



This is the **published version** of the bachelor thesis:

Sklyarov, Nikolay; Coll, Mercè, dir. Aktionsart and aspect in english and russian : a comparative study. 2015. 23 pag. (835 Grau en Estudis d'Anglès i Català)

This version is available at https://ddd.uab.cat/record/137846

under the terms of the CO BY-NC-ND license

Aktionsart and Aspect in English and Russian: a Comparative Study

TFG Estudis d'Anglès i Català

Supervisor: Dr. Mercè Coll

Nikolay Sklyarov

June 2015



TABLE OF CONTENTS:

ABSTRACT	1
1. INTRODUCITON	1
2. THEORETICAL BACKGROUND	2
3. METHODOLOGY	6
4. DISCUSSION	7
5. CONCLUSIONS	14
BIBILIOGRAPHY	15
ANNEX	16

ABSTRACT: Research on the topic of *aktionsart* has been exponentially growing in the last half century. The intricacies of how *aktionsart* is linked to other traits and how lexical aspect operates across different languages are topics that are subjected to ongoing research. Competing theories and approaches are still under hot discussion today. This work is set to offer a basic insight into the knowledge that has been gathered to this point about the notion of *aktionsart*. It is a comparative study that examines how verbs are classified in terms of *aktionsart* in Russian and English. An attempt will be carried out to outline whether Russian and English languages treat lexical aspect differently. The results suggest that they do not, and that in fact, despite the morphological differences, lexical aspect lies not in the morphemes, but rather in the lexical meaning they carry.

1. INTRODUCTION

This work will attempt to investigate the correlation between verb types in the Russian and English languages. To set the framework there will be a brief introduction to the topics at hand. discussing some of the approaches present today. To prove or disprove the similarities between the two languages, we will take a look at a text from a piece of classic literature and its translation into Russian, to inspect the verbal predicates and how they present the traits relevant to our research. The entries will be classified and examined in regards to the topic at hand. The criteria will be based upon the known theoretical explanations.

One of the most evident sources of difference between English and Russian is the heavy use of verbal prefixes of the latter. Grammarians cite up to 23 different prefixes that can be attached to verbs in the Russian language. The main axis of comparison will go through this question to later discuss whether *aktionsart* is treated all that differently

in the two languages. After diving into some theoretical background it is possible to speculate that, even though at first it might appear that they would, they would actually not. This will be the starting point and the main hypothesis of this study.

2. THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

There are several means of classifying verbs for our goal. One possible classification takes its base on the ideas proposed by Vendler (1957, re-published in 1967 in his book *Linguistics in Philosophy*). In this work a simplified and re-purposed classification will be used to focus on the comparison between the two languages. In his article 'Verbs and Times' Vendler establishes a core set of categories based on what he refers to as time schemata. After establishing whether a verbal predicates allows for continuous and progressive aspect or not, these verbs are open to be classified into four categories: 'activities', 'accomplishments', 'states', and 'achievements'. Thus, verbs that allow for the aforementioned type of grammatical aspect will fall into the group of 'state' verbs or 'achievement' verbs, while those that do not will fall into 'activities' verbs and 'accomplishments'. 'State' verbs are durative whereas 'achievements' are instantaneous, as can be showcased by the difference between the verbs 'know' and 'realize'. 'Activities' and 'accomplishments' differ from each other as the latter have a natural tendency to occupy a restricted stretch of time, while the former naturally do not. This establishes the difference between verbs such as 'running' and 'drawing'. 'Achievements' and 'accomplishments' are always telic.

In a similar yet contrary way, the classification proposed by Moens and Steedman (1988) took its root in the idea that linear temporal classifications of tense and aspect are not the most appropriate for linguistic classification. Their classification establishes a connection between the notion of event nucleus and the verbal predicates.

This connection is what helps us find the type of a given verb. Although they present compelling proof, and their tests for group classification are efficient, their ideas do not override the usability of Vendler's method and classification.

Another approach to the issue is that of Krifka (1989), who has proposed treating telicity as a grammatical and even morphological feature, basing his study on how Finnish telicity works. These are conclusions that cannot be backed up by data collected from either Russian or English. In fact, Krifka's definition of telicity has been criticized by Borik (2002) among others as the approaches for treating telicity are still a hot topic today. It is very hard to define endpoints and start points of verbs as the criteria for distinction is a thin line that can be easily blurred. Despite all that Krifka's interpretation and ideas regarding, what we cloud call, potential telicity are also compelling and usable. However, once again, they are not as crucial for the comparison we aim to make.

Having said that it is now possible to proceed to state that, for the purposes of this research, we are allowed to simplify and re-purpose Vendler's classification into three possible types of verbal predicates in regards to *aktionsart*. Our start point lies in separating those verbs that function as a state and those that do not, in other words to single out the predicates that allow for telicity to happen. This is very similar to how Vendler's classification operates. If a verb allows for continuous tense it is bound to be a state. In this study we will not go into the deeper aforementioned distinction. All the verbs that do not grammatically form constructions of the kind of '*I am knowing OBJECT' and '*I am loving OBJECT' are all regarded as states. Those verbs that are not states are processes. These are the same verbs that do not allow adjuncts that have the preposition 'for' or 'in' as their head.

Process verbs, on the other hand, can be telic or not. Some processes remain grammatical when complemented by such adjuncts, and some do not. This will be the basis for our test of telicity. Thus, a simple verbal predicate such as 'the train arrived at the station' can prove to be telic if it allows itself to be modified by an adjunct headed by the preposition 'in'. A telic predicate can never be modified by an adjunct the nucleus of which is the preposition 'for'.

