n
Does frequency 903 couple to 1110 (y/n): n
Does frequency 903 couple to 1471 (y/n): n
Does frequency 903 couple to 2228 (y/n): y
Does frequency 903 couple to 4264 (y/n): n
Does frequency 903 couple to 4336 (y/n): n
Does frequency 1110 couple to 1471 (y/n): y
Does frequency 1110 couple to 2228 (y/n): n
Does frequency 1110 couple to 4264 (y/n): n
Does frequency 1110 couple to 4336 (y/n): n
Does frequency 1471 couple to 2228 (y/n): n
Does frequency 1471 couple to 4264 (y/n): n
Does frequency 1471 couple to 4336 (y/n): n
Does frequency 2228 couple to 4264 (y/n): n
Does frequency 2228 couple to 4336 (y/n): n
Does frequency 4264 couple to 4336 (y/n): y
Insert k factor for not being out of tube pulsing: 2
How many offset do you want to generate? 16
SCREEN CAPTURES OF THE OUTPUT RESULT

//CHECK IF YOUR INSERT VALUES ARE CORRECT//

Coupling matrix is:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
542 \text{ Hz} & 903 \text{ Hz} & 1110 \text{ Hz} & 1471 \text{ Hz} & 2228 \text{ Hz} & 4264 \text{ Hz} & 4336 \text{ Hz} \\
542 \text{ Hz} & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
903 \text{ Hz} & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1110 \text{ Hz} & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1471 \text{ Hz} & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
2228 \text{ Hz} & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
4264 \text{ Hz} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
4336 \text{ Hz} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
\end{array}
\]

0 - not coupled protons
1 - coupled protons and diagonal

Spectral width is (higher freq - lower freq) = 3794.0 Hz
Spectral width with security factor (2) is: 7588.0 Hz
Pulse Bandwith was set to 60
Coil length was set to 1.8 cm
Probe gradient strength was set to 53.5 G/cm

//CALCULATION RETURN//

Solution found after 45185 iterations

Offset set to be used for multifrequency shaped pulse is: 10
975 Hz
1172 Hz
1458 Hz
1458 Hz
1959 Hz
2153 Hz
2419 Hz
2616 Hz
2934 Hz
3043 Hz

Set GO to 1.85%
**Z-position matrix (cm)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>542 Hz</th>
<th>903 Hz</th>
<th>1110 Hz</th>
<th>1471 Hz</th>
<th>2228 Hz</th>
<th>4264 Hz</th>
<th>4336 Hz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>975 Hz</td>
<td>0,103</td>
<td>0,017</td>
<td>-0,032</td>
<td>-0,118</td>
<td>-0,297</td>
<td>-0,780</td>
<td>-0,797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1172 Hz</td>
<td>0,149</td>
<td>0,061</td>
<td>0,015</td>
<td>-0,071</td>
<td>-0,251</td>
<td>-0,733</td>
<td>-0,751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1458 Hz</td>
<td>0,217</td>
<td>0,132</td>
<td>0,083</td>
<td>-0,003</td>
<td>-0,183</td>
<td>-0,666</td>
<td>-0,689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1939 Hz</td>
<td>0,336</td>
<td>0,251</td>
<td>0,201</td>
<td>0,116</td>
<td>-0,064</td>
<td>-0,547</td>
<td>-0,564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2153 Hz</td>
<td>0,382</td>
<td>0,297</td>
<td>0,247</td>
<td>0,162</td>
<td>-0,018</td>
<td>-0,501</td>
<td>-0,518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2419 Hz</td>
<td>0,445</td>
<td>0,360</td>
<td>0,311</td>
<td>0,225</td>
<td>0,045</td>
<td>-0,438</td>
<td>-0,455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2616 Hz</td>
<td>0,492</td>
<td>0,406</td>
<td>0,357</td>
<td>0,272</td>
<td>0,092</td>
<td>-0,391</td>
<td>-0,408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2894 Hz</td>
<td>0,558</td>
<td>0,472</td>
<td>0,423</td>
<td>0,335</td>
<td>0,158</td>
<td>-0,325</td>
<td>-0,342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3843 Hz</td>
<td>0,763</td>
<td>0,697</td>
<td>0,648</td>
<td>0,563</td>
<td>0,383</td>
<td>-0,100</td>
<td>-0,117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4322 Hz</td>
<td>0,897</td>
<td>0,811</td>
<td>0,762</td>
<td>0,676</td>
<td>0,497</td>
<td>0,014</td>
<td>-0,003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

rows = generated offset frequencies for shaped pulse
columns = input frequencies from user

NMR tube is divided into 126 slices
Slice thickness is: 0,014 cm
Experimental sensitivity respect to 1H spectrum should be around 7,9 which is given by the ratio: number_of_offsets/number_of_slices
Java script multi offset calculation example 4:

Sample: ibuprofen
Coupling spin system taken into account: all
Band Width = 30 Hz
Security factor K = 2
16 offsets as insert (14 obtained)

SCREEN CAPTURES OF THE INPUT FILE

```plaintext
//USER INSERT PARAMETERS/
------------------
Insert coil length in cm?: 1.8
Insert gradient strength in G/cm?: 53.5
Insert pulse band width in Hz?: 30
How many peaks to introduce?: 7
Insert peaks in ascending order:
Insert peak in Hz: 542
Insert peak in Hz: 903
Insert peak in Hz: 1110
Insert peak in Hz: 1471
Insert peak in Hz: 2228
Insert peak in Hz: 4264
Insert peak in Hz: 4336
Does frequency 542 couple to 903 (y/n): n
Does frequency 542 couple to 1110 (y/n): y
Does frequency 542 couple to 1471 (y/n): n
Does frequency 542 couple to 2228 (y/n): n
Does frequency 542 couple to 4264 (y/n): n
Does frequency 542 couple to 4336 (y/n): n
Does frequency 903 couple to 1110 (y/n): n
Does frequency 903 couple to 1471 (y/n): n
Does frequency 903 couple to 2228 (y/n): y
Does frequency 903 couple to 4264 (y/n): n
Does frequency 903 couple to 4336 (y/n): n
Does frequency 1110 couple to 1471 (y/n): y
Does frequency 1110 couple to 2228 (y/n): n
Does frequency 1110 couple to 4264 (y/n): n
Does frequency 1110 couple to 4336 (y/n): n
Does frequency 1471 couple to 2228 (y/n): n
Does frequency 1471 couple to 4264 (y/n): n
Does frequency 1471 couple to 4336 (y/n): n
Does frequency 2228 couple to 4264 (y/n): n
Does frequency 2228 couple to 4336 (y/n): n
Does frequency 4264 couple to 4336 (y/n): y
Insert k factor for not being out of tube pulsing: 2
How many offset do you want to generate? 16
```
SCREEN CAPTURES OF THE OUTPUT RESULT

//CHECK IF YOUR INSERT VALUES ARE CORRECT//

Coupling matrix is:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
542 \text{ Hz} & 903 \text{ Hz} & 1110 \text{ Hz} & 1471 \text{ Hz} & 2228 \text{ Hz} & 4264 \text{ Hz} & 4336 \text{ Hz} \\
\hline
542 \text{ Hz} & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
903 \text{ Hz} & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1110 \text{ Hz} & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1471 \text{ Hz} & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
2228 \text{ Hz} & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
4264 \text{ Hz} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
4336 \text{ Hz} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
\end{array}
\]

0 = not coupled protons
1 = coupled protons and diagonal

Spectral width is (higher freq - lower freq) = 3794.0 Hz
Spectral width with security factor (2) is: 7588.0 Hz
Pulse bandwidth was set to 30
Coil length was set to 1.8 cm
Probe gradient strength was set to 53.5 G/cm

//CALCULATION RETURN//

Solution found after 8057 iterations

Offset set to be used for multifrequency shaped pulse is: 14
584 Hz
795 Hz
828 Hz
998 Hz
1524 Hz
1795 Hz
2089 Hz
2267 Hz
2490 Hz
3000 Hz
3627 Hz
3766 Hz
4022 Hz
4249 Hz
**Z-position matrix (cm)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>542 Hz</th>
<th>903 Hz</th>
<th>1110 Hz</th>
<th>1471 Hz</th>
<th>2228 Hz</th>
<th>4264 Hz</th>
<th>4336 Hz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>584 Hz</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>-0.076</td>
<td>-0.125</td>
<td>-0.210</td>
<td>-0.390</td>
<td>-0.873</td>
<td>-0.890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>795 Hz</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>-0.026</td>
<td>-0.075</td>
<td>-0.160</td>
<td>-0.340</td>
<td>-0.823</td>
<td>-0.840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>920 Hz</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>-0.010</td>
<td>-0.067</td>
<td>-0.153</td>
<td>-0.332</td>
<td>-0.815</td>
<td>-0.832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>998 Hz</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>-0.027</td>
<td>-0.112</td>
<td>-0.292</td>
<td>-0.775</td>
<td>-0.792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1524 Hz</td>
<td>0.233</td>
<td>0.147</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>-0.167</td>
<td>-0.650</td>
<td>-0.667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1795 Hz</td>
<td>0.297</td>
<td>0.212</td>
<td>0.162</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>-0.103</td>
<td>-0.586</td>
<td>-0.603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009 Hz</td>
<td>0.348</td>
<td>0.262</td>
<td>0.213</td>
<td>0.128</td>
<td>-0.052</td>
<td>-0.535</td>
<td>-0.552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2207 Hz</td>
<td>0.395</td>
<td>0.309</td>
<td>0.260</td>
<td>0.175</td>
<td>-0.005</td>
<td>-0.488</td>
<td>-0.505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2490 Hz</td>
<td>0.462</td>
<td>0.376</td>
<td>0.327</td>
<td>0.242</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>-0.421</td>
<td>-0.438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3000 Hz</td>
<td>0.503</td>
<td>0.437</td>
<td>0.440</td>
<td>0.363</td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td>-0.300</td>
<td>-0.317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3627 Hz</td>
<td>0.732</td>
<td>0.646</td>
<td>0.597</td>
<td>0.511</td>
<td>0.332</td>
<td>0.151</td>
<td>0.168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3766 Hz</td>
<td>0.765</td>
<td>0.679</td>
<td>0.630</td>
<td>0.544</td>
<td>0.365</td>
<td>-0.118</td>
<td>-0.135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4022 Hz</td>
<td>0.826</td>
<td>0.740</td>
<td>0.691</td>
<td>0.605</td>
<td>0.426</td>
<td>-0.057</td>
<td>-0.074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4249 Hz</td>
<td>0.879</td>
<td>0.794</td>
<td>0.745</td>
<td>0.659</td>
<td>0.479</td>
<td>-0.004</td>
<td>-0.021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*rows = generated offset frequencies for shaped pulse*
*columns = input frequencies from user*

---

**NMR tube is divided into 253 slices**

**Slice thickness is: 0.007 cm**

*Experimental sensitivity respect to 1H spectrum should be around 5,5 which is given by the ratio: number_ofOffsets/number_of_slices*
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Full sensitivity and enhanced resolution in homodecoupled band-selective NMR experiments

Laura Castañar, Pau Nolis, Albert Virgili and Teodor Parella.
Introduction

Chemical shifts and coupling constants are fundamental parameters in the analysis and interpretation of NMR spectra. Multiplicity information can be extracted from the analysis of the fine multiplet structure and it can be related to structural parameters such as dihedral angles or the number of neighboring nuclei. The signal resolution in $^1$H NMR spectra is rather poor, owing to the narrow proton chemical shift range and to the signal splitting by homonuclear coupling. As it has been show in Introduction, over recent years a high interest has emerged to develop broadband homodecoupled $^1$H NMR techniques that offer increased resolution by simplifying the typical $J_{HH}$ multiplet pattern to singlet lines, and therefore reducing signal overlapping. Most of the pure shift NMR experiments recently published are based on the Zangger-Sterk (ZS) method, which uses the spatial encoding concept along the $z$-dimension to obtain $^1$H fully homodecoupled spectra. The main drawback of ZS methods is their very low sensitivities because signal only comes from selected $z$-slices. Thus the main challenge in this field is to design experiments which improve sensitivity.

In this publication a simple modification of the slice-selective 1D HOBB experiment allows the collection of broadband homodecoupled spectra of specific regions of the $^1$H spectrum without sacrificing sensitivity. As a major feature, this Homodecoupled Band-Selective (HOBS) NMR method does not use the spatial encoding gradient $G_s$ applied simultaneously with the selective pulses, and therefore, pure shift 1D spectra can be quickly recorded without the sensitivity losses characteristic of the slice selection process. The main limitation of this frequency-selective experiment is that only a particular part of the $^1$H spectrum is monitored in a single-NMR spectrum. However, HOBS promises to have a potential use in spectra presenting a set of equivalent spin systems in well-separated and defined regions, such as the typical NH or $H_{\alpha}$ protons in peptides and proteins or those found in nucleic acids.
Full Sensitivity and Enhanced Resolution in Homodecoupled Band-Selective NMR Experiments

Laura Castañar,[a, b] Pau Nolis,[a] Albert Virgili,[b] and Teodor Parella[a, a]

Chemical shifts and coupling constants (J) are fundamentals in the analysis and interpretation of NMR spectra. Multiplicity information and J values can be extracted from the analysis of the fine multiplet structure, and they can be related to structural parameters, such as the number of neighbouring spins, the trace of rough-bond connectivities or dihedral angle constraints. Over recent years, a significant interest has emerged to develop homodecoupled (H)NMR spectroscopy techniques that offer increased resolution by simplifying the homonuclear splitting pattern, and therefore reducing signal overlapping.

The simplest approach for homodecoupling is the use of semi-selective shaped pulse decoupling during signal detection, where the receiver and the decoupling are alternatively activated.[1] If the semi-selective pulse is applied in a region A of the spectrum, the multiplet structure of J-coupled signals resonating in a different region B appear simplified while they are detected. However, this is not a broadband method because protons from a third region C would not be decoupled, and therefore the corresponding coupling splittings will remain in the partially decoupled spectrum. Although the use of sophisticated multiple-region decoupling using different and simultaneous decoupling waveforms could be applied, it is difficult to achieve a perfect decoupling for all resonances and, moreover, without the interference of undesired decoupling sidebands.[2] Alternatively, the internal projection in the chemical shift dimension of J-resolved experiments[3] or the diagonal signals in anti-1-COSY experiments[4] have been also proposed to obtain broadband homodecoupled NMR spectra. They require the collection of more time consuming 2D/3D data and post-processing tasks can be further required. Some years ago, the so-called Zanger–Sterk (ZS) method based on the implementation of the spatially encoded concept along the z-dimension was also proposed.[5] The ZS method has been further refined and several applications have been reported to obtain high-resolution pure-shift multidimensional NMR spectra.[6–8] The main drawbacks of ZS methods are their low sensitivities because signal only comes from selected z slices and, on the other hand, the need for an FID reconstruction method by means of a time-consuming 2D/3D mode acquisition. Very recently, a new NMR detection scheme has been proposed for the instant and speed-up acquisition of ZS-decoupled spectra in a one-shot single-scan experiment.[9] The instant technique greatly improves the sensitivity per time unit ratio although the attainable sensitivity is still far from a regular H spectrum. Analogous ZS methods incorporating isotopic 13C editing by using BIRD elements have been also reported to efficiently minimise the effects of strong coupling, but an important penalty in sensitivity remains due to the low natural abundance of 13C (1.1%).[10]

Based on the instant ZS experiment, a novel NMR spectroscopy method for the fast acquisition of full-sensitive homodecoupled band-selective (HOBS) NMR spectra is proposed here. It is noteworthy that the spatial encoding gradients applied simultaneously with the selective pulses in the original instant scheme are here omitted, avoiding sensitivity losses due to spatial slice selection. In addition, the HOBS method incorporates a number of advantages, such as: 1) an effective homodecoupling NMR block consisting of a pair of hard-selective 180° pulses flanked by pulsed field gradients (Figure 1, 2) an excellent spectral quality related to the use of selective gradient echoes, 3) real-time data collection without need of additional reconstruction methods that also allows conventional FID data processing, and 4) an easy implementation in multidimensional experiments. In our hands, the best results in terms of selectivity and optimum

![Diagram](https://example.com/diagram.png)

Figure 1. Schematic representation of the 1D homodecoupling band-selective (HOBS) experiment. Homodecoupling during detection is achieved by applying a pair of hard-selective 180° pulses (represented as solid and shaded shapes) at the middle of 2Δ – AΩt/2 periods, in which AΩ is the acquisition time and n the number of concatenated loops; δ is the duration of gradients and the recovery delay.
relaxation are obtained using 180° REBUMP semiselective pulses of 5–10 ms for both region-selective excitation and decoupling as a function of the selected, or applied at intervals of 2Δ = 10–15 ms [1].

Peptides and proteins are good targets for evaluating the efficiency of band-selective NMR spectroscopy experiments because a set of equivalent spins (amides NH, Hβ, or aliphatic side-chain protons) appear in well-separated regions. We chose the cyclic peptide cyclosporine (I) to verify the selectivity and sensitivity aspects of the proposed HOBS experiment. Figure 2B and C compare the individual single-scan 1D HOBS spectra obtained after selection of the Hβ and NH region, respectively, with the 1H spectrum acquired with the pulse sequence in the plain experiment (Figure 2A). Clearly, all Hβ or NH signals are fully homodecoupled, independent of their coupling pattern and also independent of the rest of the spectrum. It is very important to highlight that clean spectra are achieved, with minimum set-up and, in contrast to slice-selective ZS experiments, the same sensitivity levels as the conventional 1H spectrum are retained.

Figure 2. A) Regular pulse-acquisition, and B), C) HOBS 1H NMR spectra of cyclosporine (I) after selection of Hβ and NH regions, respectively. All spectra were recorded with the same receiver gain, with a single scan and processed with a Fourier transformation without any additional window function. All spectra are plotted with the same absolute vertical scaling factor for a comparison of their real sensitivity. HOBS spectra were recorded by applying 5 ms 180° REBUMP pulses (about 1200 Hz of bandwidth) for both excitation and decoupling in the region of interest. The 8 K data points were acquired using an acquisition time (AQ) of 576 ms (40 loops) (n) were used with Δ = 7.2 ms) and a recycle delay of 1 s. Gradients G1, G2, G3 with a duration of 500 μs were set to 23, 41, and 63 % of the maximum attainable strength (33.5 G cm⁻¹). The asterisks marked in (B) stand for unavoidable non-decoupled effects of an AB two-spin system.

