Compact City Approach Versus Self-Sufficient City Approach: Two Models of Urban Sustainability. A Bibliographic Review

By: Juan Carlos Reyna Moreno

Introduction

What has been written?

Which one is more popular?

What is the main Ideology?

Theoretical Framework Methodology: meta-analysis # of records identified (178) **Self-Sufficient City Compact City** High-density building design • Private-public partnership Eco-economic • Urban-economic sustainable decoupling # of abstract to Urban development Self-sufficient # of articles be screened • Profitable environmentalism philosophy Sustainability excluded (11) · Corporate social responsibility Holistic urban planning (167) United Nations global Urban metabolism compact Land preservation Urban regeneration Food sovereignty Mix-land use • Ecosystem service Energy efficiency • Urban agriculture 1. Not specific enough # of full-text articles Sustainable means of Alternative means of 2. Not relevant assessed and transport transport included in Eco-smart-green city planning synthesis (167) **Findings North America Asia** 28% 42% 58% 72% **Latin America Australia** 33% 45% 55% 67% **Europe Africa Middle East** 14% 45% 40% 55% 60% 86% **Conclusions** Ideology **Global production** Compact city Self-sufficient city 34% Neoliberalism Holism 66% Maximisation of profit Alternative mode of production Urban sustainability

References

- Bai, X., 2016, Eight energy and material flow characteristics of urban ecosystems, cambio, Vol. 45, No. 7, pp. 810, 830, https://www.istor.org/stable/45134661
- 7, pp. 819-830, https://www.jstor.org/stable/45134661.
 Dave, S. 2010. High Urban Densities in Developing Countries: A Sustainable Solution? Built
- Environment, Vol. 36, No. 1, pp. 9-27. http://www.jstor.org/stable/2328998

 Pratt, T.C. and Cullen, F. T., 2005. Assessing Macro-Level Predictors and Theories of Crime: A Meta-Analysis. Crime and Justice, 32, 373-450