- (1) *The train arrived at the station for two days
- (2) The train arrived at the station in two days

The verbal predicate in examples 1 and 2 is therefore telic. On the other hand the examples:

- (3) He wrote books for two days
- (4) *He wrote books in two days

illustrate that the predicate 'to write books' is atelic. It will be labeled as 'process' in the data gathering process.

This test can also be used to further identify state. States verbs reject both structures and create ungrammatical sentences following this test:

- (5) *She loved him in two days
- (6) *She loved him for two years

It is important to note that each of these verbs by themselves, and within the predicates they represent can be interpreted to belong to any given verb type. The two examples above, for instance, can be interpreted as grammatically correct, but in that case the verb 'to love' would no longer have the same meaning the one predicated by the ungrammatical sentences.

Although this test is not without its flaws, its success rate is more than enough for the purposes of this research, as all the predicates in the data gathering procedure can be tested with it since this very same examples translate almost perfectly into Russian. There exist, however, some inconsistencies. The 'for' and 'in' adjuncts tests are trickier to employ in Russian, as the meaning of these adjuncts is not narrow enough. In Russian it is possible to employ a much higher variety of prepositions to express similar meanings to that of 'for' and 'in'. The distinction between stative and non-stative verbs, however, remains the same.

(7) *Ona lyubila ego za dva dnya

She loved him for/in two days

Slabakova (2001), in her chapter about English and Slavic telicity argued that to stating that bound morphemes add exclusively grammatical content to the verbs would not fit with the Universal Grammar panorama, in a similar way as stating that auxiliaries add just lexical content is wrong just as well. Her comparison of Russian and English focused on the notion of structural positioning of modifiers. She states that a variety of features might influence lexical aspect, including bound morphemes and prefixes, but there is no basis to state that all languages work the same way in regards to this topic. Russian, for instance, differs heavily in this regard from English. However,

the way meaning is conveyed remains similar. This creates a further case to assume that Russian will not treat *aktionsart* morphologically, and that it will work in a similar way to that of English. The verbs that we will find in our data gathering process, in both languages, will thus share their classification in regards to *aktionsart*.

To sum up, there are several ways to classify verbs and this study will use the most convenient straight forward classification to attempt to see whether Russian and English languages treat lexical aspect differently. The preliminary hypothesis would stand that they do not, and that, in fact, despite the morphological differences, lexical aspect lies not in the morphemes, but rather in the lexical meaning they carry.

3. METHODOLOGY

To carry out this contrastive task, one hundred entries have been gathered sourced from the renowned novel *Oliver Twist*, by Charles Dickens. The focus has been laid upon predicates in preterit form, as those are the most efficient source of classifiable verbs. The entries are displayed in the annex. They are composed by the raw transliterated Russian utterance, followed by the verbal element of the predicate in isolation, its type, the original verbal element as written by Dickens and the predicate within its context: the full original utterance from the novel. The information will be displayed in a similar manner to the following:

(8) 17 – *sprosil* bedniy Oliver – Process – Poor Oliver **inquired**

The items in bold will have their own column in the table to ease the task of finding verbs and comparing them face to face with their counterparts. The center

column will display the type of verb that is assigned to that entry according to the telicity test mentioned in the last section.

4. DISCUSSION

First of all, it is important to mention that Russian does not feature a core distinction between simple and progressive past. Russian, as other languages such as Spanish, operates in terms of perfectivity. To avoid diving too deep into grammatical details, we can go straight to the bottom line and state that checking whether a verb allows a progressive form is not possible in Russian in the same way it is possible in English. An easy way to identify a verb as a state is to see whether it allows the attachment of the prefix 'za-'. This prefix adds, among others, the lexical meaning of 'to begin doing' an action when attached to a verb. After adding this prefix, we find a way to cheat this lack of continuous tense, and check whether a construction such as '*Ya zalyubil yeio' (*I began and I still am loving her) is possible or impossible in Russian. In this case it is impossible, confirming that just as in English, the verb 'to love' is a state type of verb.

Following that we can dive right into the gathered data. A quick look at the entries might at first suggest that Russian verbal predicates belong to one type of verb or another depending heavily on their prefixes. For instance, the Russian prefixes 'do-' and 'vy-' might appear to add telicity to verbs whenever it appears. They actually do modify verbs in a significant way as explained by Jakobson, R. (1984) in his analysis of Russian and Slavic grammar. However it is uncertain whether they can be said to affect *aktionsart* exclusively. The examples found in entry 14 and entry 15 showcase this conflict and allow for a deeper analysis of the issue.

- (9) On vypil pol stakana

 He swallowed half of it (half a cup)
- (10) On **do**pil zhin s vodoi

 He finished (drinking) the gin and water

These two examples are virtually the same in terms of *aktionsart*. For further analysis we can focus on only one the latter and its test for telicity in English.

- (11) He finished the gin and water in two weeks
- *He finished the gin and water for two weeks

In the original English utterance 'to finish' has the implied semantic meaning of to finish drinking. This can be proven by simply adding that implied meaning into the sentence and later observing that it remains telic:

- (13) He finished drinking the gin and water in two weeks.
- *He finished drinking the gin and water for two weeks.

The Russian translation presents the verb 'to drink' with the prefix 'do-'. To paraphrase an explanation provided by experts in Slavic grammar such as Wade (2002), it is possible to state that this prefix is known to adhere to verbs in order to add the semantic meaning of 'to reach completion' of a given task, or in other words, 'to finish' something. The predicate 'to finish drinking' is therefore completely independent from the predicate 'to drink'. If the utterance were to look like:

- *He drank the gin and water in two weeks
- (16) He drank gin and water for two weeks.