The Hβ region (Figure 2B) additionally contains an AB two-spin system corresponding to the side-chain olefinic system of the residue I, which can be used to evaluate the effects of mutual coupling in HOBS experiments as a function of the pulse selectivity. Sensitivity and selectivity always present opposite and conflicting points in all homodecoupling experiments. It can be shown that these protons are not fully decoupled and display their mutual coupling, because both experience the effects of the semiselective REBUMP pulse. This unwanted J effect is not exclusive for the HOBS method, it is also present in the original instant and pseudo-2D ZS experiments recorded with the same selectivity conditions. Even the use of more selective pulses in the instant experiment (for instance, a Gaussian-shaped 180° pulse of 10 ms with an effective bandwidth of 121 Hz) does not provide complete decoupling for this spin system. Whereas the pseudo-2D ZS method can efficiently collapse these multiplets using a high-selective 60 ms Rmoh-shaped 180° pulse (effective bandwidth of 39 Hz), but at the expense of a dramatic sensitivity penalty, the instant and HOBS experiments completely fail under these conditions by severe relaxation due to the long pulse duration.

When trying to incorporate the pseudo-2D ZS method into multidimensional experiments, the overall acquisition time becomes extremely long because of the need for a 3D acquisition mode and for its reduced sensitivity. An important feature of the proposed HOBS detection scheme is its easy implementation as a powerful and general building block in existing multidimensional NMR spectroscopy experiments, with the same selectivity conditions as reproduced with the 1D version and retaining the maximum sensitivity levels of the original experiments. As an example, Figure 3 compares the conventional TOCSY versus the HOBS-TOCSY spectra of I acquired with the same experimental conditions and time. The 1D row analysis reveals a much better resolution in the direct dimension without affecting sensitivity, spectral quality and performance. Note that strong coupling effects remain exactly as observed in the 1D version. Other attempts to obtain pure-shot TOCSY spectra require a more extensive experimental time [2,3].

Similarly, the non-refocused version of a F2-coupled 1H-13C HOBS-HSQC spectrum shows collapsed signals with improved resolution and even better sensitivity, making it highly suitable for the reliable measurement of one-bond proton–carbon coupling constants from simplified singlet lines, and demonstrating its potential for measuring accurate residual dipolar couplings (RDCs) in anisotropic media under high-sensitivity conditions (Figure 4). [2,3] The HOBS scheme is also fully compatible with simultaneous broadband heteronuclear decoupling during acquisition. Details of the pulse timing on the simultaneous homonuclear and heteronuclear decoupling and its implementation into the conventional HSQC spectrum are available in the Supporting Information.

In summary, a new band-selective detection scheme has been proposed to collect homodecoupled NMR spectra of specific regions without sacrificing sensitivity. The imple-
Results and Discussion

COMMUNICATION

Homodecoupled Band-Selective NMR Experiments

Figure 3. H-region selective: A) regular TOCSY, and B) HOBS-TOCSY spectra of 1 (mixing time of 90 ms). C) 2D slices taken at two different frequencies to compare the relative sensitivity and resolution levels. Four scans were collected for each 128 τ1 increments of 2 K complex points, giving an experimental time of 13 min for each 2D spectrum. Homodecoupling was achieved using 20 loops and Δ = 4.3 ms (AQ = 170 ms) whereas all other experimental parameters were as described in the legend of Figure 2.

Figure 4. A) Regular, and B) HOBS spectra of the non-refocused F2 coupled 1H-13C HSQC experiment of 1. The interpulse delays were optimised to 145 Hz. Two scans were collected for each of the 64 τ1 increments of 2 K complex data points. Homodecoupling was achieved using n = 50, Δ = 5.7 ms, AQ = 570 ms, τ1 = 1880 Hz and a REBURP 180° pulse of 5 ms. The experimental time for each 2D spectrum was 5 min.

Experimental Section

All NMR spectroscopy experiments were performed in a 600 MHz Bruker Avance-III spectrometer equipped with a TXI probe and a gradient unit delivering 55.5 G/cm. The sample used was 25 mm cyclosporine in D2/junction. More experimental details and pulse sequence diagram of 2D HOBS versions of the refocused HSQC-CLIP and fully homonuclear and heteronuclear decoupled HSQC experiments can be found in the supporting information.
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Full Sensitivity and Enhanced Resolution in Homodecoupled Band-Selective NMR Experiments

Laura Castañar,[a, b] Pau Nolis,[a] Albert Virgili,[b] and Teodor Parella[c, d]
Experimental Section

All experiments were acquired on a Bruker AVANCE spectrometer (Bruker BioSpin, Rheinstetten, Germany) operating at 600.13 MHz proton frequency, equipped with a 5 mm triple resonance inverse probe and a z-axis pulsed field gradient accessory (maximum strength of 53.5 G/cm). The spectra were collected on a 25 mM sample of the cyclic peptide cyclosporine dissolved in benzene-d6 at a temperature T = 298 K, and processed with the software TOPSPIN 2.1.

The non-selective $^1$H 180 pulses were of 8.0 μs duration. For all 1D and 2D HOBS experiments, a 180° band-selective REBUMP shaped pulse of 5.0 ms was used for both excitation and homodecoupling. It was generated using the stdisp pulse shaping program available in Topspin NMR software. The setting of this pulse was initially tested and optimized using a single-scan SPFGE experiment (as Fig. 1 with a conventional detection period), as shown in Fig. S1B. The strengths of the G1, G2 and G3 gradients were set to 12.3, 21.9 and 33.7 G/cm, respectively, with durations of 500 μs followed by a recovery delay of 20 μs.

1D HOBS spectra of Fig. 2B and 2C were recorded using a single scan and 1 s of recycle delay. The spectral width was 7200 Hz, and 8K complex points were recorded during an acquisition time of 576 ms. 40 loops (n) were concatenated with Δ=AQ/2n=7.2ms. The 1D time-domain data were directly transformed without any sensitivity or resolution enhancement.

The regular 2D TOCSY spectrum (Fig. 3A) was acquired using the dpsi2ph pulse program, using a z-filtered DIFSL-2 spinlock of ca. 8 kHz effective field strength was used with a mixing time of 60 ms. The HOBS-TOCSY spectrum (Fig. 3B) was acquired using the pulse sequence displayed in Fig. S4A, with the same parameters described for the regular TOCSY and 1D HOBS experiments. Two scans of 2048 complex points were collected over an observed spectral width of 6000 Hz for each of the 128 τ1 values. Experimental parameters: AQ=170ms, n=20, Δ=4.3ms, and recycle delay of 1s. Data were transformed with a shifted sine window function along both the F1 and F2 dimensions and with a zero-filling to 1K in F1. The total experimental time was about 13 minutes for each 2D spectrum.

The non-refocused version of the 2D $^1$H-$^13$C HSQC spectrum (Fig. 4A) was optimized to 1/(2*JCH)=145Hz. Two scans of 1024 complex points were collected over an observed spectral width of 1800 Hz for each of the 128 τ1 values. Data were transformed with a shifted sine window function along both the F1 and F2 dimensions and with a zero-filling to 1K in F1. The corresponding HOBS-HSQC spectrum (Fig. 4B) was acquired using the pulse sequence displayed in Fig. S4B, with the same parameters described for the regular HSQC and 1D HOBS experiments. The 90° and 180° band-selective pulses were EBUER-2 of 3.5 ms and REBUMP of 5.0 ms, respectively. The total experimental time was about 5 minutes for each 2D spectrum. The above conditions were also applied for the HOBS-HSQC-CLIP (see the pulse scheme in Fig. S4C and the corresponding spectrum in Fig. S5B) and fully-decoupled HOBS-HSQC experiments (see the pulse scheme in Fig. S6B and the corresponding spectrum in Fig. S7B). To achieve heteronuclear decoupling during the HOBS detection scheme, the pulse timing described in Fig. S7A was applied.
Results and Discussion

Figure S1: (A) $^1$H spectrum of cyclosporine; B) Band-selective spectrum acquired with the single-pulsed-field gradient echo (SPFGE) sequence using a semi-selective RE-BURP-shaped $180^\circ$ $^1$H pulse of 5 ms; and C) 1D HOBs spectra acquired with the pulse sequence of Fig. 1 using a 5ms REBURP-shaped pulse.

Figure S2: Comparison between the A) standard $^1$H spectrum; B) $^1$H spectrum (acquired with 4 scans) after SESAM decoupling of the NH region during acquisition using a mlevsp180 pulse train with a 5 ms REBUPR shaped pulse as inversion element (pulse program called zghe.3); C) Clean 1D HOBS spectra acquired with the pulse sequence of Fig. 1 using a 5ms REBURP-shaped $180^\circ$ $^1$H pulses. See Fig. S3 for a better visualization of the expanded H$_o$ region.
Figure S3: Expanded $H_\alpha$ region corresponding to the spectra of Fig. S2. The selective decoupling of the NH region in B only simplifies the $H_\alpha$ protons with a resolved $J(NH-H_\alpha)$ coupling (see arrows). Note that other couplings between $H_\alpha$ and other side-chain $H_\beta$ protons are not affected. In C) all couplings are collapsed except the active $J(HH)$ of the olefinic AB spin system (marked with asterisks).
Figure S4: Basic illustration of the incorporation of the HOBS technique in conventional 2D homo- and heteronuclear NMR experiments. General pulse schemes for the A) HOBS-TOCSY, B) non-refocused HOBS-HSQC, and C) refocused HOBS-HSQC-CLIP experiments. Basically, all these experiments have been easily adapted from conventional sequences by substituting an appropriate hard 90° pulse by a selective 90° or a 90°-δ-[180° sel]-δ SPFGE block, and changing the conventional detection period by the HOBS scheme, as reported in Fig.1 of the manuscript. The parameters working in the 1D HOBS sequence can be directly implemented in these 2D versions without any additional calibration. All pulse powers and durations, delays, gradient strengths and phase cycles are exactly the same as set in the conventional 2D experiments. The hard and semi-selective 180° pulses in the HOBS scheme are applied from the x axis, without any further phase cycling.
Figure S5: A) Conventional and B) HOBS versions of the F2-coupled HSQC-CLIP spectra of cyclosporine obtained using the non-refocused version of Fig. S4B with the same experimental conditions as described in Fig. 4. More details can be found in the experimental section.

Figure S6: A) 1D HOBS detection scheme to perform simultaneous broadband homo- and heteronuclear decoupling. Heteronuclear Decoupling (CPD) is only applied during data writing and it is switch off during the application of the gradient-based inversion elements; B) Pulse scheme of the fully homo- and heteronuclear decoupled HOBS-HSQC experiment.
Figure S7: A) Conventional and B) fully homo- and heteronuclear decoupled HOBS-HSQC spectra of cyclosporine obtained using the non-refocused version of Fig. S6B with the same experimental conditions as described in Fig. 4. Note the J(HH) doublet splitting of the two olefinic AB protons. More details can be found in the experimental section.
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Measurement of $T_1/T_2$ relaxation times in overlapped regions from homodecoupled $^1$H singlet signals
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Introduction

The measurement of relaxation rates by NMR spectroscopy provides important insights into the dynamics of molecules in solution. Longitudinal spin-lattice $T_1$ relaxation times are usually determined from Inversion Recovery (IR) experiment\textsuperscript{88} whereas transverse spin–spin $T_2$ relaxation times are measured from Carr–Purcell–Meiboom–Gill (CPMG) sequences.\textsuperscript{89} One drawback of CPMG pulse trains is the presence of multiplet distortions due to $J_{HH}$ evolution that can affect the accuracy of the measurement. An improved perfect CPMG sequence that achieves Periodic Refocusing Of $J$ Evolution by Coherence Transfer (referred to as PROJECT) has been proposed recently to minimize the effects of $J$ evolution during the echo periods, obtaining pure in-phase signals.\textsuperscript{90}

On other hand, $T_1$ and $T_2$ values are usually extracted from the analysis of mono-exponential signal decays monitored in a series of 1D spectra. However, signal overlap hampers a simple data analysis due to the superposition of several individual decays. In these cases, the use of more sophisticated methods, such as deconvolution, line fitting techniques or the analysis of multiple-exponential decay can be required to obtain correct values for each individual signal.

In this article, the implementation of the HOBS technique (see Publication 2) in standard IR and PROJECT experiments is proposed to solve overlapping problems. The new homodecoupled 1D HOBS-IR and HOBS-PROJECT experiments allows the accurate measure of $T_1$ and $T_2$ relaxation times from the resulting singlet lines using conventional mono-exponential curve-fitting methods. These experiments have been tested on crowded areas of cyclosporine and progesterone samples. The experimental $T_1$ and $T_2$ data obtained from HOBS versions agree with data extracted from conventional IR and PROJECT experiments.
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ABSTRACT
The implementation of the HOModecoupled Band-Selective (HOBBS) technique in the conventional Inversion-Recovery and CPMG-based PROJECT experiments is described. The achievement of fully homodecoupled signals allows the distinction of overlapped $^1$H resonances with small chemical-shift differences. It is shown that the corresponding $T_1$ and $T_2$ relaxation times can be individually measured from the resulting singlet lines using conventional exponential curve-fitting methods.
© 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction
The measurement of relaxation rates by Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) spectroscopy can provide important insights into the dynamics of molecules in solution [1]. Longitudinal spin-lattice $T_1$ relaxation times are usually determined from the Inversion-Recovery (IR) experiments [2,3], whereas transverse spin–spin $T_2$ relaxation times are measured from Carr–Purcell–Meiboom–Gill (CPMG) sequences [4,5]. Recently, an improved compensated CPMG sequence that achieves Periodic Refocusing $UJ$ Evolution by Coherence Transfer (PROJECT) has been proposed to minimize the effects of $J$ evolution during the echo periods, allowing a more accurate extraction of $T_2$ values by fitting the experimental data to a clean exponential decay of pure-phase, non-$J$-modulated signals [6,7]. A common feature of all these experiments is that measurements are based on exponential signal decays that can be described by first-order differential equations. In spectral regions with well resolved peaks the corresponding time constants are easily determined from nonlinear least-squares fits of each decaying signal to a separate mono-exponential function. However, data analysis is hampered in spectral regions with significant peak overlap, where the observed signal decays may be the result of superposition of several individual decays which are difficult to distinguish and require the use of sophisticated fitting methods [8–10]. Several NMR approaches have been proposed to avoid signal overlapping in relaxation experiments, such as the initial use of selective coherence by TOCSY transfer from an isolated signal [11], although the improved signal dispersion achieved in 2D/3D NMR experiments has become the common technique to study the conformational and dynamics aspects of homopolymers in solution [12].

On the other hand, a number of broadband homodecoupled NMR methods have been reported to obtain simplified $^1$H singlet signals without the typical fine $J$($^1$H) multiplet structure [13–24], and recently an excellent overview of the homodecoupling techniques and applications has been reviewed [18]. The most recent applications, that have been encompassed under the term “pure-shift NMR”, are based on the original Zinger–Sierk (ZS) experiment [14]. Basically exists two different acquisition protocols: (i) a time-consuming pseudo-2D acquisition mode based on adding the first part of different interferograms [14,15], and (ii) a real-time one-shot mode that reduce the experimental time and do not need for sophisticated processing tools [17]. Most of them use spatial encoded techniques, and therefore pronounced sensitivity losses due to slice selection are unavoidable that requires long acquisition times. Other homodecoupling methods using the BIRD module [19] do not suffer of sensitivity penalties but their applications are limited to heteronuclear correlation experiments [24]. Alternatively, a novel HOModecoupled Band-Selective (HOBBS)...
approach [25,26], closely related to the instant ZS experiment [17] has been proposed. The HOBS technique is not a broadband homodecoupling method that covers all the spectral width, rather it is a frequency-selective inverse homodecoupled method. However, it has been shown to be a sensitive and valuable practical tool when focusing specifically on a narrow part of the whole spectrum and applications have been provided for enantiomer differentiation studies [27], discrimination of diastereoisomers [28] or the measurement of heteronuclear coupling constants [29]. The main drawback is that it is a frequency-selective experiment and only a particular part of the $^1$H spectrum can be monitored in a single experiment. As a major advantage, the HOBS method omits the spatial encoding gradient applied simultaneously with the selective pulses in the original instant scheme, avoiding any sensitivity loss and allowing its performance with reasonable experimental times. This communication reports the straightforward implementation of the HOBS technique in standard IR and PROJECT experiments (Fig. 1) with the aim to resolve overlapped $^1$H resonances with small chemical shift differences. Thus, $T_1$ and $T_2$ relaxation times can be accurately measured from the resulting singlet lines using conventional exponential curve-fitting methods, without need for additional data analysis based on deconvolution or line fitting techniques [30,31].

2. Results and discussion

The major novelty with respect to the original experiments is the incorporation of the homodecoupled element during the detection period that consists of a pair of hard-selective $180^\circ-180^\circ$ pulses (represented as solid and shaded shapes) at the middle of $2A + AQ$ $n$ periods, where $AQ$ is the acquisition time and $n$ the number of concatenated loops [25,26]. In addition, a $H$-selective gradient echo has been inserted prior to acquisition to select the area of interest, where the involved selective $180^\circ$ $^1$H pulse is the same as used for homodecoupling. For a perfect broadband homodecoupling, these experiments should be applied to particular areas of the $^1$H spectrum where appear overlapped protons that are not mutually coupled.

HOBS experiments can use the same automated data acquisition, processing and fitting analysis subroutines as the original experiments. A series of $^1$D $^1$H spectra are sequentially recorded as a function of the recovery delay ($\tau$) or the total echo time ($\tau_e = 4n\tau$) in IR (Fig. 1A) and PROJECT (Fig. 1B) experiments, respectively. Fig. 2 compares the experimental results obtained for the IR and HOBS-IR experiments applied to the $H_6$ proton region in the peptide cyclosporine. Good agreement is observed between the $T_1$ measured for all isolated signals with both methods demonstrating that the incorporation of homodecoupling does not distort the measurement (Table 1). The excellence of the method is illustrated by distinguishing the individual decays of the overlapped $H_7$ and $H_8$ resonances at 4.78–5.80 ppm. Clearly, the successful analysis of the two resolved singlets (separated by 13 Hz) allows an accurate determination of each distinct $T_1$ value without resorting to more complex data analysis. The same strategy can be applied for $T_2$ measurements. The simplicity and the accuracy of the measurements is demonstrated when comparing the equivalent CPMG, PROJECT and HOBS-PROJECT spectra, all of which acquired with a total echo time of 165 ms (Fig. 2B–D). Whereas the standard CPMG spectrum shows strong multiplet distortions due to the unavoidable $J_{HH}$ evolution, perfect in-phase multiplets are obtained from both PROJECT spectra.

Clearly, the in-phase properties are fully retained in the HOBS-PROJECT spectra (Fig. 3D), where improved sensitivity and resolution are obtained due to the efficient multiplet collapsing. The method works equally well for mutually coupled protons that experience the effect of the selective $180^\circ$ pulse, and therefore they are not fully homodecoupled. $T_2$ measurements on the partially decoupled olefinic $H_1$ and $H_1'$ protons (asterisk in Fig. 3D) can be also monitored efficiently from the simplified doublet patterns.

