

Faculty of Political Sciences and Sociology



Bachelor's Degree Dissertation

Executive Summary

**Consociationalism, Ethnicity and Democracy in the
Western Balkans:**

**A comparative analysis on Bosnia and Herzegovina and
the Republic of North Macedonia**

Author: Carme Vidal Cortés

Supervisor: Francesc Xavier Ballart i Hernàndez

Date: May 17th, 2024

Degree in International Relations

The emergence of nation-states plays a central role in the reshaping of the international system. Through secessionist movements, some national identities achieve the status of nation-states, allowing the protection of the rights of a previously marginalised national minority, and the full cultivation of the national identity within a defined territory, with an equitable system of governance. The Balkan Wars are a highly intricate and destructive chapter in 20th-century European history. They were driven by a desire for national liberation from the declining Ottoman Empire and had far-reaching consequences, including the dissolution of the former Yugoslavia. After years marked by ethnic tensions and political turmoil, the Balkan Wars ultimately led to the formation of modern states in the region: Bosnia and Herzegovina, Kosovo, Albania, Serbia, North Macedonia, Montenegro, Croatia and Slovenia. While the achievement of national sovereignty was a remarkable outcome, the region continues to grapple with enduring challenges making it a complex and evolving part of Europe. After years of ethnic conflict, what happens when national sovereignty is achieved? Do ethnic tensions remain a significant obstacle to the full development of democracy?

This paper emerges from a quest to understand the implications of transitioning into democracy, with a specific focus on a contemporary region marked by ethnic tensions and political instability rooted in ethnic representation within the political system. Following an extensive exploration of ethnic minority dynamics in Europe, the Western Balkans have appeared as a particularly intriguing area for analysis concerning political stability, ethnic coexistence, and its trajectory of democracy. There is a need to deepen and widen the study of the region, as it presents dilemmas that are in the centre of the International Relations academic agenda.

The paper conducts a comparative analysis through qualitative methods between two deeply divided societies, Bosnia and Herzegovina and the Republic of North Macedonia, on their implementation of a consociationalist arrangement and how this model, translates into the fostering (or not) of a solid democracy and the well-functioning of their governments. This research navigates among the different perspectives on consociationalism, an institutional design for deeply divided societies based on power-sharing between ethnic groups to promote consensus and inter-ethnic cooperation. The variables that interfere with such stability are political culture, social structure and the behaviour of political elites (Lijphart, 1969). The paper discusses its advantages and disadvantages analysing them through their implementation in the selected cases. The paper mainly focuses on the display of the four main features, i.e. grand coalition, proportionality, mutual veto and segmental autonomy, that are implemented in both states and how they impact on the government functioning and democratic outcomes. After more than twenty years have passed since the signing of their Peace Agreements, is the

consociational model effective or, on the contrary, does it promote inefficiency and tendencies towards political gridlock? Moreover, to understand the sociopolitical context of both cases, the paper examines the political sphere and the ethnic composition and geographic distribution. Once the analysis has been displayed, the paper is able to question the effectiveness of consociationalism and whether it is a good institutional design to promote. The central concepts that the paper delves into are consociationalism, ethnic power-sharing, democracy, and governance, among others.

Even though the Peace Agreements and the implementation of the consociationalist model was a success in terms of ending active ethnic confrontation, in a long-term perspective, governance has led into democratic immobilism and governmental inefficiency (Zupzevic, Causevic, 2009). In the Western Balkan region ethnicity and identity are still in the centre of the political agenda. In both Bosnia and Herzegovina and the Republic of North Macedonia there are several issues regarding inter-ethnic conflict, governmental instability and lack of transparency. Besides North Macedonia presenting a more desirable functionality, both cases grapple with the misbehaviour of power elites and bureaucratic burden due to the misuse of the consociationalist tools (Ambarkov, 2021). Both states of the Western Balkans are quite modern; the lack of past democratic culture and very convulsant active conflict have configured a unique and complex system of political and social values that may deviate from Western values tied to liberal democracy. They still need more years to fully endorse an effective political governance to accomplish solid democracy. Although the social fabric is favourable for the adequate development of consensus democracy, the elite's behaviour through the misuse of veto powers, the underrepresentation of minority groups, and lack of will for cooperation of the grand coalitions has endangered ethnic consensus. Moreover, it has created a major cleavage between the political sphere and the public, resulting into a legitimacy crisis and governmental lethargy. In the consociationalist regimes of the Western Balkans, the instrumentalization of ethnicity makes it very difficult to balance ethnic cooperation, stable governance and sustainable democracy.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Articles

Alpidis, K. (2020). *Inter-ethnic conflict resolution. The case of North Macedonia* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Glasgow).

Ambarakov, n. favorable conditions for the development of consociational democracy in north macedonia and bosnia and herzegovina in one comparative perspective. *30 years of independent macedonian state*, 167-178.

Andeweg, R. B. (2000). Consociational Democracy. *Annual Review of Political Science* Volume 3:509-536 (Volume publication date June 2000)
<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.3.1.509>

Aunger, E. A. (1996). Dispersed minorities and segmental autonomy: French-language school boards in Canada. *Nationalism and Ethnic Politics*, 2(2), 191-215.