One might be tempted to argue that the prefix 'do-' adds perfectivity to the verb, as to finish an action is to make it perfect. This is however not the case as there are many ways to add perfectivity to a verb. The default Russian prefix for this job is 'po-'. And although the sentences

- (17) On do-pil dzhin s vodoi
- (18) On po-pil dzhin s vodoi

May allow for only one grammatical translation into English:

(19) He drank gin and water

The semantic translation would require adding words that carry heavy lexical meaning to make the translation transmit what it really signifies to the reader. The selected translation for each of the examples would look similar to this:

- (20) He finished drinking gin and water (for example 17)
- (21) He drank a bit of gin and water (for example 18)

If the thesaurus of English verbs had single verbs that transmitted those same meaning, these verbs would probably be used here.

These arguments seem to allow us to safely induce that the predicate 'to drink' works as a process and is not necessarily telic, as it does not pass the full simple telicity test. This is further illustrated in entry 59.

(22) 'He kept on his course through many winding and narrow ways'

To simplify the predicate, we can agree that 'to keep on' implies the meaning of 'to keep on going/walking' and the sentence 'He went on his course through many winding and narrow ways' completely mirrors the semantic meaning of the original sentence. Thus:

- (23) *He went on his course through many winding and narrow ways in two weeks
- (24) He went on his course through many winding and narrow ways for two weeks

Mirrors the Russian result of failing to test for telicity:

(25) *On shel izvilistymi i uzkimi ulitsami za dve nedeli
(He go-PAST winding and narrow streets in two weeks)

If we were to add the same prefix added earlier (that is, the prefix 'do-') to the verb 'to drink' to this verb we would end up with an utterance with a verbal predicate that tests positive for telicity: (26) On doshel izvilistymi i uzkimi ulitsami za dve nedeli
(He go-PAST winding and narrow streets in two weeks)

However, to translate this predicate back into English we would have to use another verb altogether. A telic verb such as 'to arrive' or 'to reach' that will test positive for telicity and will be proven not to be a process:

- (27) He arrived through many winding and narrow ways in two weeks
- (28) *He arrived through many winding and narrow ways for two weeks

There are no grounds upon which to argue that the Russian prefix 'do-' is only responsible in for the shift in telicity. As is pointed out in Slabakova's study (2005), Slavic prefixes may work in a predictable pattern that eases the classification of verbs into the types proposed by Vendler (1967), but they do no constitute a one hundred percent efficient rule. For instance, entries 30, 46 and 47 all feature the prefix 'po-', which is usually argued to be interpreted as a marker of perfectivity, although it can also mean 'a little'. In all three cases the meaning that is actually conveyed by the prefix is that of adding a trait of 'carrying out the action for a while'. (as literally illustrated by the original utterance in entry 46 'Oliver considered a little while'). The marker of perfectivity meaning appears to be independent of its semantic meaning. This might lead to argue that the lexical content almost prevails over *aktionsart*, and that these morphemes create new lexical entries altogether.

On other words, the aforementioned allows us to speculate that verb predicates convey independent meaning within their context. Although it is common

knowledge that predicates, and not just verbs on their own, are the carriers of the semantic meaning of the actions, observing how a predicate might be translated into one independent verb might be an additional source of evidence for this very statement. For instance, in entry number 38 the Russian predicate 'perebezhat', is constructed upon the verb 'bezhat' plus the prefix 'pere-', that adds a meaning of crossing to all verbs (or rather the meaning of 'going over' or 'getting over to the other side', which is also very similar to the concept of crossing). This way the verbs with a new meaning stand on their own and would call for an independent dictionary entry if they were to be translated into English. In this case, 'begat' would be best translated as 'to run', while 'perebegat' would be most efficiently translated as 'to cross' or 'to run across'. The point is that this verb easily stands on its own and it conveys the predicative meaning of 'to walk/run across'. Furthermore, this verb that we can refer to as the verb 'to cross', alongside the verb 'to walk', can be classified as telic when found in structures like the following: 'he walked to the store/he crossed to the store'. So they both agree in verb type, the only function of the prefix is to add lexical information without altering grammatical proprieties.

Verb type is therefore an important feature that stands on the same level of relevance as the pure lexical meaning of a verb in regards to translation. The translated text shows that preserving the verb type is a goal that is met more often than the goal of nitpicking a lexical verb that mirrors the exact semantic meaning of the original English verb. Observing how the Russian translation picks verbs to suit this condition is once again evidence for this statement. For example, entries 11, 12, 13, 17 (verbs of the type of: inquired, replied, coughed, asked) are all more or less synonymous and can work similarly to the predicate 'said'. These verbs are usually used by the narrator of a tale to mark dialogue structure. The correspondence of exact meaning between the Russian

translation and the English original almost never agrees to what a dictionary would suggest. This is due mainly to the fact that context plays an important role in establishing meaning. The translation picks verbs that suit the need for a predicate that belongs to the process type of verbs, rather than any other verb that would not fit this condition. In entry 56, for instance, the Russian translation opts to use a predicate that unites the meaning of 'to fall sluggishly'. Although the verb 'morosit' cannot be used as synonymous to fall in any other context, it is nevertheless a process. Therefore, it seems to be the case that to preserve the traits of telicity of the predicate is crucial for translation purposes.

It is important to mention that this study is limited to what evidence can be provided from Russian and English. It is impossible to state that the conclusions derived from this discussion would apply universally. One of the unexpected statements that this research seems to suggest is true that semantics is what dictates verb type classification, so it is not fair to state that prefixes themselves add the quality of telicity to the predicates. What is clear that the prefixes add semantic variation that later allows for classification into types.