The HOBS methods can be very useful to simplify highly congested areas, such as those found in the aliphatic region of the sereid progesterone (Fig. 4). Three resonances with complete multiplet patterns appear completely overlapped at 2.9 ppm. The simplified HOBS spectrum shows clean singlets for each of these signals, with small chemical differences of 14–18 Hz. Note the equivalence between IR and HOBS-IR data by observing the same exact null point for the strong methyl signal (see experimental details and experimental $T_1/T_2$ values in the supporting information).

Experimentally, the HOBS technique requires a very simple and fast implementation. Only two parameters need to be defined in a

Fig. 1. NMR pulse schemes of the HOBS-IR and HOBS-PROJECT experiments used to measure $T_1$ and $T_2$ relaxation times, respectively, in overlapped proton signals.
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Fig. 2. 600 MHz 1H NMR spectra obtained from the (A) conventional 1D and (B) HOBIS-IR experiments to determine $T_1$ values for all H$_2$ protons on 25 mM cyclosporine in benzene-$d_6$. At the bottom, the decay differentiation between the overlapped H7 and H8 protons is shown. All spectra were collected under the same experimental conditions and plotted at the same absolute vertical scale. Hemodecoupling was achieved using the detection scheme described in Fig. 1A with a 5 ms REBURP 180° pulse, $\phi = 8.6$ ms, $\Delta Q = 560$ ms and $n = 32$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proton</th>
<th>$\Delta$ (ppm)</th>
<th>$T_1$ IR (ms)</th>
<th>$T_1$ HOBIS-IR (ms)</th>
<th>$T_1$ PROJECT (ms)</th>
<th>$T_1$ HOBIS-PROJECT (ms)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H8</td>
<td>5.83</td>
<td>0.41 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.35 ± 0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H7</td>
<td>5.69</td>
<td>0.61 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H6</td>
<td>5.55</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.34 ± 0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H5</td>
<td>5.56</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4</td>
<td>5.33</td>
<td>0.41 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.30 ± 0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.41 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.35 ± 0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2</td>
<td>5.09</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.30 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1</td>
<td>4.85</td>
<td>0.88 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.41 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H0</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>0.68 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H7c</td>
<td>4.78</td>
<td>1.14 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1c</td>
<td>4.61</td>
<td>1.41 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2c</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>1.27 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1

Experimental $T_1$ and $T_2$ values obtained from IR, HOBIS-IR, PROJECT and HOBIS-PROJECT experiments for H$_2$ and definic proton in cyclosporine, calculated using a single mono-exponential decay.

The single-scan 1D acquisition mode: the offset and the selectivity of the 180° 1H pulse as a function of the crowded area to be analyzed. It is also worth to mention that maximum sensitivity is retained, although multiple experiments would be required to monitor different overlapped areas. This fact no means a severe impediment to the method as proton relaxation times do not consume large amounts of spectrometer time. Alternatively, broadband hemodecoupled for all signals present in the 1H spectrum should be feasible using the instant 25 experiment [17], simply applying a gradient during the selective 180° pulses in schemes of Fig. 1, but high levels of sensitivity would be lost due to spatial encoding selection. Moreover, we can anticipate that the HOBIS technique could be successfully implemented to improve the analysis in other related relaxation methods [32-34], including the measurement of selective $T_1$ relaxation times [$T_{1S}$] [35,36] or spin-lattice relaxation times in the rotating frame ($T_{1ROF}$) [11]. Other potential applications should be the study of reaction kinetics in complex areas or the determination of individual diffusion coefficients in
multi-component systems as similarly reported for analogous pure-shift DOSY experiments [15,16].

3. Conclusions

In summary, homodecoupling can improve the appearance of crowded areas of the $^1H$ spectrum by collapsing multiplet structure to singlet lines. The implementation of the HOBS technique in standard IR and PROJECT experiments can enhance the simplicity and accuracy by which $T_1$ and $T_2$ relaxation times are measured from overlapped resonances, while the sensitivity of the original experiments are retained. It has been shown that in absence of signal overlapping, individual mono-exponential decays from simplified singlet signals can be easily monitored using standard fitting procedures.

4. Methods and materials

All NMR experiments were collected at 298 K on a Bruker AVANCE spectrometer (Bruker Biospin, Rheinstetten, Germany) operating at 600.13 MHz proton frequency, equipped with a 5 mm triple resonance inverse probe and a z-axis pulsed field gradient accessory (maximum strength of 53.5 G/cm) acquired and processed using the software TOPSPIN 3.1 (Bruker Biospin, Rheinstetten, Germany).

The two samples used in this work were 25 mM cyclosporine (in benzene-d6) and 100 mM progesterone (in DMSO-d6). Hard 90° $^1H$ pulses of duration 7.8 μs (for cyclosporine) and 8.3 μs (for progesterone) were used in each sample. A 180° band-selective RAREP pulse of 50.0 μs (for cyclosporine) and 20.0 μs (for progesterone) was used for both excitation and homodecoupling in HOBS experiments. The strengths of the G1, G2 and G3 gradients were set to 9.1, 21.9 and 33.7 G/cm, respectively, with durations of 500 μs following a recovery delay of 20 μs ($\ddot{\phi}$ = 520 μs). The $^1H$ spectral width was set to 7200 Hz and 8 K complex points were recorded during an acquisition time of 569 ms. 32 (for cyclosporine) and 23 (for progesterone) loops (n) were concatenated with 4 periods of 8.9 and 12.37 ms, respectively ($\tau$ = A0/2π). The first and the last chunks are half size (A0/2π) relative to the rest of chunks (A0/n).

10 experiments with different values of recovery delay τ(0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 8 and 15 s) were acquired for each IR and HOBS-IR experiment, using 8 scans and 15 s of recycle delay. 12 experiments with different number of echoes (m = 1, 2, 5, 10, 20, 25, 40, 50, 75, 100, 150, 200 and 250) and a relaxation delay τ of 1.5 ms were acquired for each PROJECT and HOBS-PYECT experiments, using a single scan and 10 s of recycle delay. 1D time-domain data were transformed without any sensitivity or resolution enhancement, and the same phase and baseline corrections were applied for all resulting 1D spectra.

The standard IR and CPMG experiments were recorded using the t1ir and cpmg td pulse programs that are available in the Bruker library. Pulse programs codes for Bruker spectrometers are available in our blog (http://serma.uab.cat).

The calculation of longitudinal $T_1$ relaxation times was carried out with the subroutine t1guide included into the TOCSY3.1 software package. A set of 1D spectra recorded with different recovery delays τ were stored in a 2D data set and $T_1$ values were extracted by fitting the data to the equation:

$$\frac{A_0}{A_1} = 1 - 2e^{-\frac{\tau}{T_1}} \tag{1}$$

where $A_0$ is the integrated area of the peak in the spectrum and $A_1$ is the area when $\tau$ → $\infty$.

The transversal $T_2$ relaxation times values were extracted from fitting the integrated area of a given signal as a function of total echo time $\tau_e$ assuming single exponential decay process. This natural exponential function can be rewritten in natural logarithm:
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form where \( A \) and \( \tau_e \) present a linear dependence and \( T_2 \) can be extracted from the slope:

\[
A - A_e = A_0 - A_e = \frac{1}{\tau_e} T_2
\]

where \( \tau_e \) is calculated as \( \tau_e = 4 \tau_1 \).
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Figure S1: Stacked plot of the A) PROJECT and B) HOBS-PROJECT spectra corresponding to the H₆ region of cyclosporine (see Fig. 1B of the manuscript), using the conditions described in the experimental section. The Fig. 3E in the manuscript shows an expanded area of the spectra B covering signals resonating between 4.76 and 4.90 ppm.
Figure S2: Comparison of the experimental mono-exponential $T_2$ signal decays for the isolated H6 and H9 protons and also for the overlapped H7 and H8 protons of cyclosporine in (top) PROJECT vs (bottom) HOBS-PROJECT experiments. See experimental values in Table 1.
Figure S3: 600MHz 1D $^1$H A-B) conventional and C-D) HOBS spectrum of cyclosporine after selective excitation and homodecoupling of two different aliphatic regions (Reburp 180° $^1$H pulse of 20 ms in C and 10 ms in D, $\Delta=8.1$ ms, AQ=569 ms and $n=35$ as a homodecoupling conditions). These same conditions have been used in the HOBS-IR experiment shown in Fig. S4. Both spectra are plotted in the same absolute vertical scale to compare absolute sensitivities after signal collapsing.
**Figure S4**: IR and HOBS-IR experiments of the selected aliphatic areas described in Fig. S3. The homodecoupling conditions in B and D were the same as described in Fig. S3 and the experimental $T_1$ values are shown in Table S1.
Figure S5: 600MHz 1D $^1$H A) conventional and B) HOBS spectrum after selective excitation and homodecoupling at 2.0 ppm in progesterone (Reburp 180° $^1$H pulse of 20ms, $\Delta=12.37$ ms, AQ=569 ms and n=23 as a homodecoupling conditions). These same conditions have been used in the HOBS-IR experiment shown in Fig. 4 of the manuscript and in the HOBS-PROJECT shown in the next Fig. S6. A single scan was collected and both spectra are plotted in the same absolute vertical scale to compare absolute sensitivities after signal collapsing.
Figure S6: Stacked plot of the 600 MHz 1D spectra of progesterone acquired with the A) PROJECT and B) HOBS-PROJECT experiments using the conditions described in experimental section and Fig. S5. The individual T₂ values can be found in Table S2.
### Table S1: Experimental $T_1$ values obtained from IR and HOBS-IR experiments for the proton signals displayed in the aliphatic areas of cyclosporine represented in Fig. S4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proton</th>
<th>$\delta$ [ppm]</th>
<th>IR</th>
<th>HOBS-IR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H10$\gamma$</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2$\beta$</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>0.51 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.51 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1$\eta$</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.02 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H7$\beta$</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H10$\delta$</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.41 ± 0.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H10$\delta'$</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H5$\gamma$</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1$\delta$</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H6$\delta$</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H6$\delta'$</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H8$\beta$</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4$\delta$</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H11$\gamma$</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H5$\gamma'$</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H9$\delta$</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4$\delta'$</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H9$\delta$</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H11$\gamma'$</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.59 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The error is given by the error of the exponential fit.

Table S1: Experimental $T_1$ values obtained from IR and HOBS-IR experiments for the proton signals displayed in the aliphatic areas of cyclosporine represented in Fig. S4.
Table S2: Experimental T₁ and T₂ values obtained from IR, HOBS-IR, PROJECT and HOBS-PROJECT experiments for the five different proton signals resonating at the region 1.8-2.1 ppm in progesterone.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>H signal</th>
<th>δ ppm</th>
<th>T₁ measurement [s]</th>
<th>T₂ measurement [s]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H₂₁</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>1.38 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.35 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₁₅ₑₒ</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₁₂ₑₒ</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.41 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₁ₑₒ</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.42 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₇ₑₒ</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.51 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The error is given by the error of the exponential fit.
Pulse Program Code for Bruker: HOBS-IR

;HOmodecoupled Band-Selective Inversion Recovery NMR experiment (HOBS-IR)
;T1 measurement using inversion recovery
;1D Experiment
;Avance III version (17/07/2013)
;Topspin3.1

#include <Avance.incl>
#include <Grad.incl>
#include <Delay.incl>
#include <De.incl>

dwellmode explicit

"p2=p1*2"
"d2=aq/l0"
"d3=d2/2"
"l1=l0-1"
"acqt0=-p1*2/3.1416"

1 ze
2 d1 pl1:f1
  50u UNBLKGRAD
  p2 ph1
  d7 pl1:f1
  p1 ph2
  d16 pl0:f1
  p16:gp1
  (p12:sp2 ph3)
  p16:gp1
  d16
  ;starts HOBS
ACQ_START(ph30,ph31)
  0.05u setrtp1|0
  0.1u setrtp1|5
  d3:r
  0.1u setrtp1^5
  0.05u setrtp1^0

  p16:gp2
  d16 pl1:f1
  p2 ph4
  p16:gp2
  d16

  p16:gp3
  d16 pl0:f1
  (p12:sp2 ph3)
  p16:gp3
  d16
3 0.05u setrtp1\|0
0.1u setrtp1\|5
d2:r
0.1u setrtp1\|^5
0.05u setrtp1\|^0

p16:gp2
d16 pl1:f1
p2 ph4
p16:gp2
d16

p16:gp3
d16 pl0:f1
(p12:sp2 ph3)
p16:gp3
d16
lo to 3 times l1

0.05u setrtp1\|0
0.1u setrtp1\|5
d3
5m
0.1u setrtp1\|^5
0.05u setrtp1\|^0

rcyc=2
wr #0
exit

ph1=0
ph2=0
ph3=0
ph30=0
ph31=0

;p1: f1 channel - power level for pulse (default)
p1: f1 channel - 90 degree high power pulse
p2: f1 channel - 180 degree high power pulse
p12: f1 channel - 180 degree band-selective pulse [ms]
p16: homospoil/gradient pulse [500 us]
sp2: f1 channel - shaped pulse power level for band-selective excitation
spnam2: shaped pulse for selective excitation [REBURP]
d1: relaxation delay; 1-5 * T1
d7: delay for inversion recovery
:NS: 1 * n, total number of scans: NS * TD0
:DS: 0
:l1: number of concatenated loops

;use gradient files:
gpnam1: SMSQ10.100
gpnam2: SMSQ10.100
gpnam3: SMSQ10.100
Pulse Program Code for Bruker: HOBS-PROJECT

; use gradient files:
; gpnam1: SMSQ10.100
; HOmodedcoupled Band-Selective Periodic Refocusing of J Evolution
; by Coherence Transfer NMR experiment (HOBS-PROJECT)
; T2 measurement
; 1D Experiment
; Avance III version (13/07/2013)
; Topspin3.1

#include <Avance.incl>
#include <Grad.incl>
#include <Delay.incl>
#include <De.incl>

"p2=p1*2"
"d12=20u"
"DELTA1=d20-p1*2/3.1416"
"DELTA2=d20-p16-d16-de"
"DELTA3=d20-p16-d16"
"acqt0=0"

dwellmode explicit

"d2=aq/10"
"d3=d2/2"
"l1=l0-1"

1 ze
2 30m
d1
50u UNBLKGRAD
d12 pl1:f1
p1 ph1
DELTA1
p2 ph2
d20

3 d20
p2 ph2
d20
p1 ph2
d20
p2 ph2
d20
l0 to 3 times l4

DELTA3 pl0:f1
p16:gp1
d16
p12:sp2:f1 ph2
p16:gp1
d16
DELTA2
:starts HOBS

ACQ_START(ph30,ph31)
0.05u setrtp1|0
0.1u setrtp1|5
d3:r
0.1u setrtp1^5
0.05u setrtp1^0

p16:gp2
d16 pl1:f1
p2 ph4
p16:gp2
d16

p16:gp3
d16 pl0:f1
(p12:sp2 ph3)
p16:gp3
d16

4 0.05u setrtp1|0
0.1u setrtp1|5
d2:r
0.1u setrtp1^5
0.05u setrtp1^0

p16:gp2
d16 pl1:f1
p2 ph4
p16:gp2
d16

p16:gp3
d16 pl0:f1
(p12:sp2 ph3)
p16:gp3
d16
lo to 4 times l1

0.05u setrtp1|0
0.1u setrtp1|5
d3
5m
0.1u setrtp1^5
0.05u setrtp1^0

rcyc=2
wr #0

exit
ph1=0
ph2=1
ph4=0
ph3=0
ph30=0
ph31=0

;pl1 : f1 channel - power level for pulse (default)
p1 : f1 channel - 90 degree high power pulse
p2 : f1 channel - 180 degree high power pulse
p12 : f1 channel - 180 degree band-selective pulse [ms]
sp2: f1 channel - shaped pulse power level for band-selective excitation
spnam2: shaped pulse for selective excitation [REBURP]
d1 : relaxation delay; 1-5 * T1
d12: delay for power switching [20 usec]
p16: homospoil/gradient pulse [500 us]
d20: fixed echo time to allow elimination of J-mod. effects
l1: number of concatenated loops for homodecoupling
l4: loop for T2 filter [4 - 20]
NS: 1 * n, total number of scans: NS * TD0
DS: 0

;use gradient files:
gpnam1: SMSQ10.100
gpnam2: SMSQ10.100
gpnam3: SMSQ10.100
Enantiodifferentiation through frequency-selective pure shift $^1$H nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy

Laura Castañar, Miriam Pérez-Trujillo, Pau Nolis, Eva Monteagudo, Albert Virgili and Teodor Parella. 
Introduction

NMR spectroscopy has proved to be a valuable technique to determine enantiomeric purity using a great variety of auxiliary chiral sources, as for example Chiral Solvating Agents (CSAs).\textsuperscript{91} In the case of using CSAs, the initial indistinguishable mixture of enantiomers is converted into a chemical-shift $\delta$-resolved mixture of complementary diastereomeric complexes. As soon as there is enough chemical shift difference to achieve resolution between the signals of analogous nuclei in these diastereomeric complexes, the measure of enantiomeric purity can be carried out by direct signal integration. However, $J_{\text{HH}}$ broaden $^1$H NMR resonances and accurate enantiomeric excess quantification is often hampered because of partial signal overlapping.

The features of pure shift experiments provide a great tool to avoid these overlapping problems. In this article, the HOBS methodology (see \textbf{Publication 2}) is proposed for the fast and efficient determination of very small chemical-shift differences between overlapped resonances. It is demonstrated that the frequency-selective homodecoupled method is a robust and sensitive analytical NMR spectroscopy tool for the fast and simple enantiodifferentiation and determination of the enantiomeric excess of organic molecules using CSAs. Its major advantage lies in the single-scan and 1D real-time acquisition modes, as the resulting simplified singlet signals facilitate a better analysis. Additionally, it has been shown that homodecoupled signals can also be retrieved for resonances obscured by other more intense signals or in overcrowded regions by using a preparatory TOCSY editing.

Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy in the presence of chiral auxiliaries is a particularly well-adapted technique for determining the enantiomeric purity and, in some cases, the absolute configuration of chiral molecules.\(^{[25]}\) Different approaches are available to accomplish enantiomeration, including chemical derivatization,\(^{[26]}\) chiral solvating agents (CSAs),\(^{[27]}\) and the use of chiral liquid crystalls.\(^{[28]}\) In the case of CSAs, the NMR method simply requires the use of a suitable chiral derivative that converts the initial indistinguishable mixture of enantiomers into a chemical shift (δ)-resolved mixture of complementary diastereomeric complexes. As soon as there is a large enough δ nonequivalence to achieve resolution between the signals (ΔΔδ) of analogous nuclei in these diastereomeric complexes, integration can enable the direct measurement of enantiomeric purity. However, homonuclear scalar couplings (J(HH)) broaden 1H NMR resonances, and accurate enantiomeric excess (ee) quantification by optimum signal discrimination is often hampered because of partial signal overlapping and low chemical-shift dispersion (ΔΔδ ≪ Δωo, where Δωo is the overall width of the multiplets). The use of selective homonuclear decoupling to simplify the multiplet structure is insufficient to completely resolve overlapping.\(^{[29]}\) However, the analysis of better-resolved fully decoupled singlet resonances in heteronuclear-decoupled 13C NMR spectra is an alternative, which avoids signal overlapping, but its low sensitivity remains a limiting factor for practical use.\(^{[30]}\) Recently, several NMR methods have been proposed to obtain pure chemical-shift 1H NMR spectra.\(^{[1-11]}\) Based on a recent instant broadband homodecoupled experiment,\(^{[12]}\) an analogous region-selective version that does not suffer sensitivity loss but maintains the benefits of obtaining simplified singlet resonances has been reported.\(^{[13]}\) We evaluate here the potential of this strategy for the fast and efficient enantiomeration of organic molecules using CSAs.