Bieber, F. (2008). Power-sharing and the implementation of the Ohrid Framework Agreement. *Power Sharing and the Implementation of the Ohrid Framework Agreement*, 7-40.

Bieber, F. (2020). The rise of authoritarianism in the Western Balkans (pp. 31-32). Cham: Palgrave Macmillan.

Cooley, L. (2020). Consociationalism and the politics of the census in Bosnia and Herzegovina and Northern Ireland. *Political Geography*, 82, 102248.

Haans, P. (2022). Experiences from twenty years of consociationalism in multi-ethnic North Macedonia.

Hodžić, E. (2020). Testing the limits of consociational imagination: The non-discrimination norm in divided societies. *Human Rights Law Review*, 20(3), 526-554.

Horowitz, D. L. (2014). Ethnic power sharing: Three big problems. *J. Democracy*, 25, 5.

Hulsey, J., & Keil, S. (2021). Power-sharing and party politics in the Western Balkans. *Power-Sharing in Europe: Past Practice, Present Cases, and Future Directions*, 115-139.

Jones, D. R. (2001). Party Polarization and Legislative Gridlock. *Political Research Quarterly*, 54(1), 125–141. <https://doi.org/10.2307/449211>

Lijphart, A. (1969). Consociational democracy. *World politics*, 21(2), 207-225.

Lijphart, A. (2004). Constitutional Design for Divided Societies. *Journal of Democracy* 15(2), 96-109. <https://doi.org/10.1353/jod.2004.0029>.

Marolov, D. (2013). Understanding the Ohrid Framework Agreement. In: Ramet, S.P., Listhaug, O., Simkus, A. (eds) *Civic and Uncivic Values in Macedonia*. Palgrave Macmillan, London. https://doi.org/10.1057/9781137302823_8

Panzano, G. (2022). Keil, S., & McCulloch, A.(Eds.): *Power-Sharing in Europe: Past Practice, Present Cases, and Future Directions*: Palgrave, 2021, ISBN 978-3-030-53589-6

Pearson, S. (2015). The “national key” in Bosnia and Herzegovina: a historical perspective. *Nationalities Papers*, 43(2), 213-232.

Powell, G. B. (1979). [Review of *Democracy in Plural Societies: A Comparative Exploration*, by A. Lijphart]. *The American Political Science Review*, 73(1), 295–297.
<https://doi.org/10.2307/1954827>

Reilly, B. (2016). Centripetalism. In *The Routledge Handbook of Ethnic Conflict* (2 ed., pp. 278–288). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315720425>

Reilly, B. Institutional Designs for Diverse Democracies: Consociationalism, Centripetalism and Communalism Compared. *Eur Polit Sci* 11, 259–270 (2012).
<https://doi.org/10.1057/eps.2011.36>

The General Framework Agreement for Peace in Bosnia and Herzegovina, 1995. The Dayton Agreement.

United Nations Peacemaker, 2001. Ohrid Framework Agreement

Zupcevic, M., & Causevic, F. (2009). Case Study: Bosnia and Herzegovina. *Philip Oxhorn Part 1: Case Studies*, 10.

Web Sites

Andélic, 2023. Nations in Transit. Bosnia and Herzegovina. Freedom House.

[Bosnia and Herzegovina: Nations in Transit 2023 Country Report | Freedom House](#)

Clifford, Romianuk. (December 29, 2020). Why Consociationalism is Not Helping in Post-Conflict Settings. Geopolitical Monitor.

[Why Consociationalism is Not Helping in Post-Conflict Settings | Geopolitical Monitor](#)

European Committee of the Regions, Bosnia and Herzegovina. N.D

Freedom House, 2023. Freedom in the world. 2023. Bosnia and Herzegovina

[Bosnia and Herzegovina: Freedom in the World 2023 Country Report | Freedom House](#)

Freedom House, 2023. Freedom in the world. 2023. North Macedonia

[North Macedonia: Freedom in the World 2023 Country Report | Freedom House](#)

Government of the Republic of North Macedonia, N.D. The Government & Ministries

[The Government & ministries | Влада на Република Северна Македонија \(vlada.mk\)](#)

NATO, 2010. 15 Years ago, Dayton Peace Accords: a milestone for NATO and the Balkans

[NATO - News: 15 years ago, Dayton Peace Accords: a milestone for NATO and the Balkans, 14 Dec.-2010](#)

Presidency of Bosnia and Herzegovina. Chronology of the Presidency of BiH. N.D.

Republic of North Macedonia State Statistical Office. 2021. Census of population, households and dwellings in the Republic of North Macedonia, 2021.

[State Statistical Office - Publication: Total resident population, households and dwellings in the Republic of North Macedonia, census 2021](#)

The Economist Intelligence Unit. (2023). Democracy Index 2022. Frontline democracy and the battle of Ukraine. (9-14) [Democracy Index 2022 | Economist Intelligence Unit \(eiu.com\)](#)

United States Institute of Peace, N.D. Bosnia and Herzegovina. Religion, Peace and Conflict Country Profile

[Bosnia and Herzegovina | United States Institute of Peace \(usip.org\)](#)

Vangelov, 2023. Nations in Transit. North Macedonia. Freedom House.

[North Macedonia: Nations in Transit 2023 Country Report | Freedom House](#)