This, therefore, leads to the conclusion that actually the Russian language and the English language treat aspect similarly. Because Russian as most Slavic languages is a language that heavily relies on inflection (Slabakova 2001) we might perceive that *aktionsart* as a trait is present morphologically in the form of the verbs, as we have seen with the prefixes 'do-' and 'po-'. This is, however, not the case. The addition or removal of a prefix appears to change the type of the verb namely because the meaning of the verbal predicate changes. It is true that in the default context for the prefix 'po-' is to mark perfectivity, there is, however, more to it. Verb type shifts due to the fact that some prefixes carry the meaning of begging of an action, end of an action

or of carrying out an action in a specific way (i.e. slowly, sideways, etc). This leads to a situation where morphologically similar verbs are no longer synonymous, yet they carry the same value of lexical aspect.

5. CONCLUSIONS

Conclusively, this builds enough evidence to state that in reality the treatment of *aktionsart* in both languages is more similar than different. Both languages rely heavily on lexical context, and do not use any morphological sings to indicate *aktionsart* features. After examining some examples where prefixes seemed to offer clues towards the classification of verbs, it is fair to state that these clues work in a similar way as that of adding an adjunct to a predicate in a sentence. It is a procedure that adds meaning, rather than grammatical content.

The final conclusion is however, that as it was expected, and in agreement with previous research, English and Russian languages treat *aktionsart* similarly and operate in more similar ways than can be expected without a deeper analysis.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Borik, O. (2006) The Telicity Approach. *Aspect and Reference Time*. (pp. 20-60) Oxford: Oxford University Press
- Jakobson, R. (1984) Structure of the Russian Verb. In L.R. Waugh and M. Halle (eds.) Russian and Slavic Grammar. Studies 1931-1981, (pp. 1-14) Berlin: Mouton
- Krifka, M. (1989) Nominal reference, temporal constitution and quantification in event semantics. In R. Bartsch, J. van Benthem and P. van Emde Boas (eds.), *Semantics and Contextual Expression*. (pp. 75-115) Dordrecht: Foris.
- Moens, M. and Steedman M. (1988). "Temporal ontology and temporal reference".

 Computational Linguistics, 14 (2): 15-28
- Slabakova, R. (2005) "What is so difficult about telicity marking in L2 Russian?" Bilingualism: Language and Cognition, 8 (1): 63-77
- Slabakova, R. (2001) "English and Slavic telicity: a syntactic account" *Telicity in the Second Language*. (pp. 63-102) Amsterdam/Philadeplphia: John Benjamins Publishing Company
- Vendler, Z. (1967) Verbs and Times. *Linguistics in Philosophy*. (pp. 97-121). New York: Cornell University Press.

ANNEX

Data gathered from Charles Dickens 'Oliver Twist', first published 1838, ed Penguin Classics, London 2003; Translation to Russian by A. V. Krivtsova, Ed. Vishaya Shkola, Moscow 1984.