The proposed NMR experiment (Figure 1) can be understood as a homodecoupled version of the regular 1D single pulse field-gradient echo (SPFGE) scheme, in which a frequency-selective 180° pulse is applied to 1H NMR resonances of interest; the novelty lies in the incorporation of a broadband homodecoupling element into the acquisition period.\(^{[14]}\) The resulting 1D 1H NMR spectrum only shows the selected resonances as collapsed singlet lines, without their typical J(HH) multiplet structure, and from which accurate chemical-shift values can be determined, even for overlapped resonances. As the sensitivity is fully retained, data acquisition can be performed quickly with the same spectrometer time required for a conventional 1H NMR spectrum. Experimentally, only a single selective 180° pulse needs to be setup, as a function of its excitation offset and the required selectivity for both excitation/homodecoupling purposes. We found that Gaussian-shaped pulses with a duration of around 10–20 ms provide good results, in terms of resolution, without a considerable decrease in the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), owing to transverse relaxation during acquisition. Average line widths at half height of the singlets (ν1/2) of about 3.5–4.0 Hz are achieved by using homodecoupling settings of Δ = 15–25 ms, n = 11–20, and AΩ = 600 ms (where AΩ is the acquisition time and n the number of concatenated loops), whereas ν1/2 = 2.3–2.7 Hz values are generally found in...
the regular $^1$H NMR spectrum (see Figure S1 in the Supporting Information).

As a proof of principle, the practicality of the method is demonstrated in the study of an (R,S) mixture of ibuprofen in the presence of $\beta$-cyclodextrin ($\beta$-CD) as the CSA (Figure 2).$^{[38]}$ Whereas the conventional $^1$H NMR spectrum shows poor signal separation between equivalent diastereomeric protons (Figure 2B), the clean homodecoupled 1D spectra simplifies the appearance of complex peaks and shows separated singlet resonances, which facilitates a better analysis and quantification (Figure 2C, D). It is worth noting that the sensitivity for each individual selective homodecoupled 1D spectrum is kept at a similar level to the conventional $^1$H NMR spectrum, and, therefore, each one of these spectra can be obtained by using a single scan within few seconds and without any extra data processing requirement.

Figure 3 shows another example of the fast and sensitive discrimination of several $^1$H NMR resonances belonging to a racemic mixture of (R,S)-1-aminomandé in the presence of Pirkle alcohol as the CSA.$^{[32]}$ A straightforward comparison between the conventional (Figure 3B) and the fully homodecoup-

plied multiplets (Figure 3C) shows that a simpler and more reliable determination of the chemical-shift differences and R/S molar ratios is possible, considering the highly dispersed singlets that are independent of the original multiplet complexity. In terms of quantification, it is important to note that deviations of the homodecoupling conditions ($\Delta \ll 1/\Delta g$)$^{[39]}$ can lead to sidebands flanking each pure-shifted resonance at a spacing of 2$\pi$/AQ (see Tables S1 and S2 in the Supporting Information).

Although one limitation of the method could be its frequency-selective nature, it is not restricted to a single resonance for each individual experiment, because multiple signals can be simultaneously monitored by using band-selective$^{[7]}$ or multiple-frequency pulses$^{[12]}$ as long as the excited protons are not mutually $J$-coupled (Figure 2E). The proposed method surpasses some other NMR approaches to discriminate enantiomers because it avoids time-consuming 2D acquisitions and/or measurements made from the unresolved indirect dimension$^{[11]}$. However, homodecoupled $^1$H NMR signals for all available resonances in the spectrum can be obtained by using other broadband pure chemical-shift NMR methods$^{[11–13]}$ although they can suffer significant decreases in sensitivity. The
Results and Discussion

Figure 3. 600 MHz $^1$H NMR spectra of 50 mM (RS)-1-aminodind (1:1 proportion) in CDCl$_3$; A) before and B) after the addition of 4.5 equivalents of (R)-(−)-(4-ethyl)-2,2,2-trifluoroethanol (Flurki alcohol) as the CSA. C) Expanded multiplets extracted from individual selective 1D homodecoupled experiments acquired according to Figure 1 by using a Gaussian-shaped 180° pulse of 20 ms (Δ–16.91 ms, ΔG–225 s, and $\gamma–00$). For comparison, all spectra were acquired and processed under the same conditions (a single scan for each individual 1D spectrum has been recorded with the same receiver gain) and plotted in the same vertical scale to visualize real absolute sensitivities.

projection along the detected dimension of a $J$-resolved experiment requires a 2D-acquisition mode, and, therefore, the SNR reduction is proportional to the number of acquired increments. Otherwise, the original Zanger–Sterk (ZS) method shows better line widths, but it requires a pseudo-2D data-collection process and presents severe sensitivity losses, owing to spatial frequency encoding. Recently, single-shot ZS methods have been proposed for the fast acquisition of broadband homodecoupled 1D $^1$H NMR spectra, but they also experience considerable sensitivity losses because of $^{1}$C editing or spatial selection. The use of multiple slice selection through sequential or simultaneous slice excitation can improve the relative SNR, but the sensitivity levels are still far from those obtained in the conventional $^1$H NMR spectra. In terms of SNR per time unit, a single selective method is more than one order of magnitude more sensitive than the aforementioned pure-shift methods, which ensures that, for small molecules, recording series of individual selective 1D experiments can be faster and more effective than running a broadband experiment. As an example, the experimental SNR of each selective experiment is about 20 times higher than the real-time instant ZS experiment. A comparison on the relative SNR for several pure-shift methods can be found in Figure S2 (see the Supporting Information).

Interestingly, the proposed homodecoupled 1D method can be extended for the rapid visualization of singlet signals for those resonances that appear in highly overcrowded areas and that, in many cases, cannot be directly observed. This is the case for the H2 proton of ibuprofen, which resonates just below the large signals belonging to the CSA in the conventional $^1$H NMR spectrum (Figure 4B). This hidden signal can quickly become observable by using a sensitive total correlation spectroscopy (TOCSY) transfer from another isolated proton resonance (Figure 4C). Thus, a homodecoupled version of the selective TOCSY experiment can be designed by incorporating the detection period, described in Figure 1, into the conventional experiment (see Figure S3 in the Supporting Information). The two simplified singlets, corresponding to the H2 proton in R and S derivatives, can rapidly be distinguished, resolved, and quantified (ΔΔ$\delta$ = 10.44 Hz) with enhanced sensitivity and without CSA signal interference (Figure 4C, D).

In summary, we have demonstrated that the homodecoupled SPFGSE method is a robust and sensitive analytical NMR spectroscopy tool for the fast and simple discrimination of chemical-shift differences in overlapped signals and for the determination of the ee in the presence of CSAs. Its major advantage lies in the single-scan and 1D acquisition modes, as the resulting simplified singlet signals facilitate a better analysis. It has been shown that homodecoupled signals can also be retrieved for resonances obscured by other more intense signals or in overcrowded regions by using a preparatory TOCSY editing. Much work is in progress to use these powerful pure-shift methodologies for solving other common problems caused by NMR signal overlapping.

Experimental Section

All NMR experiments were performed by using a 600 MHz BRUKER Avance-III spectrometer equipped with a TXI probe. Complete experimental details, a comparison of the experimental sensitivity of several pure-shift NMR experiments, a description of the homodecoupled selective TOCSY pulse scheme, and a table showing the measured ΔΔ$\delta$ and R/S molar ratio values measured by signal integration and line fitting can be found in the Supporting Information.
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Experimental Section

All experiments were carried out at T=298 K on a Bruker AVANCE spectrometer (Bruker BioSpin, Rheinstetten, Germany) operating at 600.13 MHz proton frequency, equipped with a 5 mm triple resonance inverse probe and a z-axis pulsed field gradient accessory (maximum strength of 53.5 G/cm). Data were collected and processed using the software TOPSPIN 3.1 (Bruker BioSpin, Rheinstetten, Germany).

1D homodecoupled SPFFGE spectra of Fig. 2B-E were recorded using a single scan, the same receiver gain and 1 s of recycle delay. The spectral width was 7200 Hz, and 8K complex points were recorded during an acquisition time of 567.98 ms. 11 loops (n) were concatenated with Δ=ΔQ/2n=25.81 ms and the selective 180° 1H pulse had a Gaussian shape and a duration of 20 ms. A Gaussian-window function (LB=-3, GB=0.5) was applied before Fourier transformation. All 1D spectra of Fig. 3 were recorded using a single scan, the same receiver gain and 1 s of recycle delay for comparison purposes. The spectral width was 7200 Hz, and 32K complex points were recorded during an acquisition time of 2,273 s. In homodecoupled experiments, 60 loops (n) were concatenated with Δ=ΔQ/2n=18.93 ms and the selective 180° 1H pulse had a Gaussian shape and a duration of 20 ms. The 1D time-domain data was directly transformed without any sensitivity or resolution enhancement.

Although the homodecoupled SPFFGE experiment (Fig. 1) has been executed in a single-scan to demonstrate the power of the method, a minimum four-step cycle is recommended in which the initial selective 180° pulse and the receiver are cycled using an EXORCYCLE scheme: Ψ(180°)=x,y,-x,-y and Ψ(receiver)=x,-x. The strengths of the G1, G2 and G3 gradients were set to 40.7, 21.9 and 33.7 G/cm, respectively, with durations of 500 μs followed by a recovery delay of 20 μs.

The samples used were the same used and described in a prior article published by us (Pérez-Trujillo et al. Analytical Chemistry 2013, 85, 10887-10894, reference 5b of the main manuscript). In the case of ibuprofen samples the preparation was as follows. 600 μL of a 2.2 mM solution of racemic ibuprofen was prepared mixing 100 μL of a 13.2 mM stock solution of (RS)-ibuprofen and 500 μL of D2O, for the sample without CSA, and with 500 μL of a 12.1 mM β-CD solution in D2O, for the sample with CSA. After that, both solutions were spiked with 70 μL of a 8.0 mM S-isobuprofen solution, resulting in a 2.8 mM solution of (RS)-ibuprofen (35:65) in D2O and in a second solution analogous to the former but containing 3.6 equivalents of β-CD. In the case of the racemic 1-aminoindan samples, (RS)-1-aminoindan was dissolved in CDCl3 (50 mM) and after that 4.5 equivalents of R(−)-1-(9-anthryl)-2,2,2-trifluoroethanol (Pirkle alcohol, PA) were added to the sample.
Figure S1: Experimental linewidths measured a 50mM (RS)-aminoinidan sample dissolved in CDCl3: A) conventional $^1$H and B) homodecoupled $^1$H SPFGSE spectra after individual selection of each proton. All data were processed without any window function. The homodecoupling conditions in B) were: a 20 ms Gaussian shaped 180° $^1$H pulse, $\Delta=19$ ms, $n=15$ and $\Delta Q=568$ ms.
Figure S2. Comparison of the experimental SNR per time unit obtained for several pure chemical-shift NMR experiments using a sample of 50 mM (RS)-1-aminoindan with 4.5 equivalents of (R)-(--)-1-(9-anthryl)-2,2,2-trifluoroethanol (Pirkle alcohol) in CDCl₃. A) Conventional ¹H spectrum; B) real-time instant 1D ZS spectrum (ref. 7); C) pseudo-2D ZS spectrum (ref. 12); D) Internal projection extracted along the detected dimension of a conventional 2D J-resolved after a tilting process; and E) selective homodecoupled 1D SPFGE spectra (using the scheme of Fig. 1, this work) after individual selection of each selected resonance. For an accurate SNR per time unit comparison, each experiment was recorded in equivalent conditions, using a 1.5s of recycle delay, the same receiver gain value and a total spectrometer time about 5 min. All spectra has been plotted using the selective SPFGE as a normalized intensity reference (see scaling factor) and with the averaged experimental SNR (green value) calculated from the selected four resonances in each experiment. The non-selective 90° ¹H pulse was of 7.5 μs. A) Conventional ¹H NMR spectrum was recorded using 76 scans. The spectral width was 7200 Hz, and 32K complex points were recorded during an acquisition time of 2.27s. Experiments B) and E) were recorded using 123 scans, spectral width of 7200 Hz. 8K complex points were collected during an acquisition time of 567.98 ms and 15 loops (n) were concatenated with Δ=AQ/2n=18.93 ms. The strengths of the G1, G2 and G3 gradients (smoothed squared shaped; SMSQ10,100 in Bruker format) were set to 40.7 (76%), 21.9 (41%) and 33.7 G/cm (63%), respectively, with durations of 500 μs followed by a
recovery delay of 20 μs. A 180° frequency-selective Gaussian-shaped $^1$H pulse of 20 ms was used for both excitation and homodecoupling in spectra B, C and E. B) and C) experiments used a square-shaped encoding gradient of 0.2 G cm$^{-1}$ (0.4%) for spatial frequency encoding. C) The pseudo-2D ZS spectrum was recorded using 4 transients for each one of the 32 $t_1$ increments and gradient pulses were smoothed squared shaped with a duration of 1 ms and amplitude $G_1 = 26.8$ G cm$^{-1}$ (50%). All 1D time-domain data were directly transformed without any sensitivity or resolution enhancement. D) In the J-resolved spectrum 4 transients were collected for each one of the 32 $t_1$ increments. The spectral width was 7200 Hz, and 8K complex points were recorded during an acquisition time of 568 ms. Data were processed using a non-shifted sinc-bell window function followed by a tilting process.
Figure S3: Pulse scheme for the selective and homodecoupled 1D TOCSY experiment. A minimum four-step phase cycle is used: $\phi_1=x,y,-x,-y$ and $\phi_2=x,-x$. In contrast to the original homodecoupled SPFGE scheme (Fig. 1 of the manuscript), the features of the two selective 180° pulses are here different: the first selective 180° pulse is applied to an isolated resonance whereas the selective 180° pulse applied during the detection period is applied to a relayed resonance. Therefore, the selectivity and the offset of these pulses must be determined in each case according to the required selectivity.

Figure S4: 600MHz $^1$H NMR spectra of (R,S)-ibuprofen (35:65) in D$_2$O: A) before and B) after the addition of 3.6 equivalents of β-CD; C) conventional selective 1D TOCSY spectrum after initial selection of the H3 proton; D) broadband homodecoupled selective 1D TOCSY spectrum acquired using the pulse sequence of Fig. S3. Spectra C and D were recorded under the same experimental conditions: the H3 proton was selective excited by a 20ms Gaussian-shaped 180° $^1$H pulse, and the H2 proton was fully homodecoupled during the acquisition period (Gaussian shaped pulse of 20 ms, $\Delta$=14.2 ms, n=20 and AQ=568 ms) in D). Four scans were collected for each experiment using a recycle delay of 1s, a TOCSY mixing time (MLEV-17) of 60 ms and the same receiver gain value. Data were Fourier transformed without any window function.
Figure S5: Examples of line fitting achieved for the singlets obtained from the HOBS spectra after selection the H5/H9 protons of (R,S)-ibuprofen (35:65) and H2' in (R,S)-1-aminoindan samples. The experimental results are listed in Table S1.
Table S1: $\Delta \Delta \delta$ and $S/R$ molar ratio values for the samples studied in this work.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>Nucleus</th>
<th>Enantiodifferentiation</th>
<th>$R/S$ molar ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$\Delta \Delta \delta$</td>
<td>$W$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(H2)</td>
<td>(H2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(RS)-Ibuprofen 1.85 (65:35)</td>
<td>$^1$H-NMR</td>
<td>H3</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>9.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H5/9</td>
<td>7.32</td>
<td>10.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^1$H$_{ur}$-HOBS</td>
<td>H3</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>2.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H5/9</td>
<td>6.48</td>
<td>2.06$^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(RS)-1-Aminoisindan 1 (50:50)</td>
<td>$^1$H-NMR</td>
<td>H2'</td>
<td>33.43</td>
<td>32.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H2</td>
<td>40.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H3'</td>
<td>14.13</td>
<td>35.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H3</td>
<td>32.83</td>
<td>30.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^1$H$_{ur}$-HOBS</td>
<td>H2'</td>
<td>33.34</td>
<td>3.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H2</td>
<td>26.83</td>
<td>3.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H3</td>
<td>13.53</td>
<td>3.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H3</td>
<td>32.73</td>
<td>4.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ The enantiodifferentiation quotient, $E$, for an enantiodifferentiated signal is defined as $\Delta \Delta \delta / W$, where $\Delta \Delta \delta$ is the chemical shift non-equivalence of that signal in presence of the CSA and $W$ is the overall width of the same signal (singlet or multiplet) before adding the CSA. For more details see Pérez-Trujillo et al. Anal. Chem. 85 (2013) 10887-10894.

$^b$ Error calculated as the absolute value of (measured value - theoretical value) / 100/theoretical value.

$^c$ Line fitting (deconvolution) done based on a Lorentzian/Gaussian function using MestreNova software (Mestrelab Research S. L., Santiago de Compostela, Spain).

$^d$ Measurement done by integrating the end peaks of the partially enantioresolved multiplet.

$^e$ This value was not possible to be measured, due to the proximity of H5/9 signal to H6/8 peak. An estimated value (the same than that obtained for H3 signal) was used for the determination of $E$.

$^f$ Measurement done by integrating each half of the partially enantioresolved multiplet from the central point.

$^g$ Not possible due to complex multiplicity.

$^h$ Not possible to measure due to severe overlap.

$^i$ Measurement done by superposing and shifting the $^1$H NMR spectrum without CSA.

$^j$ Overlapped with an impurity.

$^k$ Sidebands have been included in determination of $R/S$ molar ratio.
Table S2: Comparison of R/S molar ratios obtained from the HOBS and the pseudo-2D ZS methods for the (R,S)-1-aminooindan sample.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>Nucleus</th>
<th>R/S molar ratio*</th>
<th>Measurement by integration</th>
<th>Errora (%)</th>
<th>Measurement by line fittingb</th>
<th>Errorb (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Theoretical S/R ratio (S/R)</td>
<td>^4H_{ar}HOBS</td>
<td>H2'</td>
<td>1.014</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.041</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H2</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.019</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H3'</td>
<td>^c</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H3</td>
<td>^d</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>^c</td>
<td>0.987</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R,S)-1-Aminooindan 1 (50:50)</td>
<td>pseudo-2D ZS</td>
<td>H2'</td>
<td>1.001</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.014</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>experiment</td>
<td>H2</td>
<td>1.007</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.026</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H3'</td>
<td>^d</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H3</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.991</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values extracted from spectra of Fig. S2C and S2E.

a Error calculated as the absolute value of (measured value - theoretical value) * 100/theoretical value.
b Line fitting (deconvolution) done based on a Lorentzian/Gaussian function using Mestrelab Research S. L., Santiago de Compostela, Spain.
c Sidebands have been included in determination of R/S molar ratio.
d Overlapped with an impurity.
Simultaneous $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR enantiodifferentiation from highly-resolved pure shift HSQC spectra

Introduction

In the previous work (Publication 4), the practical usefulness of 1D HOBS pure shift $^1$H NMR experiments in enantiodifferentiation studies to distinct signals separated by more than 2 Hz in a 600 MHz spectrometer has been reported. On the other hand, recently, the conventional fully decoupled 1D $^{13}$C{$_1^1$H} spectrum, one of the oldest pure shift NMR experiments, has successfully been applied in enantiodifferentiation studies.\[92\]

In this publication a new pure shift NMR approach is report to carry out enantiodifferentiation studies using CSAs. The proposed experiment is a highly-resolved 2D HSQC where the complementary features of the pure shift and spectral aliasing\[93\] approaches are combined in a single NMR experiment. As it is shown along this thesis work, the pure shift methodology highly improves signal resolution along the $^1$H dimension simplifying the typical $J_{HH}$ multiplicity pattern of $^1$H signals to singlets. Spectral aliasing methodology is a very straightforward method that allows the increase of digital resolution along the indirect dimension within the same total experimental time. This method does not required any change in the pulse sequence and experimentally is easily implementable reducing the $^{13}$C spectral width in HSQC experiments (for instance, from the typical 160 ppm to 5 ppm). In that manner, it is possible improve digital resolution and signal dispersion by one or two orders of magnitude along the $^{13}$C dimension compared to classical acquisition when SNR is not a limiting factor. The experimental consequence to apply spectral aliasing is the temporary loss of the real chemical shift value along the indirect dimension. The new position of each aliased $^{13}$C peak is exactly a multiple of the spectral width ($SW_C$) and its real position ($\delta$) can be determined from the relationship:

$$\delta_r = \delta_{obs} + (K \cdot SW_C)$$

where $\delta_{obs}$ is the experimental $\delta$($^{13}$C) measured in the aliased spectra using a given $^{13}$C offset $\Omega_C$ and $K$ is the aliasing factor which can be determined, for instance, from a reference non-aliased HSQC spectrum using a moderate number of $t_1$ increments. Several automated strategies have been proposed to determine the correct $^{13}$C $\delta_r$ values and to reconstruct the entire spectrum.\[93\]

---

This new *Spectral Aliasing Pure Shift HSQC* (SAPS-HSQC) method has been successfully applied to enantiodifferentiation studies and it has been proved that it is a fast and very efficient tool for the detection and accurate differentiation and quantification of very small $\Delta \Delta \delta$ values, simultaneously for $^1H$ and $^{13}C$. Enantiodifferentiation analysis through the SAPS-HSQC spectrum has been shown to be superior to the conventional 1D $^1H$, the conventional $^{13}C$ or even the broadband homodecoupled 1D $^1H$ ZS spectrum. It is also important to remark that the relative sensitivity of standard HSQC experiment is retained in SAPS-HSQC experiments and even improved due to the collapse of the signals to singlets.
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NMR enantiodifferentiation studies are greatly improved by the simultaneous determination of $^1$H and $^{13}$C chemical shift differences through the analysis of highly resolved cross-peaks in spectral aliasing pure shift (SAPS) HSQC spectra.

The determination of enantiomeric purity can be accomplished by NMR spectroscopy using a great variety of auxiliary chiral sources. Of these, chiral solvating agents (CSAs), such as the so-called Pirie alkaloid (PA) or cyclodextrins (CDs), have been widely used. They do not typically introduce significant line-broadening, the sample is easily prepared and the analysis is quickly performed by observing chemical shift differences ($\Delta\delta$) between the resulting diastereomeric complexes in conventional $^1$H NMR spectra. However, signal enantiodifferentiation using CSAs is not uniform for all protons and in many cases, low $\Delta\delta$ values and signal overlap caused by complex multiplets lead to the lack of spectral signal dispersion that precludes a straightforward analysis. Alternatively, enantiodifferentiation using $^{13}$C NMR spectroscopy can be more advantageous because singlet signals are analysed, although its routine use is limited by its low sensitivity. Another strategy to deconvolute these enantiodifferentiated data is to take advantage of improved signal dispersion offered by multidimensional spectra as shown for instance in the chiral recognition of camphor and $\alpha$-pinene enantiomers with CDs made through HSQC spectra. Recently, pure shift NMR spectroscopy has emerged as a promising tool to simplify the typical 3D (HH) multiplicity pattern of $^1$H signals to singlets. This affords a general improvement on signal dispersion that allows an improved analysis of complex and overcrowded resonances.

Recently, this concept has proved its usefulness in the detection of $\Delta\delta$ values between diastereomeric complexes involving CSAs. In this study we utilized a racemic mixture of compound (1), a precursor for a series of diastereomers of cancer chemotherapeutic agents,\textsuperscript{5} complexed with R-PA as a CSA. Its $^1$H NMR spectrum (Fig. 1A) does show some well-differentiated signals (for instance, H12 appears at around 7.10–7.15 ppm as two triplet signals separated by 20.9 Hz or 34.8 ppm), but most of the signals cannot be individually distinguished. For example, H13 is hidden under the stronger H2 signal from R-PA (6.6 ppm), and the splitting in signals resonating in the congested...
aliphatic area at 1.4–1.8 ppm cannot be clearly observed due to spectral overlap. Other protons present complex multiplet patterns (H8a or H6b) or are poorly resolved (H7a), hindering their direct analysis. On the other hand, up to 9 signals appear split in the conventional $^1$H NMR spectrum of racemic I acquired after 9 hours, with a maximum $\Delta\Delta\delta(^1\text{H})$ of 84.1 ppm (Fig. S3, ESI†). As an alternative to the acquisition and analysis of 1D $^1$H NMR data, pure shift 1D $^1$H NMR experiments can be employed to simplify the analysis and provide a much more sensitive approach in the determination of small $\Delta\Delta\delta(^1\text{H})$ values (Fig. 1B). In this pure shift $^1$H spectrum acquired in 9 min using the pseudo-2D Zanger–Sterk (2S) method, the separation of each individual signal can be visualized allowing the accurate measurement of $\Delta\Delta\delta(^1\text{H})$ as small as 2 Hz (3.3 ppm), even for signals that would exhibit very complex multiplets and serious overlapping in a standard 1D $^1$H NMR (Fig. 1C vs. D). In this communication, we show how highly resolved 2D HSQC spectra can be an efficient tool for enantiodifferentiation studies and also for the detection and accurate quantification of very small $\Delta\Delta\delta$ values. Traditionally, attempts to obtain highly resolved HSQC spectra over the entire $^1$C spectral width involved an enormous investment in instrumentation cost. Our method is based on the concept of leveraging several approaches to improve signal dispersion in 2D HSQC spectra. First, spectral aliasing (SA) is incorporated to improve resolution along the indirect dimension by one or two orders of magnitude without increasing the total experimental time by using a reduced $^1$C spectral width. Second, a sensitivity-improved version of the pure shift (PS) HSQC experiment (Fig. 2A), referred to as psHSQCsi, is applied to enhance the resolution in the alternate $^1$H dimension. This experiment applies 180° $^1$H(BRD) modules for homodecoupling and also heteronuclear decoupling during the $\tau$ acquisition periods to obtain fully decoupled $^1$H singlet signals. Diastereotopic protons belonging to methylene AB spin systems appear as doublets because geminal $^1$H magnetization is inverted together during the BRD filter and is therefore not decoupled. Finally, resolution can be further improved using non-uniform sampling in combination with zero-filling and linear prediction during data processing.

Fig. 2B compares a portion of the SAPS-HSQC vs. SA-HSQC spectra of 1, in order to evaluate multiplet simplification, signal dispersion and relative sensitivity. These data, acquired using a reduced $^1$C spectral width of 2.5 ppm in a 600 MHz spectrometer with 256 $\tau$ increments per 2846 points each, provide a digital resolution of around 2–3 Hz $pt.$ in both dimensions before data processing. It is shown that improved signal dispersion due to the combined effects of $^1$H and $^1$C $\delta$ differentiation is further enhanced by the multiplet pattern simplification provided by homo- and heteronuclear decoupling. The pure shift approach can afford a general sensitivity enhancement by 10–40% through the collapse of the multiplet structure. As expected, the proposed psHSQCsi version affords a substantial SNR improvement for $CH$ cross-peaks when compared to the psHSQC (Fig. S4, ESI†). In terms of spectral quality, homodecoupling during acquisition in psHSQC/psHSQCsi experiments generates small sidebands at specific frequencies around the main signal and a minimum broadening of the signal ($\sim 3$ Hz vs. $\sim 3.5$ Hz) when compared to traditional experiments (Fig. S4, ESI†). In practice, this does not affect the $\Delta\delta\delta$ determination, and signal discrimination less than 0.5 Hz (0.8 ppm for $^1$H and 3.3 ppm for $^1$C) can typically be achieved (Table 1), even for NMR signals with no apparent splitting in the $^1$C spectrum.

The analysed sample contains several examples that illustrate the power of the proposed method which, a priori, could detect enantiodifferentiated signals even in the case that $\Delta\Delta\delta(^1\text{H})$ or $\Delta\Delta\delta(^1\text{C})$ is close to 0, whenever one of the two are sufficiently dispersed. In the example shown, one of the available proton signals, 5, is detected as enantiodifferentiated in the $^1$H spectrum, 10 in the 1D ZS and 15 in the psHSQCsi. In addition, of the 11 signals of protomated carbons, 6 are detected as enantiodifferentiated in the 1D $^1$C spectrum and 10 in the psHSQCsi (Table 1). A new parameter $\Delta\Delta\delta(CH)^2 = 2\Delta\Delta\delta(^1\text{H})\Delta\Delta\delta(^1\text{C})$ is defined to describe mathematically the signal dispersion in HSQC cross-peaks (Fig. S5, ESI†). In general, we can say that both $\Delta\Delta\delta(^1\text{H})$ and $\Delta\Delta\delta(^1\text{C})$ values can be measured when $\Delta\Delta\delta(CH) > 5$ ppm (Table 1). For instance, the two singlets corresponding to the NMe group in 1 (H16) are well resolved in the regular $^1$H spectrum (27.0 ppm) whereas the corresponding C16 carbon is not resolved in the $^1$C spectrum (<13.2 ppm). From the HSQC crosspeak an accurate value of $\Delta\Delta\delta(C16) = 9.9$ ppm can be obtained. Similar analysis can be made for the H9/C9 and H13/C13 pairs where the carbon signals do not appear split in the 1D $^1$C spectrum but values of 4.6 ppm and 9.2 ppm, respectively, can be extracted from the 2D analysis (Fig. 2B and Fig. S8, ESI†). Another challenging
### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Label</th>
<th>ΔΔδ(1H) [in ppm]</th>
<th>ΔΔδ(13C) [in ppm]</th>
<th>ΔΔδ(15N) [in ppm]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4a/4b</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>13.3b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/5a</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>13.3b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6a/6b</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>13.3b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7a/7b</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>13.3b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>13.3b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>13.3b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>13.3b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>13.3b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>13.3b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>13.3b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14/14b</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>13.3b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>13.3b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>13.3b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Not determined.*

This table presents the chemical shift differences for deuterium and carbon-13 in the racemic compound 1 (29 mM), enantiomerically enriched with R-PA (9.6 equiv.) measured at 500 MHz and 298 K.

### Notes and references


### Analysis

The analysis involves the H7a/H7b protons and their C7 carbon, which present very low resolution. A very small ΔΔδ(H7b) = 1.2 ppm, which is not distinguishable in the 2D 1H spectrum, can be measured from the highly resolved 2D cross-peak.

The same strategy can be followed to determine ΔΔδ on quaternary carbons from an all-identical non-refocused HSQC experiment. Unfortunately, broadening homodecoupling in a similar manner as that described for the pHSQCMC experiment cannot be achieved because the detected signals correspond to 1H-13C magnetization that is homonuclear coupled to other protons with the same 13C-H topology. Although at least one pure shift HMBQC approach has been reported, this technique requires a high acquisition times and a complex processing protocol. A recent study shows some HSQCMC cross-peaks for the four quaternary carbons of I where a very small value of ΔΔδ(C) = 3.3 ppm can be measured.

In all these spectra, each all-identical 13C peak appears without sign inversion at a position that is exactly a multiple of the spectral width (SW), from its respective position (δ), which can be determined from the relationship δ = δ0 + (K × SW), where δ0 is the experimental δ[13C] measured in the aliased spectra using a given 13C offset δ0, and K is the fold number which can be determined from reference non-aliased HSQC or HSQCMC spectra using a moderate number of δ0 increments (Fig. S10, ESI†). Several automated strategies that have been proposed to determine the correct 13C values and to reconstruct the entire spectrum could also be applied here. The enantiodifferentiation from highly resolved HSQC allows the unambiguous 1H and 13C chemical shift assignment that is not available from the exclusive use of 1D spectra and, in addition, the pure shift nature of cross-peaks makes the proposed technique highly suitable for the quantitative determination of enantiomeric excess by 2D volume integration, because equivalent signals from both diastereomers have practically equal f(1H) coupling and T2 relaxation values.

In summary, the combination of spectral aliasing and pure shift HSQC experiments represents an excellent routine tool for NMR enantiodifferentiation studies, yielding simultaneous 1H and 13C enantiodifferentiated data in short times and with high digital resolution and signal dispersion for both 1H and 13C nuclei. Its use increases significantly the probability to detect an enantiodifferentiated nucleus since more signals are observed (1H and 13C nuclei), overlapping problems of common 1D 1H experiments are overcome, and poor enantiodifferentiation in 1D experiments can now be detected, allowing the study of cases abandoned in the past for reasons of poor enantiorecognition and/or long experimental times. Alternatively, aliased long-range heteronuclear correlation experiments can be used to measure accurately such ΔΔδ values for quaternary carbons. The method is compatible with other heteronuclear with the use of other chiral auxiliaries and it can be of special interest for chiral metabonomic studies, where chiral molecules in complex mixtures are enantiodifferentiated and small chemical shifts need to be resolved in overcrowded spectra.

Financial support for this research provided by MINECO [Project CTQ2012-32461] is gratefully acknowledged. We also thank the Servei de Resonància Magnètica Nuclear, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, for allocating laboratory time to this project.
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Figure S1: $^1$H NMR spectrum of racemic compound 1 without and with $R$-PA.

Figure S2: 1D conventional and pure shift $^1$H spectrum of compound 1 and $R$-PA.

Figure S3: Heterodecoupled $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of racemic compound 1 and $R$-PA with expanded multiplets to show individual signal splittings due to the enantiodifferentiation.

Figure S4: Experimental line widths and relative sensitivities obtained in conventional HSQC, pure shift HSQC (psHSQC) and pure shift sensitivity-improved HSQC (psHSQCsi) experiments.

Figure S5: Schematic representation of $\Delta\Delta\delta$(CH).

Figure S6. 2D HSQC and psHSQCsi spectra of racemic compound 1 and $R$-PA.

Figure S7: Expanded area comparing multiplet patterns in SA-HSQC and SAPS-HSQC.

Figure S8: Comparison between the conventional coupled $^{13}$C-NMR and SAPS-HSQC experiment in terms of resolution. Evaluation of the effect in $^{13}$C signals when different window functions are applied in the post-processing.

Figure S9: (top) Aliased 2D HSQMBC spectrum of racemic compound 1 and $R$-PA. (bottom) selected 2D cross-peaks corresponding to quaternary carbons.

Figure S10: Experimental $^{13}$C chemical shifts in aliased and conventional HSQC spectra.

Table S1: $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR chemical shift differences ($(\Delta\Delta\delta(^1\text{H})$ and $\Delta\Delta\delta(^{13}\text{C})$ in Hz) of racemic compound 1 (2 mM) enantiodifferentiated with $R$-PA (9.6 equiv.) measured from different NMR experiments at 600MHz.
Experimental Section

NMR experiments were performed on a Bruker Avance 600 spectrometer (Bruker AG, Rheinstetten, Germany) equipped with TXI HCN z-grad probes. The temperature for all measurements was set to 298 K and data were acquired and processed with TOPSPIN 3.1 (Bruker AG, Rheinstetten, Germany).

All spectra were recorded on a 600 µL fresh solution stock of racemic 3-ethyl-3-(3-hydroxyphenyl)-1-methylazepan-2-one (compound 1, 29 mM) in CDCl₃, containing 9.6 equiv. (46.2 mg) of R-Pirkle alcohol (PA). It is referred to as compound 1 throughout the manuscript and this SI.

Slice selection in the 1D Zangger-Sterk (ZS) experiment (Fig. 1B) was performed using a selective 180° R-Snob pulse of 60 ms applied simultaneously to a weak rectangular gradient of 2%. Data was acquired in a pseudo 2D mode using 4 scans for each one of the 16 t₁ increments and a recycle delay of 1s. The FID reconstruction was performed with the AU program pshift (available at http://nmr.chemistry-manchester.ac.uk), followed by conventional Fourier transformation. The total experimental time was of 9 minutes.

The 2D ¹H-¹³C pure shift HSQC spectrum (pulse scheme of Fig. 2A) was recorded as described in ref. 6. Pulse phases are x unless indicated otherwise and a basic two-step phase cycling scheme is applied: \( \Phi_1 = x\pi, \Phi_2 = x\pi \). ¹³C 180° pulses are applied as CHIRP inversion and refocusing pulses of 500 µs and 2000 µs of duration, respectively. The recycle delay was 3 s and the interpulse delays in the INEPT and BIRD modules were optimized for 140 Hz (\( \Delta = 3.57 \) ms). 2 scans were accumulated for each one of the 256 t₁ increments (512 experiments defined applying 50% non-uniform sparse sampling), the spectral windows in F₁ and F₂ dimensions were 377 Hz (2.5 ppm) and 4200 Hz, respectively, the number of data points in t₂ was set to 2048 and the acquisition time (AQ) was 0.24 s giving a FID resolution of 1.47 and 4.10 Hz, respectively. The total experimental time was of 30 min. Homodecoupling during acquisition was achieved applying 130 loops (n) with \( \tau = 7.7 \) ms. Broadband heteronuclear decoupling was applied during the \( \tau \) periods using 1.5 ms chirped pulses combined in a p5m4 supercycle scheme. The ratio between the G1:G2 gradients were 40:20.1, measured as percentage of the absolute gradient strength of 53.5 G/cm. Data were acquired and processed using the echo/anti-echo protocol. Sine bell shaped gradients of 1 ms duration were used, followed by a recovery delay of 20 µs.
(δ=1.02 ms). Prior to Fourier-transformation of each data, zero filling to 1024 in F1, 8192 points in F2, linear prediction in F1 and a π/2-shifted sine squared window function in both dimensions were applied. The final digital resolution was of 0.51 and 0.36 Hz in F2 and F1 dimensions, respectively.