reduction Telic was ushered he was born vviol Telic was ushered he was ushererd (into this wor vviol Telic was ushered he was ushererd (into this wor sklonen utverzhdat' Process disposed to mantain not disposed to mantain that (and beard) vazabotu) bylo dobitsya Process there was difficutly (i) that there was considerable intervined the nurse predal intervined the nurse intervined intervined the nurse process predal Process diposited (i) that there was come more the process) said said (shouted) Mrs Mann ovvedomilsya Process replied he replied he replied dopil Telic swallowed and he swallowed half of it dopil Telic finished he finished the gin and water probyl Process rought he finished the gin and water probyl Process had (not) been oliver had not been within the engined probyl Process had (not) been oliver had not been within the engined probyl Process had (not) been	3	Touches Chapter Chapter Children	10 to most (0110)	E CONTRACT	Vorth Closest (CNC)	Towns of 11th source	Ī
rijodskiy vrach vvel evo v sei mir vviol Telic was ushered any nikogda ne vyshii by na svet syshii by na svet skine to nu verzhadar shto () sklonen utverzhadar seba zabotu) sklonen utverzhadar seba disposed to mantaln nia dobitsya chtoby (on vzyal na sebya zabotu) bylo dobitsya Process there was difficutly s' sidelka producida predal predal predal producida pro		ייני מניני וופסימון סינין מוייני	rodilsya	Telic	was born		
any nikogda ne vyshli by na svet sklonen utverzhdat' Telic (would never) have appeard nutverzhdat'shto () sklonen utverzhdat' Process (there was difficutly a s'sidelka nutverzhdat') bylo doblisya rabotu) bylo doblisya rabotus predal Process (there was difficutly in vee oblatia produced protuced) predal Process (there was difficutly reconstructed) rozvodilos' Telic (intervined protuced) rozvodilos' Telic (inshed process replied protuced) rozvodilos' Telic (inshed protuced) rozvodilos' replied protuced) rozvodilos' replied protucess (rought protuced) rozvodilos' replied protuced) rozvodilos' replied (dijin s vodo) rozvodilos rozoles () probyl rocess (red rozvodilos) rozcess (red rozcess red rozcess) rozcess (red rozcess) red (roz) been rozcess) rozcess (red rozcess) red (roz) been rozcess rozcess) red (roz) been rozcess) rozcess red (rozcess) red (roz) been rozcess rozcess) red (roz) been rozcess) rozcess red (rozcess) red (rozcess) red (rozcess) red (roz) been rozcess) rozcess red (rozcess) rozcess) red (rozcess) red (rozcess) red (rozcess) rozcess) red (roz) been rozcess) rozcess red (rozcess) red (ro		kokgda prijodskiy vrach vvel evo v sei mir	vviol	Telic		he was ushererd (into this world of sorrow and trouble) by the surgeon	
n utverzhdat'shto () sklonen utverzhdat' nlodobitsya chtoby (on vzyal na sebya zabotu) s' sidelka s' sidelka vineshalas' s' sidelka viv odeyalo voe eo biatia viv odeyalo zakutaniy viv odeyalo viv odeyal		eti memuary nikogda ne vyshli by na svet	vyshli (by)	Telic		hese memoirs would never have appeard	
lo dobitsya chtoby (on vzyal na sebya zabotu) s' sidelka ve e obiatia		ne sklonen utverzhdat' shto ()	sklonen utverzhdat'	Process	disposed to mantain	not disposed to mantain that ()	
s'sidelka o ve eo biatia predal predal predal predal predal predal predal process diposited wasan inquest voirvodilos' Telic wrapped vskrichala vskrichala misya mister Bambl osvedomilsya process said process said process said process said process said process cought process cought Telic swallowed otvetil process cought Telic swallowed pribavil Telic swallowed pribavil Telic finished dopil Telic added advi Oliver sprosil probyl process inquired process inquired pribavil Telic swallowed process inquired		trudno bylo dobitsya chtoby (on vzyal na sebya zabotu)	bylo dobitsya	Process) that there was considerable difficulty (in inducing Oliver to take upon himself he office of respiration)	
predal Process diposited yi v odeyalo zakutaniy Telic wrapped roizvodilos' osobo strogoe sledsvie profzvodilos' Mrs Mann Wskrichala nilsya mister Bambl osvedomilsya Process inquired otvetil polstakana wypil Telic swallowed dopil Telic swallowed pribavil dopil Telic finished pribavil polstakana yypil Telic swallowed pribavil polstakana yypil Telic swallowed pribavil process inquired pribavil polstakana yypil Telic swallowed pribavil process inquired pribavil sprosil process inquired pribavil wstenah robochego doma ne bolee () probyl Process inquired byl v stenah robochego doma ne bolee () probyl Process inquired byl v stenah robochego doma ne bolee () probyl Process inquired byl v stenah robochego doma ne bolee () probyl Process inquired byl v stenah robochego doma ne bolee () probyl Process inquired byl v stenah robochego doma ne bolee () probyl Process inquired byl v stenah robochego doma ne bolee () probyl Process inquired byl v stenah robochego doma ne bolee () probyl Process inquired byl v stenah robochego doma ne bolee () probyl Process inquired byl v stenah robochego doma ne bolee () probyl Process inquired		vmeshalas' sidelka	vmeshalas'	Telic	intervined	ntervined the nurse	
roizvodilos' osobo strogoe sledsvie proizvodilos' Telic wrapped Mrs Mann Mrs Mann misya mister Bambi osvedomilsya Process said misya mister Bambi osvedomilsya Process inquired otvetil Process replied otvetil process cought table kashlanul Acashlanul Telic finished dopil Telic finished pribavil Telic finished pribavil probyl v stenah robachego doma ne bolee () probyl process had (not) been poklonilsya Process bowed process fed			predal	Process		liposited it (him) in her arms	
Mrs Mann Mrs Mrs Mann Mrs Mrs Mann Mrs		zakutannyi v odeyalo	zakutaniy	Telic		vrapped in the blanket	
Mrs Mann vskrichala Process said nilsya mister Bambl osvedomilsya Process inquired mbl kashlanul kashlanul Process replied pm) vypil polstakana vypil Telic swallowed dapil Telic finished dayi Oliver sprosil Process inquired byl v stenath robochego doma ne bolee () probyl Process had (not) been nizko poklonilsya poklonilsya Process bowed v kormili v bol'shom zale s kirpichnymi stenami kormili Process fed		kokgda proizvodilos' osobo strogoe sledsvie	proizvodilos'	Telic		when there was some more than usually interesting inquest (upon a parish)	
nisya mister Bambl osvedomilsya Process inquired otvetil Porcess replied mbl kashlanul kashlanul Process cought mbl kashlanul Telic swallowed dopil Telic finished pribavil Telic added pribavil Telic added pribavil Telic added pribavil Telic process inquired pribavil probyl Process had (not) been poklonilsya poklonilsya Process bowed		vsrichala Mrs Mann	vskrichala	Process	said	aid (shouted) Mrs Mann	
mbl kashlanul kashlanul Process replied mbl kashlanul kashlanul Process cought mm) vypil Telic swallowed dopil Telic finished pribavil Telic daded pribavil Telic added pribavil		osvedeamilsya mister Bambl	osvedomilsya	Process	inquired	nquired Mr Bumble	
mbl kashlanul kashlanul Process cought om) vypil polstakana vypil Telic swallowed Idjin s vodoi dopil Telic finished added pribavil Telic added dnyi Oliver sprosil Process inquired byl v stenah robochego doma ne bolee () probyl Process had (not) been nizko poklonilsya poklonilsya Process bowed v kormili v bol'shom zale s kirpichnymi stenami kormili Process fed		otvetil on	otvetil	Porcess		ne replied	
Idjin s vodoi dopil Telic swallowed Idjin s vodoi dopil Telic finished pribavil Telic added dnyi Oliver sprosil Process inquired byl v stenah robochego doma ne bolee () probyl Process had (not) been nizko poklonilsya poklonilsya Process bowed v kormili v bol'shom zale s kirpichnymi stenami kormili Process fed		mister Bambl kashlanul	kashlanul	Process	cought	Vr Bumble cought	
Idjin s vodoi dopil Telic finished pribavil Telic added dnyi Oliver sprosil Process inquired byl v stenah robochego doma ne bolee () probyl Process had (not) been nizko poklonilsya poklonilsya Process bowed v kormili v bol'shom zale s kirpichnymi stenami kormili Process fed		(i on zalpom) vypil polstakana	vypil	Telic	swallowed	and he swallowed half of it	
dnyl Oliver sprosil Telic added byl v stenah robachego doma ne bolee () probyl Process had (not) been nizko poklonilsya poklonilsya Process bowed v kormili v bol'shom zale s kirpichnymi stenami kormili Process fed		(on) dopil djin s vodoi	dopil	Telic		ne finished the gin and water	
sprosil Process inquired in robochego doma ne bolee () probyl Process had (not) been onlisya poklonilsya Process bowed bol'shom zale s kirpichnymi stenami kormili Process fed			pribavil	Telic	added	nd added	
probyl Process had (not) been poklonikya Process bowed mni kormili Process fed			sprosil	Process	inquired	nquired poor oliver (same word as entry 16, different verb in russian, still process)	
poklanilsya Process bowed m zale s kirpichnymi stenami kormili Process fed		Oliver probyl v stenah robochego doma ne bolee ()	probyl	Process	had (not) been	Dliver had not been within the walls of the workhouse ()	
Process fed		Oliver () nizko poklonilsya	poklonilsya	Process	bowed	Dliver bowed low	
		Malchikov kormili v bol'shom zale s kirpichnymi stenami	kormili	Process		The room in which the toys were fed was a large stone hall (Not a passive in ussian, same verb PROCESS)	