To determine ΔΔδ on quaternary carbons, a conventional non-refocused gradient-enhanced HSQMBC experiment optimized to 8 Hz was collected with the same acquisition and processing parameters described for the HSQC experiments. 16 scans were acquired per t1 increment giving a total experimental time of 4 hours. Conventional 2D HSQC experiments were recorded under the same conditions as described previously for the pure shift analogues. HSQC and HSQMBC experiments were also recorded with 13C spectral windows of 5 ppm (Fig. S6 and S9-10).
Figure S1: A) $^1$H NMR spectrum of racemic compound (I) in CDCl$_3$; B) Resulting $^1$H NMR spectrum after adding 9.6 equivalents of Pirkle Alcohol ($R$-PA).
Figure S2: A) 1D conventional and B) pure shift $^1$H spectrum of racemic compound 1 and R-PA. The structure of the R-1 enantiomer is shown for stereoassignment purposes. See Fig. 1C and 1D for selected expansions and experimental $\Delta \Delta \delta(^1H)$ values.
Figure S3: (Bottom) 150.9 MHz Broadband heterodecoupled $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of racemic compound 1 and R-PA; (top) expanded multiplets to show individual signal splitting (in Hz and ppb) due to the enantiodifferentiation.
Figure S4: (A) Experimental line widths and B) relative sensitivities obtained in conventional HSQC, pure shift HSQC (psHSQC) and pure shift sensitivity-improved HSQC (psHSQCsi) experiments. 1D traces correspond to the upfield H12/C12 carbon frequency.
Figure S5: Schematic representation of the new parameter $\Delta \Delta \delta(\text{CH})$ that defines the separation between two cross-peaks from the individual $\Delta \Delta \delta(^1\text{H})$ and $\Delta \Delta \delta(^{13}\text{C})$ separations along each dimension of a 2D map.
Figure S6: (Top) Expanded area corresponding to the 0.4-3.2 ppm region of the 2D psHSQCsi spectrum of 1 acquired with SW($^{13}$C)=5 ppm; (medium) Expanded cross-peaks show the distinction between enantiomeric signals in 1D $^1$H, conventional HSQC and psHSQCsi spectra; (bottom) experimental values extracted from the conventional $^1$H spectrum ($\Delta\Delta\delta(^1H)$), 1D $^{13}$C spectrum ($\Delta\Delta\delta(^{13}C)$) and calculated ($\Delta\Delta\delta(CH)$) values calculated from the splitting measured in the 2D spectrum.
Figure S7: Expanded area corresponding to the C15/H15 cross-peak in (top) SA-HSQC and B) SAPS-HSQC spectra. The H15 signal consists of two overlapped triplets where it is difficult to extract the exact $^1$H chemical shift in both $^1$H and conventional HSQC spectra. Note the superior features of the SAPS approach to perform: i) automatic peak picking, ii) accurate and simultaneous determination of $^1$H and $^{13}$C chemical shift differences, and iii) an improved quantification by peak volume integration of each individual singlet signal.
Figure S8: Example showing how the good dispersion along the detected $^1$H dimensions allows the differentiation of small chemical shift differences along the indirect $^{13}$C dimension, even smaller than the line width observed in the conventional $^{13}$C spectrum. A-C) show some not resolved $^{13}$C signals obtained in the conventional $^{13}$C spectrum of 2mM racemic compound 1 complexed with R-PA. Data were acquired with 32K data points and an spectral width of 36057 Hz and further processed with a zero filling up to 64K giving a digital resolution of 0.6 Hz: A) processed with an exponential multiplication with a line broadening of 1 Hz; B) processed without any window function; C) processed with a Gaussian function with LB=-2 Hz and GB=0.5. The line widths at the half of well resolved signals in spectra B was about 1.7 Hz. D) Expansions of the corresponding cross-peaks obtained from the SAPS-HSQC spectra.
Figure S9: (top) Aliased 2D HSQMBC spectrum of 1, acquired with a $^{13}$C spectral width of 5.0 ppm. (bottom) Some selected 2D cross-peaks corresponding to quaternary carbons where $\Delta \Delta \delta(^{13}C)$ values ranging from 12.7 to 0.5 Hz (84.1 to 3.3 ppb, respectively) can be extracted from the F1 dimension.
Figure S10: Chemical shifts in aliased and conventional 2D psHSQCs spectra. Experimental parameters in the indirect dimension: carrier frequency= 38.0 ppm and $^{13}$C spectral width= 5 ppm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Aliased $\delta^{(13}C)$</th>
<th>HSQC $\delta^{(13}C$</th>
<th>K factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C6</td>
<td>36.08</td>
<td>26.08</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4</td>
<td>36.67/36.75</td>
<td>31.67/31.75</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C16</td>
<td>37.60</td>
<td>37.60</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C14</td>
<td>38.08/38.11</td>
<td>38.08/38.11</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C15</td>
<td>39.06</td>
<td>24.06</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7</td>
<td>39.64/39.65</td>
<td>49.64/49.65</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S1: $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR chemical shift differences ($\Delta\Delta\delta(^1\text{H})$ and $\Delta\Delta\delta(^{13}\text{C})$ in Hz) of racemic compound 1 (2 mM) enantiodifferentiated with R-PA (9.6 equiv.) measured from different NMR experiments at 600MHz.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>$\Delta\Delta\delta(^1\text{H})$ [in Hz]</th>
<th>$\Delta\Delta\delta(^{13}\text{C})$ [in Hz]</th>
<th>$\Delta\Delta\delta$ (CH) [in Hz]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1D $^1$H</td>
<td>1D ZS-$^1$H</td>
<td>Pure shift</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4a/4b</td>
<td>x$^a$/x$^a$ x$^a$/x$^a$ x$^a$/x$^a$&lt;2/ x$^a$</td>
<td>x$^a$/x$^a$&lt;2/9.4&lt;2/10.0</td>
<td>12.0/28.22.5/27.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5a/5b</td>
<td>x$^a$/x$^a$&lt;2/ x$^a$</td>
<td>x$^a$/x$^a$&lt;2/9.4&lt;2/10.0</td>
<td>1.5/12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6a/6b</td>
<td>x$^a$/x$^a$&lt;2/9.4&lt;2/10.0</td>
<td>x$^a$/x$^a$&lt;2/9.4&lt;2/10.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7a/7b</td>
<td>x$^a$/x$^a$3.5/&lt;2</td>
<td>x$^a$/x$^a$3.5/&lt;2</td>
<td>2.8/0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>18.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>x$^a$</td>
<td>x$^a$</td>
<td>19.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14a/14b</td>
<td>x$^a$/x$^a$11.5/ x$^a$</td>
<td>x$^a$/x$^a$11.5/ x$^a$</td>
<td>11.3/5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Not determined

$^b$ Only relevant data on quaternary carbons is shown

$^c$ Digital resolution of ±0.3 and ±0.4 Hz for $^1$H and $^{13}$C respectively.
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Introduction

As it was mention in the Introduction (see section 1.2.2) the HSQMBC experiment allows obtain correlations between protons and both protonated and non-protonated carbon atoms separated by more than one bond. The main drawback of the conventional HSQMBC experiment is that cross-peaks show important AP contributions due to the $J_{HH}$ evolution during the long INEPT periods (60-70 ms). A series of modified HSQMBC-like experiments have been proposed to obtain IP multiplets which allow measure the $nJ_{CH}$ in a more straightforward way (see section 1.2.2.2). It has been show that pure-phase cross-peaks can be obtain from selHSQMBC experiments using region-selective 180° $^1H$ pulses at the middle of the INEPT periods. The excellent IP multiplet structure with respect to $J_{HH}$ allows the quantitative and accurate measurement of $nJ_{CH}$ from non-distorted pure-phase multiplets along the detected dimension. Importantly, to carry out the measure of the $nJ_{CH}$ without additional post-processing fitting or IPAP procedures, high digital resolution levels in the detected dimension is mandatory.

On the other hand, the pure shift methodology greatly improves the spectral resolution in the proton dimension removing the typical $J_{HH}$ multiplet pattern. The incorporation of broadband homodecoupling in experiments detecting AP $^1H$ magnetization components, like the regular HSQMBC experiments, fails because multiplet structures should be partially or fully cancelled. However, the excellent IP nature demonstrated for the selHSQMBC experiment allows that homonuclear and/or heteronuclear decoupling can be implemented along the detected dimension using the HOBS technique (see Publication 2), obtaining simplified cross-peaks without their characteristic multiplet $J_{HH}$ patterns.

In this article a new method to obtain $^1H$-homodecoupled long-range $^1H$-$^{13}C$ correlations from a selected area of a 2D spectrum has been developed. The new HOBS-HSQMBC experiment shows higher resolution and sensitivity than the original selHSQMBC version and represents a completely new way to measure $nJ_{CH}$. In the F2-heterocoupled HOBS-HSQMBC version all cross-peaks appear homodecoupled from other protons resonating outside of the selected area, only displaying an IP doublet corresponding to the active $nJ_{CH}$ splitting. The semi-automated extraction of $nJ_{CH}$ can be made by direct analysis of cross-peaks if the multiplet is resolved enough. In cases of poor resolved multiplets or when the accuracy of the measurements may be doubtful, the application of the IPAP methodology can offer a better solution. The HOBS-HSQMBC method is fully compatible with simultaneous heteronuclear decoupling, leading to complete pure shift NMR spectra with enhanced resolution and maximum sensitivity.
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ABSTRACT

An NMR method to enhance the sensitivity and resolution in band-selective long-range heteronuclear correlation spectra is proposed. The excellent in-phase nature of the self-HS-QMB experiment allows that homonuclear and/or heteronuclear decoupling can be achieved in the detected dimension of a 2D multiplet correlation map, obtaining simplified cross-peaks without their characteristic fine multiplet structure. The experimental result is a resolution improvement while the highest sensitivity is also achieved. Specifically, it is shown that the H-homodecoupled band-selective (HOBES) HSQ-MBC experiment represents a new way to measure heteronuclear coupling constants from the simplified in-phase doublets generated along the detected dimension.

© 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Long-range heteronuclear correlation experiments are key NMR tools for the structural characterization of small molecules and natural products in solution. The widely used HMBC/HSQ-MBC pulse schemes have been modified in several ways in order to improve their success application on a wide range of issues [1-2]. For instance, band-selective excitation in the indirect carbon dimension allows us very reduced spectral widths, and therefore the resolution/displacement between 13C signals can be strongly increased [3-7]. On the other hand, different attempts have been made to remove the undesired effects due to the evolution of J(HH) that generate cross-peaks with complex phase multiplets. This is particularly severe in HMBC experiments because J(HH) evolves during all the evolution periods, yielding an additional characteristic skew of the cross-peaks that can compromise peak analysis. Constant-time versions of the HMBC experiment have been proposed to remove such incoherence and their combination with band-selective excitation affords a better defined spectra [8-9]. It has been shown that pure-phase cross-peaks can be obtained from HSQ-MBC experiments using region-selective 180°-1H pulses at the middle of the INEPT periods [10-11]. The excellent in-phase (IP) multiplet structure with respect to J(HH) allows the quantitative and accurate measurement of J(CH) from non-distorted pure-phase multiplets along the detected dimension. Furthermore, the easy implementation of the IPAP methodology affords a powerful way to extract them, even when J coupling values are smaller than the linewidth, by analyzing the relative displacement between separate x/J multiplet components [10].

On the other hand, the concept of pure-shift NMR has been introduced in multidimensional NMR experiments as a method to simplify the J(HH) multiplet structure of protons resonances [12-21]. Most of these experiments rely in spatial encoding selection and their reliable applicability strongly depends on the experimental sensitivity. For this reason, pure-shift experiments have been mainly reported for homonuclear applications because its implementation into heteronuclear inverse-detected experiments suffers of important sensitivity success. Using a different concept, a tilted pseudo-3D HMBC experiment has been proposed to achieve H-homodecoupling along the detected dimension by incorporating a J-resolved dimension into the HMBC pulse scheme [22].

Recently, a new detection scheme to obtain HD-modecoupled Band-Selection (HOBES) in the detected dimension of multidimensional NMR experiments has been reported [23]. It has been successfully implemented in homonuclear (TOCSY) and heteronuclear (HSQC) experiments involving in-phase HH magnetization. However, the incorporation of this technique in experiments involving anti-phase HH magnetization, like the regular COSY, HMBC or HSQ-MBC experiments, fail because the evolution of J(HH) generates anti-phase components that would cancel under homodecoupling conditions. Here we show how the HOBES methodology can be implemented in the pure-phase self-HSQ-MBC experiment in order to obtain pure-shift heteronuclear correlation spectra that offer a considerable enhancement in both resolution.
and sensitivity. In addition, the method is also fully compatible with band-selective excitation in the indirect dimension and with broadband heteronuclear decoupling during detection to obtain high-resolved pure-shift region-selective H$^\text{13}$C MQMAS spectra. It will be shown that the method is also amenable to measure small heteronuclear coupling constants from the pure-phase doublet cross-peaks originated along the detected dimension and also fully compatible with the IF$^\text{AP}$ methodology described early [24]. All these features will be illustrated using the cyclic undecapeptide cyclosporine as test sample.

2. Results and discussion

Fig. 1 displays two basic pulse schemes of the so-called H$^\text{13}$C MQMAS technique (10) that incorporates band-selective homodecoupling during the acquisition period using the HOB$^\text{B}$ technique. Fig. 1A is a conventional experiment offering broadband $^{13}$C excitation in the indirect dimension, whereas Fig. 1B is a $^{13}$C band-selective version where the original G1-180°($^{13}$C)-5 period during the $\tau_1$ period has been replaced by a G1-0.5-180°($^{13}$C exc)$\text{-G1}-(-0.5)$ block. As in the original experiment, the region-selective 180°$^\text{1}$H pulses applied on non-mutually coupled protons during the long INEPT delays avoid any J($\text{H}$H) evolution. Just prior to acquisition, signals present pure IP properties with respect to J($\text{H}$H) coupling constants that are amenable for the application of the HOB$^\text{B}$ detection scheme to collapse the multiplet J structure. The HOB$^\text{B}$ scheme consists of $n$ concatenated loops that includes a pair of hard/region-selective 180°$^\text{1}$H pulses (each one flanked by the G3 and G4 gradients) applied at intervals of 2.5T $\text{J}(\text{H}$H) as shown in the box of Fig. 1. The selective 180°$^\text{1}$H pulses applied in the INEPT and during detection have the same shape and duration, minimizing the requirements for additional experimental setup. Thus, all protons selected by the region-selective 180°$^\text{1}$H pulse appear homodecoupled from all other protons that do not experience this pulse, and the result is a band-selective homodecoupled observation of a specific region of the $^\text{1}$H spectrum. The method is also fully compatible with optional broadband heteronuclear $^{13}$C decoupling which is applied only during the FID acquisition periods ($\zeta$), as shown in Fig. 1. We refer to this technique as a HOB$^\text{B}$ (Broadband-Heterodecoupled and Homodecoupled Band-Selective) and it affords fully homo- and heteronuclear decoupled spectra consisting only of singlet cross-peaks.

Fig. 2A shows the refocused IP version of the conventionally detected solid-state MQMAS spectrum of cyclosporine after applying a 5 ms REDRAP 180°$^\text{1}$H pulse as a hard-selective 180°$^\text{1}$H pulse on its H$_2$ proton region [10-11]. Clearly, all expected long-range correlations are observed showing perfect IP multiplets with respect to both J($\text{H}$H) and J($\text{H}$C) coupling constants along the detected dimension. Fig. 2B shows the analog HOB$^\text{B}$-HMQMAS spectrum acquired using the scheme of Fig. 1A but without heteronuclear decoupling. We

![Fig. 1](image)

**Fig. 1.** Experimental pulse schemes for the (A) $^{13}$C-broadband and (B) $^{13}$C region-selective HOB$^\text{B}$-HMQMAS experiment. Thin and thick bars represent broadband/90° and 180° pulses, respectively, whereas shaped pulses are region-selective 180° pulses. The selective 180°$^\text{1}$H pulse applied at the middle of INEPT periods and during detection have the same shape and duration ($\tau_1$) and we found that REDRAP pulses in the order of 3-10 ms provide the best result as a function of the required selectivity. The INEPT delays are set to $\kappa = \delta = \zeta = \phi = n\times t_{\text{RED}}$ (1/2 $\text{J}_{\text{H}}$). The basic phase cycling is $\delta = -\delta$ and $\phi = (\text{receiver}) + \delta$. All other unshaded pulses are from the $\nabla$ axis. Homonuclear decoupling during the acquisition time ($\Omega$) is performed using a rephasing block including a pair of hard-selective 180°$^\text{1}$H pulses applied at intervals of 2.5T $\text{J}(\text{H}$H), where $\nabla$ is the number of loops. Optional heteronuclear decoupling (CPD) during data collection can also be applied as shown in the scheme. For the measurement of proton-carbon coupling constants, the IF$^\text{AP}$ methodology can be applied: two different IF and AP data are recorded without heteronuclear decoupling as a function of the last 90° and 180° $^{13}$C pulses (IF: $\theta = 0$; AP: $\theta = \pi$), and then they are added/subtracted to afford separate 2J(H,C) subgraphs. More details in the experimental section.
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Fig. 2. Practical effects of broadband homodecoupling in the selfSQMBC experiment: (A) Conventional and (B) HOIRS-HSQMBC spectra of cyclosporine acquired under the same experimental time of 20 min. A selected 1D slice is plotted for each spectrum at the same absolute scale to compare the relative sensitivity and resolution achieved in the 2D spectra. The standard 1D spectrum is shown on top of the 2D plots.

can observe how the J(HH) multiplet structures of all H3 resonances along the detected dimension are collapsed because of the effective homodecoupling of H2-NH and H2=H2 coupling constants. A more detailed analysis of a 1D row reveals the enhanced resolution and improved sensitivity achieved with the simple implementation of the HOIRS technique.

Fig. 3 shows the improved spectral resolution achieved after combining the hand-selective 13C excitation of the carboxyl region in conjunction with the HOIRS detection scheme, with simultaneous application of homo- and heteronuclear decoupling during data acquisition (see pulse scheme of Fig. 1B): non-decoupled (Fig. 3A), with 13C-decoupling (HBO5, Fig. 3B), with H-decoupling (HOIRS, Fig. 3C) and with simultaneous 13C and 1H-decoupling (H2- HBO5, Fig. 3D) HSQMBC spectra. The analysis of 1D rows confirms the enhanced resolution and the improved sensitivity by gradual J multiplet simplification, without affecting spectral quality (Fig. 4). The individual analysis of the SNR for each of the observed 19 cross-peaks affords an average enhancement by factors of 1.2 (with heteronuclear decoupling), 1.6 (with homonuclear decoupling) and 2.4 (with both homo- and heteronuclear decoupling) when compared with fully coupled data (normalized average factor of 1).

Of particular interest is the HOIRS-HSQMBC spectrum (Fig. 3C) because all cross-peaks are present as pure IP doublets along the F2 dimension. This represents a completely new way to measure coupling constants, because all cross-peaks appear homodecoupled from other protons resonating outside of the selected area, and therefore they only display the active 3JCH splitting (Fig. 5).

The direct extraction of these couplings can be made by direct analysis of cross-peaks if the multiplet is resolved enough. Because we are dealing with band-selective experiments, the spectral width in the direct dimension can be set to a reduced value and therefore, it is relatively easy to achieve high levels of spectral resolution. It can be shown that direct CH correlations are also observed because any low-pass J filtering method is applied, and therefore the magnitude of one-bond proton-carbon coupling constants, 3J(CH), can be determined from the well separated singlet satellite lines. Until now, these scalar 3J(CH) and residual dipolar 1D(CH) coupling constants have been measured from F1- or F2-coupled HSQC experiments [25–28] but the advent of new pulse shift NMR methods will offer a new way to perform this [29–32].

In cases of poor resolved multiplets or when the accuracy of the measurements may be doubtful, the IPAP methodology can offer a better solution. The technique is based in the acquisition of separate IP and AP data as a function of the application of the last 90° and 180° 13C pulses in schemes of Fig. 1 (labeled with c) followed by time domain IP + AP data addition/subtraction. In this way, each individual x and y component of the doublet is obtained in two separate subspectra, rendering the measurement easier by simple determination of their relative mutual shift (Fig. 6). Table 1 shows a perfect agreement between the 3J(CH) values measured directly from the proposed HOIRS and HOIRS-IPAP methods with those ex-
3. Conclusions

To conclude, a new method to obtain $^1$H-homodecoupled long-range $^1$H-$^1$C correlations from a selected area of a 2D spectrum has been developed. The method is fully compatible with simultaneous heteronuclear decoupling, leading to pure-shift NMR spectra, with enhanced resolution and maximum sensitivity. HOBs experiments have the restriction that full broadband homodecoupling can only be accomplished in regions containing non-mutually coupled protons. As shown for cyclosporine, peptides are excellent targets for their success because NH, CH, and other alliphatic protons resonate in characteristic regions of the $^1$H spectrum and there is usually no J interference between them. Alternatives to obtain HOBs spectra for the complete $^1$H spectral range could be feasible by applying spatial-encoded techniques, as reported for broadband Zinger-Sterk (25) techniques [14-17], but this would be related to significant reductions in sensitivity.

In addition, we have focused on the success measurement of long-range heteronuclear coupling constants from the resulting in-phase doublet signals along the high-resolved direct dimension. Implementation of the HOBs and related techniques to other experiments is under development and the application to determine coupling constants from ultra simplified multiplets will be further evaluated.

4. Experimental

NMR experiments were performed on a Bruker Avance 500 spectrometer (Bruker Biospin, Rheinstetten, Germany) equipped with T2I HCN z-grad probes. The temperature for all measurements was set to 298 K. All spectra were recorded on a 25 mM sample of cyclosporine in CD$_3$OD and processed with TOPSPIN 2.1 (Bruker Biospin, Rheinstetten, Germany).

The conventional 2D $^1$H-$^1$C region-selective HSQMB spectrum of Fig. 2A was recorded using the pulse scheme of Fig. 1A with a normal detection period [16]. The recycle delay was 1 s.
the region-selective 180° 1H pulse was a REBUMP shape of 5 ms of duration (F1=50), and the interpulse INEPT delays \( (\kappa = \lambda + \beta_{10} = 1/2 + \tau_{20}) \) were optimized for 8 Hz. 4 scans were accumulated for each one of the 128 \( t_1 \) increments, the spectral windows in F1 and F2 dimensions were 30,180 Hz and 1800 Hz, respectively, the number of data points in \( t_1 \) was set to 4096 and the acquisition time (AQ) was 1,13 s. The total experimental time was of 20 min. The ratio between the 6T-G2-G3-G4-G5-G6 gradients were 80:20:1:41:63:11:17, measured as percentage of the absolute gradient strength of 53.5 G/cm. Data were acquired and
Table 1
Proton-carbon coupling constant values (in Hz) in cyclosporine measured from the (A) in-phase HOBS-setsHZMBC, (B) IPAP HOBS-setsHZMBC, and (C) IPAP setsHZMBC-TOCSY [21] experiments. Only the small two- and three-bond proton-carbon coupling constants marked in grey have been represented in the upper graph; the experimental error was of ±0.4 Hz.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(C-18)</th>
<th>IP HOBS-setsHZMBC (in Hz)</th>
<th>αβ HOBS-setsHZMBC (in Hz)</th>
<th>αβ setHZMBC-TOCSY (in Hz)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J[C-7]=H2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J[C-7]=H7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J[C-9]=H11 (αβ)</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J[C-11]=H5 (αβ)</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J[C-11]=H7</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J[C-11]=H11</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J[C-10]=H5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J[C-10]=H9</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J[C-10]=H11</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J[C-10]=H44</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J[C-10]=H45</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J[C-10]=H55</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J[C-10]=H1</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J[C-10]=H11</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J[C-9]=H10</td>
<td>130.0</td>
<td>130.2</td>
<td>130.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J[C-9]=H11</td>
<td>139.2</td>
<td>135.1</td>
<td>135.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J[C-9]=H7</td>
<td>138.8</td>
<td>138.1</td>
<td>138.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J[C-9]=H11</td>
<td>136.6</td>
<td>136.6</td>
<td>135.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J[C-9]=H11</td>
<td>135.8</td>
<td>135.6</td>
<td>135.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J[C-10]=H1</td>
<td>140.4</td>
<td>140.4</td>
<td>140.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J[C-10]=H11</td>
<td>135.5</td>
<td>135.6</td>
<td>135.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J[C-10]=H1</td>
<td>141.1</td>
<td>141.0</td>
<td>140.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

processed using the echo/anti-echo protocol. Sine bell shaped gradients of 1 ms duration were used, followed by a recovery delay of 20 μs. Prior to Fourier transformation of each data, zero filling to 1024 in F1, 8192 points in F2 and a sine squared window function in both dimensions were applied. The analog 2D 1H-13C HOBS-setsHZMBC spectrum of Fig. 2B was recorded as described for Fig. 2A using the detection period represented in Fig. 1A, with 20 loops (n), δ = 28.25 ms and with the same selective pulse applied in the INEPT period.

All four spectra of Fig. 3 were recorded using the pulse sequence of Fig. 1B using only 64 t1 increments, 4096 data points in t2, a 2.5 ms REBURP pulse as a region-selected 13C pulse applied at
the CO region (172 ppm) to excite the carbonyl carbons and reducing the spectral width in the indirect F1 dimension to 1800 Hz. 2 scans were collected for each t1 increment and the overall experimental time for each 2D spectrum was about 5 min. In (A) a conventional detection period was used in (B) and (D) broadband heteronuclear decoupling was achieved using a 4 kHz GARP scheme applied on-resonance to the carbonyl region, in (C) and (D) 1H homodecoupling (HOB) was achieved using the detection period represented in Fig. 1A with 20 loops (s) and t1 = 28.25 ms and with an acquisition time of 1.13 s. The spectrum of Fig. 5 was recorded as Fig. 3C but using 128 t1 increments (experimental time of 10 min). The IPAP 2D subspectra of Fig. 6 were generated from the corresponding IP and AP-HSQMB experiments separately acquired in the same conditions as described for Fig. 5, and data were added/subtracted in the time-domain without any scaling factor to provide spin-state selective data.
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Disentangling complex mixture of compounds with near-identical $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR spectra using pure shift NMR spectroscopy

Introduction

As illustrated in **Publication 5**, the incorporation of broadband $^1$H homodecoupling in the acquisition F2 dimension is fully compatible with other resolution-enhanced NMR techniques, such as spectral aliasing along the indirect F1 dimension, opening the door to the design of ultra-high-resolved 2D NMR experiments in reasonable acquisition times. As it was previously shown, a common feature of spectral aliasing is its general and very easy implementation, improving the attainable resolution along the F1 dimension up to two orders of magnitude by a simple change of the $^{13}$C spectral width in HSQC experiments.

In the last years, **Non-Uniform Sampling (NUS)** has emerged as a very powerful tool to significantly speed up the acquisition of multidimensional NMR experiments due to the fact that only a subset of the usual linearly sampled data in the Nyquist grid is measured. For small molecules, NUS can facilitate significant reductions (~50%) in the time needed to collect 2D HSQC spectra, or otherwise offering gains in spectral resolution along the indirect $^{13}$C dimension by recording less number of $t_1$ increments. Some of these algorithms are already implemented in modern NMR software packages, and NMR users can use them in a fully transparent and automatic way without any further modification of the standard pulse programs or general setup parameters. The quality of the resulting spectra depends crucially on the sampling schedules and the algorithms for data reconstruction. However, precaution should be taken for the presence of unwanted artifacts that can generate distorted or false cross-peaks.

In the present article, these two enhanced resolution approach (NUS and spectral aliasing) in combination with HOBS methodology (**Publication 2**) is reported for the development and application of ultra-high-resolved HSQC experiments to analyze highly complex mixtures of similar isomers exhibiting near-identical $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR spectra. The whole ensemble of enhancements applied enables the in-situ distinction and assignment of similar organic compounds exhibiting near-identical $^1$H and $^{13}$C chemical shift and $J$ coupling patterns in the same mixture. Very small $\Delta \delta (^1H)$ and $\Delta \delta (^{13}C)$ have been distinguished and precisely determined, even in the presence of highly overlapped signals or severe chemical shift degeneracy in conventional 1D $^1$H and $^{13}$C($^1$H) NMR spectra. Whereas $\Delta \delta (^1H)$ and $\Delta \delta (^{13}C)$ up to 3 and 17 ppb, respectively, can be established from the singlets obtained in 1D HOBS and $^{13}$C NMR spectra, the high signal dispersion achieved in spectral-aliased 2D HOBS-HSQC spectra allows an improved detection level to 1 and 5 ppb, respectively. This strategy combined with the use of HOBS versions into the HSQC-TOCSY and HSQMBC experiments has enabled the unambiguous assignment of $^1$H and $^{13}$C
chemical shifts for all peaks of different components of a complex mixture of isomers. The proposed strategy will prove to be very useful to facilitate the analysis of highly complex spectra, as found in many daily situations that exhibit high degeneracy of chemical shifts or severe signal overlap, such as the analysis of crude reactions, detection and characterization of intermediates, or reaction monitoring.
Results and Discussion

NMR Spectroscopy

Disentangling Complex Mixtures of Compounds with Near-Identical $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR Spectra using Pure Shift NMR Spectroscopy

Laura Castañer,[a] Raquel Roldán,[b] Pere Clapés,[b] Albert Virgili,[a] and Teodor Parella[a]

Abstract: The thorough analysis of highly complex NMR spectra using pure shift NMR experiments is described. The enhanced spectral resolution obtained from modern 2D HOBs experiments incorporating spectral aliasing in the $^{13}$C indirect dimension enables the distinction of similar compounds exhibiting near-identical $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR spectra. It is shown that a complete set of extremely small $\Delta\delta(\text{H})$ and $\Delta\delta(\text{C})$ values, even below the natural line width (1 and 5 ppm, respectively), can be simultaneously determined and assigned.

NMR spectroscopy is the most powerful analytical tool to characterize the structure and dynamics of organic molecules in solution. A high spectral resolution is mandatory for identifying individual resonances and to perform accurate measurements of chemical shifts or coupling constants. In past decades, NMR has demonstrated its tremendous capacity to analyze complex mixtures of compounds, where a large number of overlapping signals can be present. However, direct NMR analysis is often limited by the lack of appropriate signal dispersion due to small chemical-shift differences ($\Delta\delta$), the wide $J$ coupling patterns that expand the overall multiplet over a range of frequencies, and the natural linewidth ($\Delta\nu/2$) of each individual NMR signal. A successful characterization can be further complicated when trying to differentiate structural compounds exhibiting extremely small $\Delta\delta$ values and similar $J$-coupling patterns between analogous protons, due to the superposition of near-identical NMR spectra. This can be particularly difficult in $^1$H NMR spectroscopy, because protons resonate in a relatively narrow range of frequencies (for instance, around 6000 Hz in a 600 MHz spectrometer) whereas each individual multiplet pattern can have a width of some tens of Hz. In contrast, the signal dispersion achieved in standard broadband heteronuclear clear decoupled $^{13}$C NMR spectra is an illustrative example demonstrating how a simple and rapid spectral analysis can be performed when simplified singlet signals are available.

It is known that different molecules have different physical properties and therefore they show different NMR spectra. However, under some conditions, NMR spectra of two different molecules can become nearly identical with a high degree of apparent chemical shift degeneracy, even including the possibility that they are indistinguishable.[1] Several approaches have been reported for discerning compounds with very similar NMR spectra.[2,3] In this work we present a simple but very useful experimental NMR strategy that greatly facilitates the analysis of highly congested spectral regions. We will show how a mixture of compounds with near-identical $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR spectra can be distinguished using high-resolution NMR methods based on the combination of pure shift NMR[4-8] and spectral aliasing techniques.[11-18] The power of the proposed method is illustrated with the analysis of a challenging real sample from our lab, consisting of a mixture of several unknown compounds that were finally determined as three pairs of diastereomeric derivatives. These compounds were the result of a nonselective homooligod addition of acetaldehyde, followed by the ketalization of the aldehyde group of the homooligod adduct by its hydrate form (Scheme 1 and Scheme S1 in the Supporting information).[19-21]

![Scheme 1. Structures and numbering of the three pairs of diastereoisomers of compounds present in the mixture.](image)

We use a suite of modern pure shift NMR methods based on the homonuclear decoupling hard-selective (HOBs) technique[18-21] in order to obtain fully homodecoupled signals for a set of nonmutually $J$-coupled protons resonating in a selected region of the $^1$H spectrum. The choice of the HOBs over other existing pure shift techniques has been done for various reasons: (a) with the aim to maximize sensitivity and to save spectrometer time; (b) the basic set-up is reduced to a simple cali-
bination of a selective 180° 1H pulse according to a selected 1H NMR region; iii) HOBS allows a facile implementation into 2D experiments, as shown for a family of HOBS versions of standard 2D HSQC[11], HSQC-TOCSY, and HSQMBC[22] experiments for unambiguous assignment purposes. Additionally, it is shown here that using a reduced 13C spectral width of a few ppm, optionally combined with nonuniform sampling (NUS), can produce high-resolution 2D HOBS spectra in conventional acquisition times (Figure 1).

At first glance, the conventional analysis of the 1H (Figure 2A) and routine homo- and heteronuclear 2D spectra of the mixture does not provide any evidence of their high complexity, mainly due to the lack of sufficient digital and signal resolution. The presence of multiple components was confirmed from the standard 1D 13C(1H) spectrum, by virtue of its pure chemical shift nature, where all decoupled signals yield highly dispersive singlet lines that usually avoid accidental signal overlap. In our sample, most of the 1H signals appear split in a range of Δv(13C)=15 to 350 ppm (Figure S1 in the Supporting Information), although some peaks did not show observable splitting (Δv(13C)<150 ppm). In other cases, the presence of multiple peaks in a narrow range of frequencies limited the possibility to differentiate pairs of signals and therefore to determine Δv(13C).

Four set of signals could be clearly classified and assigned after examination of 1H, 13C, and HSQC datasets: A) CH signals resonating at δ 4.65–5.38 (carbons between δ 91–97); B) CH signals resonating at δ 3.75–4.16 (carbons between δ 65–73); C) a large number of highly overlapping diastereotopic CH2 signals resonating at δ 1.56–1.80 with an additional proton at δ 1.26 (carbons between δ 39–47); D) a large number of CH3 signals resonating at δ 1.14–1.22 ppm (carbons at δ 21–24). The existence of different CH–CH2–CH–CH3 subunits was confirmed by the COSY experiment (data not shown).

To get more insight into the analysis of the 1H spectrum, we collected two separate 1D HOBS spectra with full sensitivity in region A around δ 3.5–5.5 (Figure 2B) and region B at δ 1.0–1.8 (Figure 2C), respectively, using a 2.5 ms REBURP 180° 1H pulse. As a first goal, a quick view of the HOBS spectrum of region A (see Figure 2B and its expanded image in Figure 2D), acquired in just half a minute, shows an excellent simplification of all 1H multiplets to homodecoupled singlet signals with Δv(eq) about 1.5 Hz (Figure S10 in the Supporting Information). Most of the 1H signals appear doubled and the fast distinction of extremely small Δv(1H) values, ranging between 2 and 14 ppm, confirmed the presence of very similar species and the strong requirement for a third decimal place in the description of 1H and 13C NMR data of very similar compounds.[22] It can be observed that some signals are not differentiated (see, for instance, δ-1 in Figure 2D) and, in other cases, the presence of multiple and complex overlapping resonances (six different signals appear at δ 3.86–3.99) prevents the identification of pairs of diastereoisomer resonances and therefore the determination and assignment of Δv(1H).

The next step was to perform a complete 1H/13C chemical shift assignment by a spectral-alised HOBS-HSQC experiment incorporating NUS (a compromise of 50% of sample points was used; see Figure 3). Data were recorded in about 14 min with a reduced spectral width of 5 ppm (754 Hz at 600 MHz) in the 13C dimension. The expanded areas comparing standard versus pure shift 2D alised multiplets exemplifies the significant enhanced signal dispersion achieved in broadband homo-decoupled single-component cross-peaks, allowing the differ-
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Figure 3: 2D HOB5-HSQC spectrum of region A acquired with SW(13C) = 5 ppm. Expanded 2D cross-peaks corresponding to the (top) spectral-aliased HSQC and (bottom) spectral-aliased HOB5-HSQC spectra are shown for comparison. Experimental Δ\(\delta\)(H) and Δ\(\delta\)(13C) are expressed in Hz.