On memor ne bespokalf Vi us pour on standbyar de demand* Vi considered al tiet de did sub experientes of her child himm of the demand of demand* Vi considered did objeto magostromyolas 's prevoshodnyh' registromyolas' or prevoshodnyh or demand vo dem' kebineta or podumal of postudnol Telic tapped Attent demand vo demand vo dem' kebineta or ship the dem' considered at the study door Veru minutu v kemmatu vo demondu vo shol or ship the dem' considered at the study door Veru minutu v kemmatu vo demondu vo ship the dem' considered at the study door Veru minutu v kemmatu vo demondu vo ship the dem' considered at the study door Telic had been conveyed the form of deminutus problem tenth demended or ship the dem' considered at the study or demondrative the more of the street or demondulative problem to dem' dem' dem' dem' dem' dem' dem' dem'	0	Oliver bystro prishol v sebya	prishol v sebya posle c	Telic	recovered	Oliver soon recovered from the fainting	
remater'no vyslushval beskonæchnye istorii a leandumat' no maore remater'no vyslushval beskonæchnye istorii a leandumat' no maore remater'no vyslushval beskonæchnye istorii a leandumater'no sonh detei fora awhile) postuchal v dver'kabineta postuchal v dver'kabineta postuchal v dver'kabineta postuchal v dver'kabineta voshol opiralas' na tolstuyu voshol postuchal v dver'kabineta or minutu v komnatu voshol opiralas' na tolstuyu voshol postuchal v dver'kabineta oshoneta voshol opiralas' na tolstuyu voshol postuchal v dver'kabineta oshoneta voshol opiralas' na tolstuyu voshol postuchal v dver'kabineta oshoneta voshol opiralas' na tolstuyu voshol postuchal v dver'kabineta oshoneta v minutuku priostonovilisya privetia or minutuku priostonovilisya privetia or minutuku priostonovilisya privetia or minutuku priostonovilisya privetia na mostovoy keshala process fell (slugishiy) ilo holodnim i lipkim na oshup povos' kraduchis 'v pered process kepta na dusta or morosil process kepta or (same aniotkimi ulitisami ulitisami shele process kepta or (same aniotkimi ulitisami ulitisami pedostig Bentel-Grina dostig Bentel-Grina dostig Bentel-Grina peredomina pedostig	On m	enya ne bespokoil	ne bespokoil	Process	dind't worry	indeed it didn't worry me	
rematel'no vyslushival beskonechnye istorii vyslushival are languatel'no vyslushival beskonechnye istorii a leidi dolgo raspastranyalas' o prevoshodnyh raspostranyalas' o prevoshodnyh raspostranyalas' o prevoshodnyh podumal postuchal postuchal for a while) postuchal v dver' kabineta postuchal postuchal Telic tapped rinnutu v komnatu voshol, opiralas' na tolstuyu vashol dorodnyi stary dzhentelmen are somanenno slyshala shenstvo mistera usilila beshenstvo mistera usilila beshenstvo mistera usilila beshenstvo mistera usilila beshenstvo mistera priostonovilsya Telic stopped priostonovilsya priostonovilsya Telic stopped rominutku priostonovilsya priostonovilsya resela nad ulitsami wastovoy kesela pova mgla vesela nad ulitsami ma oshup publio (na oshup) Telic gilded process kept on (same alizwilistymi i uzkimi ulitsami behala ma oshup probiroyas' Telic gilded reached reached	V tu I	ooru on staralsya ob etom ne dumat'	staralsya ne dumat'	Process		he endeavoured to think no more of the subject	
a ledi dolgo raspostranyalas' o prevoshodnyh astvos voih detei nemnozhko podumal podumal podumal fora while) postuchal v dver' kabineta podumal postuchal Telic tapped minutu v komnatu voshol, opiraias' na tolstuyu voshol minutu v komnatu voshol, opiraias' na tolstuyu voshol dorodnyi stary dzhentelmen shyshala soprotivlenie tolko usilila beshenstvo mistera usilila beshenstvo Telic infuriated the more prototivlenie tolko usilila beshenstvo mistera usilila beshenstvo priostonovilsya Telic to stopped an minutku priostonovilsya priostonovilsya Telic crossed toktym sloiem lezhala na mostovoy keszhala porcess indi dozha' morosil porcess teli (slugishly) lo hobodnim i lipkim na oshup bylo (na oshup) Telic gilded process kept on (same ali lavilisymi i uzkimi ulitsami shel porcess reached process kept on (same an a	ion	vnemateľno vyslushival beskonechnye istorii	vyslushival	Process	listened	so listened attentively to a great many stories she told him	
nemnozhko podumal podumal Process considered (thought for a while) postuchal v dver'kabineta postuchal Telic tapped minutu v komnatu voshol, opiraias'na tolstuyu voshol Process there walked anesomnemo slyshala shlyshala Process there walked soprotivlenie tolko usilila beshenstvo mistera usilila beshenstvo Telic infuriated the more uda preveli Olivera priostonovilsya Telic stopped upota preveli Olivera priostonovilsya priostonovilsya laid aya mgla vesela nad ulitsami vesela priocess fell (slugishly) a	star	aya leidi dolgo raspostranyalas' o prevoshodnyh nestvov svoih detei	raspostranyalas'	Process	expatiated	the old lady expatiated a long time on the excelences of her children	
postuchal v dver' kabineta minutu v komnatu voshol, opiraias' na tolstuyu anesomnenno slyshala soprotivlenie tolko usilila beshenstvo mistera anesomnenno slyshala soprotivlenie tolko usilila beshenstvo mistera uda preveli Olivera priveli aninutku priostonovilsya ta minutku priostonovilsya ta minutku priostonovilsya ta minutku priostonovilsya pereshiol tolstym sloiem lezhala na mostovoy keschala porcess priveli tolstym sloiem lezhala na mostovoy keschala porcess priveli porcess priveli porcess priveli porcess priveli porcess priveli porcess porcess priveli porcess porcess priveli porcess privel porcess priveli porcess privel por	Oliv	er nemnozhko podumal	podumal	Process	considered (thought for a while)	Oliver considered a little while	