Orientation of signals that were not resolved in conventional 1D \(^1\)H, 1D HOB5 and \(^{13}\)C spectra. It is very important to note that, in addition to the unambiguous chemical shift assignment for all resonances, determinations of Δ\(\delta\)(H) and Δ\(\delta\)(13C) to minimum levels of 1 and 5 ppm, respectively, could be done. For instance, protons II-1 and I1-1 which cannot be distinguished in standard 1D HOB5 \(^1\)H spectra, are separated by 0.9 Hz (1 ppm) in the HOB5-HSQC spectrum by the small but sufficient signal dispersion of their directly attached carbon (2.7 Hz or 18 ppm). Moreover, all Δ\(\delta\)(H) and Δ\(\delta\)(13C) for the six overlapped I-8, II-8, and III-8 protons could be clearly distinguished and assigned. Finally, as a good example showing the power to analyze high-resolution 2D cross-peaks over conventional 1D \(^{13}\)C data, Δ\(\delta\)(13C) of II-3 was determined to be 5 ppm (0.8 Hz) thanks to its highly dispersed directly attached protons (26.3 Hz or 44 ppm). The excellent signal dispersion achieved between equivalent cross-peaks can allow their quantitative measurements by 2D volume integration. If needed, higher levels of resolution could be achieved using a more drastic reduction of SW(13C) up to 1–2 ppm (Figure S2 in the Supporting Information, SW = spectral width).

As a result of introducing spectral aliasing in HSQC experiments, \(^{13}\)C chemical shift information is initially lost. Each cross-peak will show an experimental chemical shift value (δ_exp) that is exactly a multiple of SW(13C) from its real position (δ). and it can be determined from the relationship \(δ = δ_{exp} ± (K\cdot SW(13C))\), where K is the aliasing factor. The true \(^{13}\)C chemical shift values can be deciphered by comparing data from a previously acquired reference 1D \(^{13}\)C or HSQC spectra, or from some reconstruction method. For instance, Figure S5 (in the Supporting Information) shows how K can be easily determined by comparing two different HSQC datasets recorded with F1 spectral widths of 5 and 4.9 ppm.

After the unequivocal assignment of each CH pair, a complete correlation between all \(^1\)H and \(^{13}\)C signals was necessary. Spectral aliasing has been previously reported for traditional HSQC, HSQC-TOCSY, and HMBC experiments, but pure shift experiments retaining the maximum sensitivity are only available for some versions of the HSQC and HSQC-TOCSY experiments. As another novelty of this work, a HOB5-HSQC-TOCSY experiment is proposed here to assign protons and carbons belonging to the same spin system, in this case, to correlate each one of the two different I-8 signals with the two different I-5 protons. Thus, to completely assign all spin systems, spectral-aliased HSQC-TOCSY (Figure 4A), HOB5-HSQC-TOCSY (Figure 4B and S4), and HOB5-HSQCMB (Figure S5 in the Supporting Information) experiments were collected with the same spectral resolution conditions as described for the analogous HOB5-HSQC experiment. The HOB5-HSQCMB spectrum was decisive to determine the long-range correlations through the oxygen atoms between protons 1 and 3/5 in diastereoisomers I and II.

A special mention is required for the analysis of the 20 different resonances of the diastereotopic CH protons appearing in region B at \(δ_{1H} = 1.6−1.8\) region (Figure 2A, C). The full simplification of this region was more complicated, because these signals cannot be converted to singlets with the HOB5 technique, with the remaining active \(\delta_{13C}\) observable because the pairs of methylene protons all lie within the same spectral region and hence are not affected by the selective 180° pulse that causes decoupling. Attempts to apply other pure shift methods including BIRD-based HSQC,\(^{19}\) or the recently proposed PSYCHE experiment,\(^{20}\) also failed (Figure S11 in the Supporting Information). However, the spectral-aliased HSQC-TOCSY experiment (Figure 4A and S12 in the Supporting Information) was the most useful tool to determine the CH assignments. A complete list of \(\delta(1H), \delta(13C), \Delta\delta(1H), \) and \(\Delta\delta(13C)\) for all compo-
significant degeneracy of chemical shifts or severe signal overlap is present. There is also potential to use the method with other applications, such as the analysis of crude reactions and detection of intermediates, reaction monitoring, or the analysis of complex mixtures.
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Experimental Section

Compounds were obtained by stirring an aqueous solution acetaldehyde (20 mL, 5 % v/v) in aqueous buffer trietanolamine (50 mM, pH 8.0) during 48 hours. Then the pH was adjusted to pH 5.6, the aqueous solvent was evaporated under vacuum and then purified by column chromatography on silica. The formation of the compounds Ia/Ib and IIa/IIb (Scheme S1) consists first in the dimerization of acetaldehyde to produce S1 via aldol addition with an ensuing formation of a ketal between the aldehyde group of S1 and the hydrate form of the dimer S2.

Scheme S1. Formation of Ia/Ib, IIa/IIb and IIIa/IIIb compounds from acetaldehyde.

The conformation and relative configurations of isomers Ia/Ib and IIa/IIb (see 3D views in tables S1-S2) have been determined using several NMR evidences:

- Key 1,3-diaxial NOEs were observed between H1 and H5 in derivatives Ia/Ib and between H1 and both H3/H5 in compounds IIa/IIb (see Figures S7 and S8)
The effect of the hydroxyl group on the C3 position in isomers I and II is clearly observed in $\delta$(H5) and $\delta$(H1) values.

The different axial/equatorial position of the H3 proton is evidenced from their $\delta$ values.

Due to the high level of multiplet overlapping of protons H3 and H5, the accurate measurement of the corresponding J(H3-H4) and J(H5-H4) was not an easy task. However, it is evident from the 1H spectrum large J(H3-H4ax) values for isomers IIa/IIb (H3 is a double-doublet with 2.5 and 9.7 Hz) and small for isomers Ia/Ib (H3 is a double-doublet with 1.3 and 3.5 Hz) which were confirmed by a J-resolved experiments.

All experiments were acquired on a Bruker AVANCE spectrometer (Bruker BioSpin, Rheinstetten, Germany) operating at 600.13 MHz proton frequency, equipped with a 5 mm triple resonance inverse probe and a z-axis pulsed field gradient accessory (maximum strength of 53.5 G/cm). The spectra were collected on sample containing a mixture of unknown compounds dissolved in CD$_3$OD at a temperature $T = 298$ K, and processed with the software TOPSPIN 3.1.

The non-selective $^1$H 180 pulses were of 8.6 $\mu$s duration. For all 1D and 2D HOBS experiments, a 180º band-selective REBURP shaped pulse of 2.5 ms was used for both excitation and homodecoupling. The strengths of the G1, G2 and G3 gradients were set to 12.3, 21.9 and 33.7 G/cm, respectively, with durations of 500 $\mu$s followed by a recovery delay of 20 $\mu$s.

1D HOBS spectra of Fig. 2B and 2C were recorded using the pulse sequence displayed in Fig. S7A, with four scans and 1 s of recycle delay. The spectral width was 7200 Hz, and 16K complex points were recorded during an acquisition time of 1.13 s. 40 loops (n) were concatenated with $\tau$=AQ/2n=7.2ms. The 1D time-domain data were directly transformed without any sensitivity or resolution enhancement.

The 2D $^1$H-$^1$C HOBS-HSQC spectrum (Fig. 4A) was acquired using the pulse sequence displayed in Fig. S6B, optimized to 140 Hz ($\Delta=1/(2^*J_{CH})$). Two scans of 2048 complex points were collected over an observed $^1$H spectral width of 3600 Hz for each of the 256 $t_1$
values. The acquisition time was of 0.284 ms. Data of Fig. 3 were acquired with SW\(^{(13C)}\)=5ppm (754 Hz) and transformed with a shifted sine window function along both the F1 and F2 dimensions and with a zero-filling to 8K in F2 and 1K in F1. Final resolution was 0.44 and 0.73 Hz/Pt in the F2 and F1 dimensions, respectively. The initial 90° band-selective pulses was a EBURP-2 shaped pulse of 1.75 ms. For homonuclear decoupling, 130 loops (n) were concatenated with \(\tau=\frac{AQ}{2n}=9\) ms. NUS was applied with a sampling density of 50%. The total experimental time was about 13 minutes.

The 2D \(^1H-^{13}C\) HOBS-HSQC-TOCSY spectrum was acquired using the pulse sequence displayed in Fig. S6C with a mixing time of 60ms. The number of scans was 8 per \(t_1\) increment and all other acquisition and processing parameters as described for the HSQC experiment. The 2D \(^1H-^{13}C\) HOBS-selHQMBC spectrum was acquired using the pulse sequence displayed in Fig. S6D, with 32 scans per \(t_1\) increment and optimized to \(1/(2\times J_{CH})=8\) Hz. All other parameters as described for the HSQC experiment. Standard HSQC, HSQC-TOCSY and selHSQMBC experiments were recorded with the same pulse schemes of Fig. S6 and the same conditions, but including a standard FID period as acquisition.
Table S1: $^1$H and $^{13}$C chemical shift values and $\Delta \delta(^1\text{H})$ and $\Delta \delta(^{13}\text{C})$ of the two distinguished diastereoisomers Ia/Ib.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ia $^1$H</th>
<th>Ia $^{13}$C</th>
<th>Ib $^1$H</th>
<th>Ib $^{13}$C</th>
<th>1D HOBS$^a$</th>
<th>1D $^{13}$C$^a$</th>
<th>HOBS-HSQC$^c$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.365</td>
<td>92.802</td>
<td>5.351</td>
<td>92.820</td>
<td>0.014 (8.2)</td>
<td>0.019 (2.2)</td>
<td>0.012 (7.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.278</td>
<td>91.629</td>
<td>5.287</td>
<td>91.611</td>
<td>0.009 (5.2)</td>
<td>0.018 (2.7)</td>
<td>0.008 (4.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.629-</td>
<td>39.024</td>
<td>1.629-</td>
<td>38.981</td>
<td>n.d</td>
<td>0.039 (5.9)</td>
<td>n.d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.126</td>
<td>68.851</td>
<td>4.123</td>
<td>68.937</td>
<td>0.003 (1.9)</td>
<td>0.086 (12.7)</td>
<td>0.003 (1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.665-</td>
<td>44.919</td>
<td>1.703-</td>
<td>44.919</td>
<td>n.d</td>
<td>n.d</td>
<td>n.d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.944</td>
<td>64.825</td>
<td>3.938</td>
<td>64.879</td>
<td>n.d</td>
<td>n.d</td>
<td>0.009 (5.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a) Resolution in HOBS and $^{13}$C after processing were 0.11 and 0.38 Hz/pt, respectively.
b) Resolution in the F2 and F1 dimension of the 2D HOBS-HSQC was 0.43 and 0.73 Hz/pt, respectively, after processing.
c) n.d=not determined; by signal overlap or lack of resolution
Table S2: $^1$H and $^{13}$C chemical shifts (in ppm) and $\Delta\delta(1^H)$ and $\Delta\delta(13^C)$ (in ppm and Hz) of the two distinguished diastereoisomers IIa/IIb.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>IIa $^1$H</th>
<th>IIa $^{13}$C</th>
<th>IIb $^1$H</th>
<th>IIb $^{13}$C</th>
<th>1D HOBS $^a$</th>
<th>1D $^{13}$C $^a$</th>
<th>HOBS-HSQC $^c$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.805</td>
<td>98.194</td>
<td>4.804</td>
<td>98.215</td>
<td>&lt;0.002 (&lt;2)</td>
<td>0.021 (2.6)</td>
<td>0.001 (0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.892</td>
<td>95.810</td>
<td>4.888</td>
<td>95.874</td>
<td>0.004 (2.7)</td>
<td>0.062 (9.1)</td>
<td>0.004 (2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.774-</td>
<td>41.466</td>
<td>1.774-</td>
<td>41.466</td>
<td>n.d</td>
<td>n.d</td>
<td>n.d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.267</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.267</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.781</td>
<td>72.616</td>
<td>3.783</td>
<td>72.528</td>
<td>0.002 (1.5)</td>
<td>0.092 (13.2)</td>
<td>0.002 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.745-</td>
<td>44.876</td>
<td>1.736-</td>
<td>44.829</td>
<td>n.d</td>
<td>n.d</td>
<td>n.d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.710</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.717</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.970</td>
<td>64.780</td>
<td>3.961</td>
<td>64.825</td>
<td>n.d</td>
<td>n.d</td>
<td>0.009 (5.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.173</td>
<td>23.776</td>
<td>1.172</td>
<td>23.742</td>
<td>n.d</td>
<td>n.d</td>
<td>n.d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.209</td>
<td>21.586</td>
<td>1.214</td>
<td>21.572</td>
<td>n.d</td>
<td>0.017 (2.7)</td>
<td>0.009 (5.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**a)** Resolution in HOBS and $^{13}$C after processing were 0.11 and 0.38 Hz/pt, respectively.
**b)** Resolution in the F2 and F1 dimension of the 2D HOBS-HSQC was 0.43 and 0.73 Hz/pt, respectively, after processing.
**c)** n.d=not determined; by signal overlap or lack of resolution.
Table S3: $^1$H and $^{13}$C chemical shift values and $\Delta\delta(^1\text{H})$ and $\Delta\delta(^{13}\text{C})$ of the two distinguished diastereoisomers IIIa/IIIb.

![Chemical Structure](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>IIIa $^1$H</th>
<th>IIIb $^1$H</th>
<th>1D HOBS$^a$</th>
<th>1D $^{13}$C$^b$</th>
<th>HOBS-HSQC$^c$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.680</td>
<td>4.667</td>
<td>0.013 (7.59)</td>
<td>0.216 (31.6)</td>
<td>0.012 (7.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.679</td>
<td>1.727</td>
<td>n.d</td>
<td>0.350 (51.6)</td>
<td>n.d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.894</td>
<td>3.938</td>
<td>n.d</td>
<td>n.d</td>
<td>0.044 (26.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.162</td>
<td>1.166</td>
<td>n.d</td>
<td>n.d</td>
<td>0.004 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a) Resolution in HOBS and $^{13}$C after processing were 0.11 and 0.38 Hz/pt, respectively.
b) Resolution in the F2 and F1 dimension of the 2D HOBS-HSQC was 0.43 and 0.73 Hz/pt, respectively, after processing.
c) n.d=not determined; by signal overlap or lack of resolution
Figure S1: 150.62 MHz 1D $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of the mixture. Expanded areas shows the chemical shift differences (in Hz and ppb) observed for analog carbons in the mixture of the diastereoisomers Ia/Ib, IIa/IIb and IIIa/IIIb.
Figure S2: (left) 2D HOBS-HSQC spectrum of the region I acquired with a SW($^{13}$C)=1 ppm. (right) Comparison of some expanded cross-peaks in HOBS-HSQC spectra acquired with SW($^{13}$C)=1 and 5 ppm, respectively.
Figure S3: Spectral-aliased HSQC spectra acquired with $SW(^{13}C)$ of A) 5 ppm and B) 4.9 ppm. The comparison of the observed chemical shift values allows determine the aliasing $K$ factor and the real chemical shift value according to the relationship $\delta(\text{obs}) = \delta(\text{real}) \pm K*SW(^{13}C)$. 

\[ \Delta \delta = 0 \quad K = 0 \\
\Delta \delta = 0.1 \quad K = 1 \\
\Delta \delta = 0.5 \quad K = 5 \\
\Delta \delta = 0.6 \quad K = 6 \]
Figure S4: Comparison of spectral-aliased HOBS-HSQC (left) vs HOBS-HSQC-TOCSY (right) spectra of region A acquired with SW($^{13}$C)=1 ppm. Some key correlations are marked for assignment of protons belonging to the same spin system. Experimental parameters as described for spectra in Figure 4.
Figure S5: Spectral-aliased 2D $^1$H-$^{13}$C HOBS-HSQMBC spectrum acquired with SW($^{13}$C)=5 ppm. Note the key long-range H3-C1, H1-C5 and H3-C5 correlations in the expansions.
Figure S6: NMR pulse sequences used in this work: A) 1D HOBS; B) 2D $^1$H-$^{13}$C HOBS-HSQC; C) 2D $^1$H-$^{13}$C HOBS-HSQC-TOCSY; D) 2D $^1$H-$^{13}$C HOBS-HSQMBC. Thin and thick bars represent broadband 90° and 180° pulses, respectively, whereas shaped pulses are region-selective 180° pulses. The basic phase cycling is $\Phi_1=x,-x$ and $\Phi_3=x,-x$; all other unlabeled pulses are from the $x$-axis. Homonuclear decoupling during the acquisition time (AQ) is performed using a refocusing blocks including a pair of hard/selective 180° $^1$H pulses applied at intervals of $2\pi=AQ/n$, where n is the number of loops. Heteronuclear decoupling (CPD) during data collection is applied as shown in the scheme. $\delta$ is the duration.
of gradients and the recovery delay. The selective 180° ¹H pulse applied at the middle of INEPT periods and during detection have the same shape and duration ($p_{180}$). The INEPT delays are set to $\Delta = 1/2^\ast J_{CH}$ in HSQC and HSQC-TOCSY experiment and to $\Delta + p_{180} = 1/2^\ast J_{CH}$ in selHSQMBC experiments. Other details can be found into the experimental section.
Figure S7: Selective 1D NOESY spectra after selective excitation of some protons belonging to isomers Ia/Ib.
Figure S8: Selective 1D NOESY spectra after selective excitation of some protons belonging to isomers IIa/IIb.
Figure S9: Comparison between the B) PSYCHE (2D acquisition mode using 1 scan per 16 $t_1$ increments)\textsuperscript{4} and the C-D) individual 1D HOBS experiments (4 scans each one as shown in Figure 2). All spectra were processed without any window function before Fourier transformation. See expanded areas in Figures S10 and S11 for more details.
Figure S10: Expanded area between 3.7-5.5 ppm comparing the experimental sensitivity and natural line widths achieved in B) PSYCHE and C) HOBS spectra of Figure S9. Whereas similar spectral quality and experimental line widths (about 1.5-1.6 Hz) were obtained in both experiments, the 1D HOBS spectrum shows an enhanced sensitivity by two orders of magnitude.
Figure S11: Expanded area between 1.1-1.8 ppm comparing the experimental sensitivity, multiplet simplification and line widths achieved in B) PSYCHE and C) HOBS spectra of Figure S9. As shown in Figure S9 and S10, the 1D HOBS spectrum shows a sensitivity enhancement by two orders of magnitude. Note the partial multiplet simplification of diastereotopic CH₂ protons, exemplified with the H4 protons of isomers IIa/IIb, where the geminal 3J(HH) splitting remains. In addition, also note how the PSYCHE experiment fails to homodecouple all strongly-coupled geminal protons resonating around 1.6-1.8 ppm.
Figure S12: Expansion of the spectral aliased HSQC-TOCSY of Figure 4A. Note how eight different spin systems can be distinguished and assigned.
Figure S13: (top) Conventional and (bottom) broadband homodecoupled HSQC A) III-3 and B) II-3 cross peaks. 1D slices on the right allow to compare the relative sensitivity, the multiplet simplification and the experimental line widths achieved in both experiments.
Figure S14: (top) Conventional and (bottom) broadband homodecoupled HSQC A) II-4 (diastereotopic CH₂) and B) I-10 (CH₃) cross peaks. 1D slices on the right allow to compare the relative sensitivity, the multiplet simplification and the experimental line widths achieved in both experiments.