minutu v komnatu voshol, opiraias 'na tolstuyu voshol Process there walked dorodnyi stary dzhentelmen shlyshala Process heard soprotivlenie tolko usilila beshenstvo mistera usilila beshenstvo Telic infuriated the more uda preveli Olivera priveli Telic had been conveyed na minutku priostonovilsya priostonovilsya Telic stopped niol chere ulitsu priostonovilsya Telic stopped toktym sloiem lezhala na mostovoy keszhala Process laid aya mgla vesela nad ulitsami norosil Porcess felt (was to the touch) lo holodnim i lipkim na oshup bylo (na oshup) Telic gilded ayas' kraduchis' vpered probirayas' Telic gilded elizvilistymi i uzkimi ulitsami shel Process kept on (same ne dostig Bentel-Grina dostig Telic reached	Oliv	rer postuchal v dver' kabineta	postuchal	Telic	tapped	Oliver tapped at the study door	
soprotivlenie tolko usilila beshenstvo mistera usilila beshenstvo Telic infuriated the more uda preveli Olivera priveli Telic had been conveyed uda preveli Olivera priveli Telic stopped na minutku priostonovilsya pereshiol Telic stopped niol chere ulitsu pereshiol Telic crossed toktym sbiem lezhala na mostovoy leszhala Process laid oya mgla vesela nad ulitsami vesela Porcess fell (slugishly) il dozhd' morosil Porcess fell (slugishly) lo holodnim i lipkim na oshup probirayas' Telic glided ayas' kraduchis' vpered probirayas' Telic glided elizvilistymi i uzkimi ulitsami shel Process kept on (same ne dostig Bentel-Grina dostig Telic reached	V e tro	tu minutu v komnatu voshol, opiraias' na tolstuyu st', dorodnyi stary dzhentelmen	voshol	Process	there walked	At this moment there walked into the room, supporting himself by a thik stick, a stout gentleman	
uda preveli Olivera priveli Telic infuriated the more uda preveli Olivera priveli Telic had been conveyed na minutku priostonovilsya priostonovilsya Telic stopped niol chere ulitsu pereshiol Telic crossed toktym skoiem lezhala na mostovoy keszhala Process laid aya mgla vesela nad ulitsami vesela Porcess fell (slugishly) id dozhd' morosil Porcess fell (slugishly) lo hokodnim i lipkim na oshup bylo (na oshup) Telic felt (was to the touch) ayas' kraduchis' vpered probirayas' Telic glided el izvilistymi i uzkimi ulitsami shel Process kept on (same ne dostig Bentel-Grina dostig Telic reached	Sol	baka nesomnenno slyshala	shiyshala	Process	heard	The dog no doubt heard	
Isya priveli Telic had been conveyed Ilsya pereshiol Telic stopped n na mostovoy leszhala Process laid ulitsami vesela Porcess fell (slugishly) n oshup bylo (na oshup) Telic felt (was to the touch) d probirayas' Telic glided tsami shel Process kept on (same meaning as walked) dostig Telic reached	7a Sa	koe soprotivlenie tolko usilila beshenstvo mistera ika	usilila beshenstvo	Telic	infuriated the more	This resistance only infuriated Mr Sikes the more	
lisya priostonovilsya Telic stopped pereshiol Telic crossed na mostovoy leszhala Process laid morosil Porcess hung morosil Porcess fell (slugishly) d probirayas' Telic glided shel probirayas' Telic glided d shel Process kept on (same meaning as walked) dostig Telic reached	op	m kuda preveli Olivera	priveli	Telic	had been conveyed	The house to which Oliver had been conveyed	
pereshiol Telic crossed pereshiol Process laid litsami vesela Porcess hung morosil Porcess fell (slugishly) to oshup bylo (na oshup) Telic felt (was to the touch) d probirayas' Telic glided shel Process kept on (same meaning as walked) dostig Telic reached	Ē	rei na minutku priostonovilsya	priostonovilsya	Telic	stopped	the Jew stopped for an instant at the comer of the street	
initsami Process laid ulitsami vesela Porcess hung norosil Porcess fell (slugishly) o shup bylo (na oshup) Telic felt (was to the touch) d probirayas' Telic glided tsami shel Process kept on (same meaning as walked) dostig Telic reached	ad	reshiol chere ulitsu	pereshiol	Telic	crossed	[the Jew] crossed the road	
Ilitsami vesela Porcess hung noshup pylo (na oshup) Telic fell (slugishly) d probirayas' Telic glided tsami shel Process kept on (same meaning as walked) dostig Telic reached	હ	vaz' tolstym sloiem lezhala na mostovoy	leszhala	Process	laid	The mud laid think upon the stones	
morosil Porcess fell (slugishly) a oshup Telic felt (was to the touch) d probirayas' Telic glided tsami shel Process kept on (same meaning as walked) dostig Telic reached	c	iornaya mgla vesela nad ulitsami	vesela	Porcess	hung	a black mist hung over the streets	
d pylo (na oshup) Telic felt (was to the touch) d probirayas' Telic glided tsami shel Process kept on (same meaning as walked) dostig Telic reached	Ĕ	orosil dozhd'	morosil	Porcess	fell (slugishly)	the rain fell slugishly down	
tsami shel Process kept on (same meaning as walked) dostig Telic reached	VS	e bylo holodnim i lipkim na oshup	bylo (na oshup)	Telic	felt (was to the touch)	everything felt cold and clammy to the touch	
tsami shel Process kept on (same meaning as walked) dostig Telic reached	pro	obirayas' kraduchis' vpered	probirayas'	Telic	glided	as he glided slightly along	
dostig Telic reached	o	shel izvilistymi i uzkimi ulitsami	shel	Process	kept on (same meaning as walked)	He kept on his course through many winding and narrow ways	
	pok	a ne dostig Bentel-Grina	dostig	Telic	reached	until he reached Bethnal Green	

62 s bol'shym udivlenier 63 po golumu polu byst 64 Saiks tolknul Olivera 65 i oni ochutilis v nikoj 65 vo ves' rost lezhal m	s bol'shym udivleniem uvidel po golumu polu bystro zashliopali stopannye tufli	uvidel	Process	was surprised to find	he was a good deal surprised to find
	olu bystro zashliopali stopannye tufli				
		zashliopali	Process	shuffled	A pair of slipshod feet shuffled hastly across the are floor of the room
	Olivera	tolknul	Telic	payshed	Sikes pushed Oliver
	i oni ochutilis v nikoj tiomnoi komnate	ochutilis'	Telic	entered	and they entered a low dark room
	vo ves' rost lezhal muzchina	lezhal	Process	was reposing	a man was reposing at full lenght
On byl odet v syuirtuik	syuirtuik	byl odet	Process	was dressed	He was dressed in a coat
68 oni bystro zas	oni bystro zashagali po glavniy ulitse goroda	zashagali	Telic	hurried	and they hurried through the main street of the little town
69 predvoriteľno	predvoriteľno zaglyanuv v okno	zaglyanuv	Telic	peeping	after peeping in
70 U stola za ego	U stola za ego spinoi sideli Lovkiy Plut	sideli	Telic	sat	At a table behind him sat the Artful Dodger, etc
71 rugaya yego z	rugaya yego za medlennost'	rugaya	Telic	muttering a curse (cursing)	muttering a curse upon his tardiness
slyshish ty ili net	net	slyshish	Telic	hear	do you hear?
73 Mnogiye smikayut glaza	kayut glaza	smykayut	Tellic	close	Many hunger-worn outcasts close their eyes in our bare streets at such times
74 vryatly onie otkroyut ij	ntkroyut ij	otrkroyut	Process	oben	can hardly open then in a more bitter world
75 nadziratilnitsc	nadziratilnitsa upala v kreslo	upala	Telic	dropped into	the matron dropped into her chair
76 zadumalas'oi	zadumalas' ob odinokoi svoiei sudbe	zadumalas'	Telic	thought	thought of her solitary fate
77 chainik i chask misteri Korni	chainik i chaska probudili pechal'nye vosponinanie o misteri Korni	probudili	Telic	awakened	the single cup had awakened in her mind sad recollections of mister Corney
78 eto podeisvav	eto podeisvavolo na neio ugnetajushi	podeistvavolo	Process	was overpowered	she was overpowered
79 k komu otnos	k komu otnosilis' eti zamechanie bylo ne izvestno	otnosilas'	State	bore reference	wether this remearks bore reference to the husband or the teapot was unknown
80 ona toľko otv	ona toľko otvedala pervuyu chashku	otvedola	Process	tasted	she just tasted her first cup

rasputvo i razgul vozvroshayutsya domoi
bodrstvoval
sidel
derzhal
vystupal
sochitalis brakom
vstupili vo vlodieniya
Monks ujehal so svoiej dolej v samuju udalionoyu chast' u-jehal novogo mira
u-synovil
vernulsya
uvlioksya
vospylal
podmechal
vzyalobyazonno
zanimayut
prishlos' tyazhelo
nabit
zastavila oponitsa
uvidel
voznikali